TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH



DRIVE YOUR COUNTY TO THE TOP TEN:

Accelerating Action Towards Improving County Health


Hancock County






HANCOCK COUNTY: Overview **2015 Drive Your County to the Top Ten**


Demographics	Population:	Population: 6,679		20.47%	65+ YOA:	18.19%	% Rural:	100.00%
	Unemployment:	12.26%	% Females:	50.67%	% Males:	49.33%	Graduation Rate:	77.50
	Single parent households:	35.57%	MHI:	\$26,000				



Top Third								
Measure	Value							
Drinking Water Violations	0.00%							
Air Pollution - Particulate Matter	13.12							
Adult Obesity	29.80%							
Sexually Transmitted Infections	238.10							
,								



Middle Third	
Measure	Value
Teen Births	49.60
Dentists	3340:1
Uninsured	17.12%
Diabetic Monitoring	85.57%
Low Birthweight	9.01%



Children in Poverty 45.20% Social Associations 2.98 Physical Inactivity 39.40% Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths Preventable Hosiptial Stays 180.91 Mammography Screening 37.29% High School Graduation 77.50%					
Measure	Value				
Access to Exercise Opportunities	4.12%				
Children in Poverty	45.20%				
Social Associations	2.98				
Physical Inactivity	39.40%				
Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	55.56%				
Preventable Hosiptial Stays	180.91				
Mammography Screening	37.29%				
High School Graduation	77.50%				
Premature Death	13,804.63				
Unemployment	12.26%				

YOA: Years of Age. MHI: Median Household Income. HP 2020: Healthy People 2020 Goal. Adult Smoking: Percentage of adults who are current smokers. Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System; 2006-2012. Adult Obesity: Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more. Source: CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas; 2011. Physical Inactivity: Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical activity. Source: CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas; 2011. Prepared by the Division of Policy, Planning and Assessment, Office of Performance Management, Tennessee Department of Health. Nashville, TN. opm.health@tn.gov or 615-741-5001.

Permature Death	Т	V Do	epartment of							HANCOCK (COUNTY, TE	NNESSEE
Pernature Death Pernature									2015 Dr	ive Your Co	unty to the	Top Ten
Page Poor of Fair Health Rank Dith Ranked Value Va		ife	Premature Death	Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Page Poor of Fair Health Rank Unit Ranked Value Va		Jt I		91	Lewis	13804.63	7769.75	6034.88	↑Bad ↓Good	6,811.00	Starke, IN	11782.75
Poor or Fair Health Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked 12 days 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked		ength o	lost before age 75 per	For eve	ry 100 people in	the county	y, 1 people in the	county need to	o live one year clos	ser to age 75.	Itawamba, MS	9875.49
Poor or Fair Health Poor and the part of t		Γ	<u>adjusted)</u>	Source	National (Center for H	ealth Statistics - M	Iortality files	Year(s)	2010-2012	Prentiss, MS	11354.39
Percentage of shalls, institution for a pour part of the county who, in general, report being in poor or fair health. Poor Physical Health Days			Poor or Fair Health	Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Poor Physical Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value Val				82	Putnam	29.30%	16.60%	12.70%	↑Bad ↓Good	12.40%	Starke, IN	20.40%
Percentage of falulit who are current studies Adult Obesity Brank Difference Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Vear(s) 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Valu	S		reporting fair or poor	Improve th								26.80%
Percentage of stalate who are current stuckers Adult Obesity Adu	Je			Source	Behav	rioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2006-2012	Prentiss, MS	24.80%
Percentage of faulit who are current studies. Adult Smoking Percentage of faulit who are current smokers. Adult Obesity Adult	con						Value				Starke, IN 11782.75 Itawamba, MS 9875.49 Prentiss, MS 11354.39 Peer County Peer Value Starke, IN 20.40% Itawamba, MS 26.80% Peer County Peer Value Starke, IN 4.40 Itawamba, MS 4.90 Prentiss, MS 4.80 Peer County Peer Value Starke, IN 4.80 Peer County Peer Value Starke, IN 7.39% Itawamba, MS 8.59% Perntiss, MS 10.36% Peer County Peer Value Starke, IN 32.60% Itawamba, MS 27.70% Prentiss, MS 24.10% Peer County Peer Value Starke, IN 34.70%	
Percentage of stalate who are current stuckers Adult Obesity Adu	nt n		,	87	Chester	8.20	3.40	4.80	↑Bad ↓Good	3.70	Starke, IN	4.40
Percentage of faulit who are current studies. Adult Smoking Percentage of faulit who are current smokers. Adult Obesity Adult	lth O	f Life	physically unhealthy days reported in past 30 days	Engage	people in the co	•	•		hysical health day	rs reported by	Itawamba, MS	4.90
Percentage of shalts shower control tomology of the starter of t	3	y 0.		Source	Behav	rioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2006-2012	Prentiss, MS	4.80
No information available Itawamba, MS 5.20	H	ualit		Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Percentage of ladalts who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Prentiss, MS 4.60		Ō	1	NA	Henderson	NA	2.80	NA	↑Bad ↓Good	3.50	Starke, IN	4.80
Low Birthweight Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value Value Value 1.72% Each Good 8.10% Starke, IN 7.39%			mentally unhealthy days reported in past 30 days				No information	ı available			Itawamba, MS	5.20
Low Birthweight 62 Lewis 9.01% 7.29% 1.72% ↑Bad ↓Good 8.10% Starke, IN 7.39%			(age-adjusted)	Source	Behav	rioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2006-2012	Prentiss, MS	4.60
Percentage of live births with low birthweight (c. 2800 grams) Source National Center for Health Statistics - Natality files Year(s) 2006-2012 Prentiss, MS 10.36% Adult Smoking Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value 01th Ranked Value 10th Ran			Low Birthweight		10th Ranked	Value	Value		Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Source National Center for Health Statistics - Natality files Year(s) 2006-2012 Prentiss, MS 10.36%				62	Lewis	9.01%	7.29%	1.72%	↑Bad ↓Good	8.10%	Starke, IN	7.39%
Adult Smoking Rank 10th Ranked Value Va			with low birthweight (<		Reduce the number of low birth weight babies by 2 for every 100 live births.							8.59%
Adult Smoking Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Source Source CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Source Source CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Source Source CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Source Source CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas Percentage of adults who are current smokers Itawamba, MS 30.50% Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Source Source Source Source Source Source Source Source Source Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Source Source Source Source Source Source Source Source Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Source Source Sour			<u>2500 grams)</u>	Source	National (Center for I	Health Statistics - N	Natality files	Year(s)	2006-2012	Prentiss, MS	10.36%
Percentage of adults who are current smokers Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Prentiss, MS 24.10%			Adult Smoking		10th Ranked		Value		Shift in Value		,	
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Prentiss, MS 24.10%				90	Knox	39.60%	18.50%	21.10%	†Bad ↓Good	18.10%	Starke, IN	32.60%
Adult Obesity Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value 13 Hamilton 29.80% 29.10% 0.70% †Bad ↓Good 28.00% Starke, IN 34.70% Bercentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more Source CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas Vear(s) Food Environment Index Rank 1st Ranked Value Value Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value US Average Peer County Peer Value 13 Hamilton 29.80% 29.10% 0.70% †Bad ↓Good 28.00% Starke, IN 34.70% Itawamba, MS 33.20% 30.50% Food Environment Index 69 Williamson 6.80 8.9 2.1 †Good ↓Bad 7.60 Starke, IN 7.50 Increase the county's food index score by 2.1. Itawamba, MS 7.40					Get 54 out of	every 100 c	current adult smo	okers in the cou	unty to quit smoki	ng.	Itawamba, MS	27.70%
Index Index Inde	S	(6)		Source	Behav	rioral Risk F		System	Year(s)	2006-2012	Prentiss, MS	24.10%
Index Index Inde	ctor	viors	Adult Obesity				Value				•	
Index Index Inde	ā	ha		13	Hamilton	29.80%	29.10%	0.70%	↑Bad ↓Good	28.00%	Starke, IN	34.70%
Index Index Inde	1th I	lth Be		Ge	t 3 out of every 1	00 current	obese adults in	the county to re	educe their BMI b	pelow 30.	Itawamba, MS	33.20%
Index Index Inde	ea	lea		Source		CDC Diabe	tes Interactive Atl	as	Year(s)	2011	Prentiss, MS	30.50%
69 Williamson 6.80 8.9 2.1 ↑Good ↓Bad 7.60 Starke, IN 7.50 Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best) Increase the county's food index score by 2.1. Itawamba, MS 7.40	H	F				Value	Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	,	Peer Value
contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best)			211364	69	Williamson	6.80	8.9	2.1	↑Good ↓Bad	7.60	Starke, IN	7.50
Source USDA Food Environment Atlas, Map the Meal Gap Year(s) 2012 Prentiss, MS 6.90			contribute to a healthy food environment, 0			Increase	the county's foo	d index score l	ру 2.1.		Itawamba, MS	7.40
			(worst) to 10 (best)	Source	USDA Foo	od Environ	nent Atlas, Map tl	ne Meal Gap	Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	6.90

Prepared by the Division of Policy, Planning and Assessment, Office of Performance Management, Tennessee Department of Health. Nashville, TN. April 2015. opm.health@tn.gov or 615-741-5001. The full report can be accessed at: http://health.tn.gov/statistics/specialprojects.htm. All data is from the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute and Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's, 2015 County Health Rankings and Roadmaps report. Difference statements represent the absolute value using the following formula: ["Value" - "10th Ranked Value"]. The action statements are based on the assumption that no new additional cases occur. All rankings and action statements presented in this report must be interpreted with caution due to various limitations of each measurement. Further information with regards to the strengths and limitations of each measurement may be found by clicking the hyperlink found in the description of each measurement.

						10.1. D11					
		Physical Inactivity	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			94	Wilson	39.40%	28.50%	10.90%	↑Bad ↓Good	30.00%	Starke, IN	37.20%
		Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical	Get	Itawamba, MS	36.70%						
		<u>activity</u>	Source	(CDC Diabo	etes Interactive Atl	as	Year(s)	2011	Prentiss, MS	34.20%
		Access to Exercise Opportunities	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Opportunities	95	Loudon	4.12%	78.50%	74.38%	↑Good ↓Bad	77.00%	Starke, IN	60.57%
		Percentage of population with adequate access to locations for physical	Consider how to remove barriers to access exercise locations to reach an additional 74.38 percent of the county's population.							Itawamba, MS	37.22%
		<u>activity</u>	Source	Business Analy	st, Delorme	, ESRI, & US Cens	sus Tigerline Files	Year(s)	2010 & 2013	Prentiss, MS	47.85%
		Excessive Drinking	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	S		NA	Greene	NA	3.30%	NA	↑Bad ↓Good	15.00%	Starke, IN	20.10%
	Health Behaviors	Percentage of adults reporting binge or heavy drinking				No information	n available			Itawamba, MS	6.90%
	Bel	<u>umang</u>	Source	Behav	ioral Risk I	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2006-2012	Prentiss, MS	8.80%
	alth	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	Не		94	McNairy	55.56%	18.75%	36.81%	↑Bad ↓Good	32.00%	Starke, IN	31.03%
		Percentage of driving deaths with alcohol involvement		Reduce the	e number	of alcohol related	l driving deaths	s by 36.81 percent.		Itawamba, MS	8.82%
rs.			Source	Fa	atality Anal	ysis Reporting Syst	tem	Year(s)	2009-2013	Prentiss, MS	34.62%
cto		Sexually Transmitted	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Fa		Infections	28	Scott	238.10	144.30	93.80	↑Bad ↓Good	458.00	Starke, IN	81.90
Health Factors		Number of newly diagnosed chlamydia cases per 100,000 population	Consider	Itawamba, MS	488.40						
1 e			Sou	arce National Center for	HIV/AIDS, Vi	ral Hepatitis, STD, & TB	Prevention	Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	315.10
I		Teen Births	Rank 44	10th Ranked	Value 49.60	10th Ranked Value 37.04	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
				Putnam				↑Bad ↓Good	31.00	Starke, IN	46.61
		Teen birth rate per 1,000 female population, ages 15- 19	For every	100 teenage fem	Itawamba, MS	47.14					
			Source	National (Center for I	Health Statistics - N	Natality files	Year(s)	2006-2012	Prentiss, MS	65.35
		Uninsured	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			55	Blount	17.12%	14.64%	2.48%	↑Bad ↓Good	18.00%	Starke, IN	17.23%
		Percentage of population under age 65 without health insurance	Get 15 out of every 100 currently uninsured adults ages 18-64 to obtain health insurance.								19.83%
			Source	Sma	ll Area Hea	alth Insurance Esti	mates	Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	20.17%
	ıre	Primary Care Physicians	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	Ca	<i>y</i>	86	Anderson	6720:1	1397:1	5323	↑Bad ↓Good	1,355.00	Starke, IN	3316:1
	Clinical Care	Ratio of population to primary care physicians	providers	would need to b	e recruited	to the county. M	More important	oth ranking county ly, if the county's Sessional Shortage	value is greater	Itawamba, MS	4668:1
			Source	Area Health I	Resource Fi	le/American Medi	ical Association	Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	1814:1
		Dentists	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			44	Maury	3340:1	1675:1	1665	↑Bad ↓Good	1,663.00	Starke, IN	11599:1
		Ratio of population to dentists	providers	would need to b	e recruited	to the county. M	More important	Oth ranking count ly, if the county's Sessional Shortage	value is greater	Itawamba, MS	5859:1
			Source	Area Health I	Resource Fi	le/American Medi	ical Association	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	2539:1

		Mental Health	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Providers	NA	McNairy	NA	688:1	NA	↑Bad ↓Good	753	Starke, IN	7732:1
		Ratio of population to mental health providers	No information available								5859:1
			Source	CMS,	National I	Provider Identificat	ion file	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	1813:1
		Preventable Hospital Stays	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		110spitai Stays	94	Marshall	180.91	58.47	122.44	†Bad ↓Good	65.00	Starke, IN	93.78
	Care	Number of hospital stays for ambulatory-care sensitive conditions per	For every 1	00 Medicare enr	ollees, pro	event 1 Medicare care sensitive c		being hospitalized	d for ambulatory-	Itawamba, MS	52.77
	al (1,000 Medicare enrollees	Source	I	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	re	Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	79.17
	Clinical	Diabetic Monitoring	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	О	J	58	Crockett	85.57%	88.92%	3.36%	↑Good ↓Bad	84.00%	Starke, IN	84.39%
		Percentage of diabetic Medicare enrollees ages 65- 75 that receive HbA1c	For eve	ry 100 diabetic N	Medicare e	enrollees, get 4 ad screenii		care enrollees to re	ceive HbA1c	Itawamba, MS	87.73%
		monitoring	Source	Ι	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	re	Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	87.33%
		Mammography Screening	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			94	Knox	37.29%	65.71%	28.43%	↑Good ↓Bad	63.00%	Starke, IN	44.03%
		Percentage of female Medicare enrollees ages 67- 69 that receive	For ev	ery 100 female N	Aedicare e	enrollees, get 29 a mammography		care enrollees to r	eceive their	Itawamba, MS	50.90%
rs		mammography screening	Source	Ι	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	re	Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	50.00%
cto		High School Graduation	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
F_{i}			92	Carroll	77.50%	95.32%	17.82%	↑Good ↓Bad	80.00%	Starke, IN	80.79%
Health Factors		Percentage of ninth-grade cohort that graduates in four years	For every 100 ninth graders, assist 18 additional ninth graders to graduate within four years.								81.00%
$^{-1}$			Source	data.gov, supplen	nented w/ N	National Center for E	ducation Statistic	s Year(s)	2011-2012	Prentiss, MS	83.83%
I		Some College	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			77	Shelby	36.77%	62.09%	25.33%	↑Good ↓Bad	63.00%	Starke, IN	51.40%
	ors	Percentage of adults ages 25-44 years with some post- secondary education	For eve	ery 100 adults be	Itawamba, MS	53.03%					
	acı		Source		American	Community Surve	У	Year(s)	2009-2013	Prentiss, MS	45.70%
	mic Factors	Unemployment	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	ιοι		91	Blount	12.26%	6.89%	5.37%	↑Bad ↓Good	8.10%	Starke, IN	9.08%
	Econo	Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking	For every 100 persons ages 16 years and older, help 6 persons successfully find employment.								8.76%
	1 &	<u>work</u>	Source		Bureau	of Labor Statistics		Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	9.06%
	Social	Children in Poverty	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	9)		95	Montgomery	45.20%	21.40%	23.80%	†Bad ↓Good	23.00%	Starke, IN	28.40%
		Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty	For ever	For every 100 children (under 18 years) in poverty, help 53 children to find assistance to get out of poverty.							
			Source	Small	Area Inco	me and Poverty Es	timates	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	31.70%
		Income Inequality	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			82	Williamson	5.05	4.11	0.94	↑Bad ↓Good	NA	Starke, IN	3.71
		Ratio of household income at the 80th percentile to income at the 20th	To reach a			t or below that of ntile and 20th per		ng county reduce to busehold(s).	the gap between	Itawamba, MS	4.52
		<u>percentile</u>	Source		American	Community Surve	У	Year(s)	2009-2013	Prentiss, MS	4.37

		Children in Single- Parent Households	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Turent Trouvenous	74	Cheatham	35.57%	25.43%	10.14%	↑Bad ↓Good	21.00%	Starke, IN	31.97%
		Percentage of children that live in a household headed by single parent	For every	100 single paren	Itawamba, MS	25.24%					
		=/ vg / v	Source		American (Community Survey	у	Year(s)	2009-2013	Prentiss, MS	36.93%
		Social Associations	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			95	Henry	2.98	16.70	13.72	↑Good ↓Bad	33.00%	Starke, IN	12.49
	Economic Factors	Number of membership associations per 10,000 population		every 100 people			number of men	mbership associat		Itawamba, MS	11.14
	Fac		Source		County I	Business Patterns		Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	10.63
	mic]	Violent Crime	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	nOı		65	Carter	429.41	206.33	223.08	↑Bad ↓Good	387.00	Starke, IN	178.27
		Number of reported violent crime offenses per 100,000 population	For	every 100 perso	ns in the co	ounty, prevent 52	people from co	ommitting a viole	nt crime.	Itawamba, MS	121.61
	ıl &		Source	1	Uniform Cr	rime Reporting - FI	BI	Year(s)	2010-2012	Prentiss, MS	NA
	Social	Injury Deaths	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	0,		87	Fayette	118.31	68.81	49.50	↑Bad ↓Good	59.00	Starke, IN	104.72
		Number of deaths due to injury per 100,000 population	For e	very 1,000 popul	ation consi	ider ways to prev unintentional		as a result of inter	ntional and	Itawamba, MS	117.28
			Source		CDC WON	IDER mortality da	.ta	Year(s)	2008-2012	Prentiss, MS	81.87
tors		Particulate Matter	Rank	5th Ranked	Value	5th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
ac			9	Sullivan	13.12	13.04	0.08	↑Bad ↓Good	11.10	Starke, IN	13.21
Health Factors		Average daily density of fine particulate matter in micrograms per cubic	Reduce	the average dai	Itawamba, MS	12.16					
		meter (PM2.5)	Source	CD	C WONDI	ER Environmental	. data	Year(s)	2011	Prentiss, MS	12.52
He		Drinking Water Violations	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			1	Anderson	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	↑Bad ↓Good	8.00%	Starke, IN	0.00%
		Percentage of population potentially exposed to water exceeding a violation	No Action Required							Itawamba, MS	0.00%
		limit during the past year	Source	Safe	Drinking W	Vater Information S	System	Year(s)	FY2013-14	Prentiss, MS	0.00%
		Severe Housing Problems	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	Ħ		87	Lewis	17.18%	10.96%	6.22%	↑Bad ↓Good	19.00%	Starke, IN	15.77%
	Physical Environment	Percentage of households with at least 1 of 4 housing problems: overcrowding, high housing costs, or lack of kitchen or plumbing facilities	For every 1	100 persons in th	e county e	experiencing hous assistan		help 37 person(s)	to find housing	Itawamba, MS	8.91%
	1 E	<u>iaciilues</u>	Source	Comprehensiv	e Housing A	Affordability Strate	egy (CHAS) data	Year(s)	2007-2011	Prentiss, MS	12.19%
	rsica.	Driving Alone to Work	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	Phy		82	Davidson	87.19%	80.03%	7.16%	↑Bad ↓Good	76.00%	Starke, IN	81.74%
	, ,	Percentage of the workforce that drives alone	For e	very 100 persons	that curre	ntly drive alone to transporta		ce 9 to carpool or	take mass-	Itawamba, MS	88.41%
		to work	Source		American (Community Survey		Year(s)	2009-2013	Prentiss, MS	82.52%
		Long Commute -	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Driving Alone	69	Hamilton	43.20%	25.10%	18.10%	↑Bad ↓Good	34.00%	Starke, IN	40.10%
		Among workers who commute in their car alone,	For every	100 persons that	•	drive more than 3 or take mass-tran		e to work, convin	ce 42 to carpool	Itawamba, MS	35.00%
		the percentage that commute more than 30	Source			Community Survey		Year(s)		1	33.80%