





21.20%

50.50%

HANCOCK COUNTY Summary


Population:
Unemployment:
Single parent households:

6,657 9.96% 30.62%


<18 YOA: % Females: MHI: \$27,323

65+ YOA: 49.50% % Males:


100.00% % Rural: 87.50% Graduation Rate:



Top Third			
Measure	Value		
Excessive Drinking	10.10%		
Air Pollution - Particulate Matter	13.12		
Adult Obesity	31.00%		
Sexually Transmitted Infections	238.10		



Middle Third								
Measure	Value							
Children in Single-Parent Households	30.62%							
Severe Housing Problems	13.49%							
Dentists	3329:1							
Uninsured	16.58%							
Teen Births	48.91							



Bottom Third	
Measure	Value
Poor Physical Health Days	5.70
Social Associations	2.99
Poor Mental Health Days	5.30
Mammography Screening	38.00%
Children in Poverty	42.80%
Access to Exercise Opportunities	0.89%
Diabetic Monitoring	79.07%
Adult Smoking	27.70%
Unemployment	9.96%
Preventable Hosptial Stays	139.04









Tennessee's Big 3 + 1

Why were these charts selected? The "Big 3 + 1" directly influences at least six of the top ten leading causes of death in Tennessee, and also directly influence other public health threats, such as heart disease, cancer, and diabetes. Overall, the Big Three plus One influences two-thirds of the factors that contribute to Tennessee's overall rank.

Comparative Counties:

Each graph provides the Tennessee county with its respective region and three non-Tennessee comparative counties from around the US based on county-level demographics. The vertical lines represent: the TN Average, the US Average, Top US Performers (top 10%) and the Healthy People 2020 (HP) Goal. For all measures a decrease (shift left) in percentage represents improvement.

YOA: Years of Age. MHI: Median Household Income. HP 2020: Healthy People 2020 Goal. Adult Smoking: Percentage of adults who are current smokers. Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System; 2006-2012. Adult Obesity: Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more. Source: CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas; 2011. Physical Inactivity: Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical activity. Source: CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas; 2011. Prepared by the Division of Administrative Services, Tennessee Department of Health. Nashville, TN. admin.health@tn.gov or 615-741-5001.





Permature Death	_	_	leattii									
Potential Part		Life	Premature Death	Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Potential Part		Jc		64	Knox	10718.10	7735.80	2982.30	↑Bad ↓Good	6,600.00	Starke, IN	11081.90
Poor of Fair Health* Poor of Physical Health Days* Poor Physical Health Days* Poor Of Physical Health Days* Poor of Fair Health* Poor of Of Fair Health* Poor of Mental Health* Poor of			before age 75 per 100,000	For ever	ry 100 people in	the county	, 1 people in the	county need to	o live one year clo	ser to age 75.	Itawamba, MS	10659.20
Poor of Far Health 90 Rutherford 27.10% 19.00% 75.50% Bad Good 18.00% Sarker, IN 18.00% Invalid Ingrand In		Τ		Source	National C	enter for H	ealth Statistics - N	Mortality files	Year(s)	2011-2013	Prentiss, MS	10711.20
Percentage of ability septiminal for a poor. Percentage of a poor.				Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Poor Physical Rank St. Ranked Value St. Ranked Value			i icaitii ·	90	Rutherford	27.10%	19.60%	7.50%	↑Bad ↓Good	18.00%	Starke, IN	18.90%
Parcentage of falults who sharing are correct falults subcarged to the county of every 100 current adult smokers in the county to quit smoking. County Percentage of falults who sharing are correct falults who are correct fal	ģ		reporting fair or poor	Improve tl	ne health of 8 ou	t of every		•	n general, report b	oeing in poor or	Itawamba, MS	17.40%
Parcentage of falults who sharing are correct falults subcarged to the county of every 100 current adult smokers in the county to quit smoking. County Percentage of falults who sharing are correct falults who are correct fal	Je			Source	Behav	ioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	19.60%
Parcentage of falults who sharing are correct falults subcarged to the county of every 100 current adult smokers in the county to quit smoking. County Percentage of falults who sharing are correct falults who are correct fal	con		•	Rank	5th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Parcentage of falults who sharing are correct falults subcarged to the county of every 100 current adult smokers in the county to quit smoking. County Percentage of falults who sharing are correct falults who are correct fal	T T		Ticallii Days	95	Knox	5.70	4.30	1.40	↑Bad ↓Good	3.8	Starke, IN	4.20
Parcentage of falults who sharing are correct falults subcarged to the county of every 100 current adult smokers in the county to quit smoking. County Percentage of falults who sharing are correct falults who are correct fal	lth O	f Life	physically unhealthy days reported in past 30 days	Engage	people in the co	•	•		bhysical health day	ys reported by	Itawamba, MS	3.80
Parcentage of falults who sharing are correct falults subcarged to the county of every 100 current adult smokers in the county to quit smoking. County Percentage of falults who sharing are correct falults who are correct fal	ह	0 /		Source	Behav	ioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	4.00
Adult Smoking* Adult Smoking* Percentage of adults who are current smokers	He	ıality		Rank	1st Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Percentage of adults who are current smokers		Õ	Days	94	Williamson	5.30	3.80	1.50	↑Bad ↓Good	2.8	Starke, IN	4.20
Low Birthweight Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value			mentally unhealthy days reported in past 30 days	Engage	-	re	esidents by 2 day	ys per month.	hysical health day	s reported by	Itawamba, MS	3.90
Low Birthweight 76 Pickett 9.69% 7.24% 2.45% †Bad Good 8.00% Starke, IN 6.89%				Source	Behav	ioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	4.00
Percentage of live births with low birthweight (<			Low Birthweight	Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Source National Center for Health Statistics - Natality files Year(s) 2007-2013 Prentiss, MS 10.72% Adult Smoking* Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, MS 20.10% Adult Obesity Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, MS 20.10% Adult Obesity 26 Hamilton 31.00% 29.90% 1.10% †Bad ↓Good 27.00% Starke, IN 35.70% Get 4 out of every 100 current obese adults in the county to reduce their BMI below 30. Itawamba, MS 32.90% Get 4 out of every 100 current obese adults in the county to reduce their BMI below 30. Itawamba, MS 32.90% Food Environment Index Index of factors that contribute to a healthy, food environment, 10 (worst) to 10 (bes) Index of factors that contribute to a healthy, food environment, 10 (worst) to 10 (bes)				76	Pickett	9.69%	7.24%	2.45%	↑Bad ↓Good	8.00%	Starke, IN	6.89%
Adult Smoking* Rank 10th Ranked Value V			with low birthweight (<		Reduce the number of low birth weight babies by 3 for every 100 live births.						Itawamba, MS	9.19%
Adult Smoking* Percentage of adults who are current smokers Percentage of adults who are current smokers			=====================================	Source	National (Center for H	Iealth Statistics - 1	Natality files	Year(s)	2007-2013	Prentiss, MS	10.72%
Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, MS 20.10%			Adult Smoking*	Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, MS 20.10%				92	Humphreys	27.70%	21.10%	6.60%	↑Bad ↓Good	17.00%	Starke, IN	22.50%
Adult Obesity Rank 10th Ranked Value 11tawamba, MS 12tawamba,					Get 24 out of	every 100 c	urrent adult sm	okers in the cou	ınty to quit smoki	ng.	Itawamba, MS	19.30%
Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more Source CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas Year(s) 2012 Prentiss, MS 31.20%	S	(0)		Source	Behav	ioral Risk F		System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	20.10%
Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more Source CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas Year(s) 2012 Prentiss, MS 31.20%	ctoi	vior	Adult Obesity		10th Ranked		Value		Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more Source CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas Year(s) 2012 Prentiss, MS 31.20%	ā	ha		26	Hamilton	31.00%	29.90%	1.10%	↑Bad ↓Good	27.00%	Starke, IN	35.70%
Index To Cannon 6.60 7.9 1.3 ↑Good ↓Bad 7.20 Starke, IN 7.50		В	report a BMI of 30 or	Get					educe their BMI b	pelow 30.	Itawamba, MS	32.90%
Index To Cannon 6.60 7.9 1.3 ↑Good ↓Bad 7.20 Starke, IN 7.50	ea	[ea	_	Source	(CDC Diabe		las	Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	31.20%
To Cannon 6.60 7.9 1.3 ↑Good ↓Bad 7.20 Starke, IN 7.50 Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best) Increase the county's food index score by 1.3. Itawamba, MS 7.00	H	H					Value			ū	· ·	
contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best)				70	Cannon	6.60	7.9	1.3	↑Good ↓Bad	7.20	Starke, IN	7.50
Source USDA Food Environment Atlas, Map the Meal Gap Year(s) 2013 Prentiss, MS 6.50			contribute to a healthy food environment, 0			Increase	the county's foo	od index score l	by 1.3.		Itawamba, MS	7.00
			(worst) to 10 (best)	Source	USDA Foo	d Environr	ment Atlas, Map t	he Meal Gap	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	6.50

Prepared by the Division of Administrative Services, Tennessee Department of Health. Nashville, TN. May 2016. admin.health@tn.gov or 615-741-9398. The full report can be accessed at: http://tn.gov/health/topic/specialreports. All data is from the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute and Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's, 2015 *County Health Rankings and Roadmaps* report. Difference statements represent the absolute value using the following formula: ["Value" - "10th Ranked Value"]. *The action statements are based on the assumption that no new additional cases occur. **Data should not be compared with prior years due to changes in definition/methods. All rankings and action statements presented in this report must be interpreted with caution due to various limitations of each measurement. Further information with regards to the strengths and limitations of each measurement may be found by clicking the hyperlink found in the description of each measurement.

		Physical Inactivity	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			84	Shelby	39.30%	30.20%	9.10%	↑Bad ↓Good	23.00%	Starke, IN	34.10%
		Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical	Get 24 out of every 100 currently physically inactive adults to exercise during leisure time.								38.10%
		activity	Source	(CDC Diabe	tes Interactive At	las	Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	37.60%
		Access to Exercise Opportunities	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		оррогияние	93	Loudon	0.89%	78.48%	77.59%	↑Good ↓Bad	84.00%	Starke, IN	54.52%
		Percentage of population with adequate access to locations for physical	Consider			the county's p	opulation.	ch an additional 7	77.59 percent of	Itawamba, MS	29.24%
		<u>activity</u>	Source	Business Analy	st, Delorme	, ESRI, & US Cen	sus Tigerline Files	Year(s)	2010 & 2014	Prentiss, MS	43.95%
		Excessive Drinking	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	rs		2	Haywood	10.10%	8.90%	1.20%	↑Bad ↓Good	17.00%	Starke, IN	15.00%
	Health Behaviors	Percentage of adults reporting binge or heavy drinking		•		•	•	xcess (binge and l nks per day on av	• /	Itawamba, MS	15.00%
	Be		Source	Behav	ioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	e System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	14.10%
	alth	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	He	b	87	Sullivan	50.00%	19.17%	30.83%	↑Bad ↓Good	31.00%	Starke, IN	20.69%
		Percentage of driving deaths with alcohol		Reduce the	number o	of alcohol related	d driving deaths	by 30.83 percent.		Itawamba, MS	6.25%
S		involvement	Source	Fa	itality Analy	sis Reporting Sys	tem	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	40.00%
cto		Sexually Transmitted Infections	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Fa			30	Overton	238.10	153.22	84.87	↑Bad ↓Good	446.60	Starke, IN	168.01
Health Factors		Number of newly diagnosed chlamydia cases per 100,000 population		to commit to	using safe	sex practices to	prevent the infe	be involved in any	lia.	Itawamba, MS	359.90
I e			Sou	arce National Center for	HIV/AIDS, Vii	10th Ranked	Prevention	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	244.19
I		Teen Births Teen birth rate per 1,000 female population, ages 15- 19	Rank 48	10th Ranked Putnam	Value 48.91	Value 34.87	Difference	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good	US Average	Peer County Starke, IN	Peer Value
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Surine, 11 v	11111
			For every	100 teenage iem	aies (ages	from becoming	•	nsider ways to pro	.,	Itawamba, MS	45.25
			Source	National (Center for I	Health Statistics - 1	Natality files	Year(s)	2007-2013	Prentiss, MS	64.90
		Uninsured	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			46	Cheatham	16.58%	14.54%	2.04%	↑Bad ↓Good	17.00%	Starke, IN	17.08%
		Percentage of population under age 65 without health insurance	Get	t 13 out of every	100 current	tly uninsured ad	ults ages 18-64	to obtain health in	nsurance.	Itawamba, MS	20.13%
			Source	Sma	ll Area Hea	lth Insurance Esti	imates	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	21.46%
	are	Primary Care Physicians	Rank	10th Ranked	Value (670.1	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	1 C		86	Coffee	6679:1	1368:1	5311	↑Bad ↓Good	1320:1	Starke, IN	2900:1
	Clinical Care	Ratio of population to primary care physicians	care prov	viders would nee ater than 3,500, the	d to be rec	cruited to the co would be consid	unty. More imp dered a Health	10th ranking cour portantly, if the cor Professional Short	unty's value is tage Area.	Itawamba, MS	3906:1
			Source	Area Health F	Resource Fil	e/American Med	ical Association	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	1953:1
		Dentists	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			45 To reach a	Dickson	3329:1	1744:1	1585	↑Bad ↓Good Oth ranking count	1540:1	Starke, IN	11537:1
		Ratio of population to dentists	providers	would need to b	e recruited	to the county. I	More important	nn ranking count ly, if the county's essional Shortage	value is greater	Itawamba, MS	5882:1
			Source			National Provider		Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	2543:1

		Mental Health	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Providers	NA	Henry	NA	644:1	NA	↑Bad ↓Good	490:1	Starke, IN	7691:1
		Ratio of population to mental health providers				No information	n available			Itawamba, MS	5882:1
			Source	CMS,	National I	Provider Identificat	ion file	Year(s)	2015	Prentiss, MS	1695:1
		Preventable	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Hospital Stays	91	Anderson	139.04	50.27	88.77	↑Bad ↓Good	54.00	Starke, IN	91.32
	Care	Number of hospital stays for ambulatory-care sensitive conditions per	For e	For every 100 Medicare enrollees, prevent 1 Medicare enrollees from being hospitalized for ambulatory-care sensitive conditions. Source Dartmouth Atlas of Health Care Year(s) 2013							57.65
	al (1,000 Medicare enrollees	Source	I	Dartmouth		ıre	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	82.34
	Clinical Care	Diabetic Monitoring	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	C	oog	93	Giles	79.07%	89.20%	10.13%	↑Good ↓Bad	63.00%	Starke, IN	84.79%
		Percentage of diabetic Medicare enrollees ages 65- 75 that receive HbA1c	For ever	ry 100 diabetic M	ledicare e	nrollees, get 11 ac screenii		care enrollees to re	eceive HbA1c	Itawamba, MS	89.27%
		monitoring	Source	I	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	ire	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	87.22%
		Mammography Screening	Rank	5th Ranked	Value	5th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			94	Cumberland	38.00%	71.00%	33.00%	↑Good ↓Bad	63.00%	Starke, IN	42.00%
		Percentage of female Medicare enrollees ages 67- 69 that receive	For ev	ery 100 female N	Iedicare e	nrollees, get 33 a mammography		icare enrollees to r	eceive their	Itawamba, MS	58.00%
rs		mammography screening	Source	I	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	ıre	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	48.00%
cto		High School Graduation	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Fa			70	Tipton	87.50%	98.00%	10.50%	↑Good ↓Bad	82.00%	Starke, IN	87.11%
Health Factors		Percentage of ninth-grade cohort that graduates in four years	For e	very 100 ninth g	Itawamba, MS	82.00%					
le			Source			EDFacts		Year(s)	2012-2013	Prentiss, MS	83.83%
F		Some College	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			66	Shelby	40.11%	62.28%	22.17%	↑Good ↓Bad	64.00%	Starke, IN	50.40%
	tors	Percentage of adults ages 25-44 years with some post-secondary education	For ev	ery 100 adults b		-44 years of age, a ursework at the c		onal adults to com	plete some	Itawamba, MS	52.09%
	7ac		Source		American	Community Surve	у	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	48.01%
	onomic Factors	Unemployment	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	ıoı		92	Robertson	9.96%	5.69%	4.27%	↑Bad ↓Good	6.20%	Starke, IN	7.43%
	Econ	ages 16 and older	F	400	4.0						7.43%
		unemployed but seeking	For e	very 100 persons	ages 16 ye	ears and older, ne	elp 5 persons si	uccessfully find en	nployment.	Itawamba, MS	7.4370
1	જ		Source	very 100 persons		of Labor Statistics	elp 5 persons si	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	7.86%
	જ	unemployed but seeking		10th Ranked			Difference				
		unemployed but seeking work	Source		Bureau	of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked		Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	7.86%
	જ	unemployed but seeking work	Source Rank	10th Ranked Dickson	Value 42.80%	of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10%	Difference 21.70% nelp 51 children	Year(s) Shift in Value	2014 US Average 22.00% e to get out of	Prentiss, MS Peer County	7.86% Peer Value
	જ	unemployed but seeking work Children in Poverty Percentage of children	Source Rank	10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (u	Bureau o Value 42.80% ander 18 yo	of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, I povert me and Poverty Es	Difference 21.70% nelp 51 children	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good	2014 US Average 22.00%	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN	7.86% Peer Value 23.60%
	જ	unemployed but seeking work Children in Poverty Percentage of children	Source Rank 94 For ever Source Rank	10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (u Small	Bureau o Value 42.80% Inder 18 yo Area Incor Value	of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, I povert me and Poverty Es 10th Ranked Value	Difference 21.70% nelp 51 children y. timates Difference	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good In to find assistance Year(s) Shift in Value	2014 US Average 22.00% e to get out of 2014 US Average	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County	7.86% Peer Value 23.60% 23.80% 29.90% Peer Value
	જ	unemployed but seeking work Children in Poverty Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty Income Inequality	Source Rank 94 For ever	10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (t	Value 42.80% ander 18 year	of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, I povert me and Poverty Es 10th Ranked	Difference 21.70% nelp 51 children y. timates	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good In to find assistance Year(s)	2014 US Average 22.00% e to get out of 2014	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS	7.86% Peer Value 23.60% 23.80% 29.90%
	જ	unemployed but seeking work Children in Poverty Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty	Source Rank 94 For ever Source Rank 65	10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (t Small 10th Ranked Houston ch a household	Bureau d Value 42.80% Area Incor Value 4.79 income ra	of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, I povert me and Poverty Es 10th Ranked Value 4.11	Difference 21.70% nelp 51 children y. timates Difference 0.68 at of the 10th r	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good In to find assistance Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good anking county red	2014 US Average 22.00% e to get out of 2014 US Average 4.70	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County	7.86% Peer Value 23.60% 23.80% 29.90% Peer Value

		Children in Single-	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Parent Households	33	Lawrence	30.62%	Value 23.36%	7.26%	↑Bad ↓Good	34.00%	Starke, IN	30.76%
		Percentage of children that live in a household headed	For every 100 single parent households in the county, investigate ways to encourage 24 households to be a multi-parent household.								25.91%
		by single parent	Source		American	Community Surve	у	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	35.96%
		Social Associations	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			95	Johnson	2.99	16.13	13.14	↑Good ↓Bad	9.00	Starke, IN	11.64
	ctors	Number of membership associations per 10,000 population		every 100 people			number of me	embership associa		Itawamba, MS	10.24
	Fac		Source		County	Business Patterns		Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	10.63
	mic]	Violent Crime	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	nor		65	Carter	429.41	206.33	223.08	↑Bad ↓Good	392.00	Starke, IN	178.27
	& Economic Factors	Number of reported violent crime offenses per 100,000 population						committing a viole		Itawamba, MS	121.61
		1 1	Source	Ţ	Jniform C	rime Reporting - F.	BI	Year(s)	2010-2012	Prentiss, MS	NA
	Social	Injury Deaths	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	0,		83	Washington	115.65	70.04	45.61	↑Bad ↓Good	60.00	Starke, IN	105.75
		Number of deaths due to injury per 100,000 population	For ev	ery 1,000 popula	ation cons	ider ways to prev unintentiona		as a result of inter	ntional and	Itawamba, MS	111.27
10		* .	Source	(CDC WON	IDER mortality da	ita	Year(s)	2009-2013	Prentiss, MS	85.87
tor		Air Pollution - Particulate Matter	Rank	5th Ranked	Value	5th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
ac			9	Sullivan	13.12	13.04	0.08	↑Bad ↓Good	11.40	Starke, IN	13.21
Health Factors		Average daily density of fine particulate matter in micrograms per cubic	Reduce	the average dail	Itawamba, MS	12.16					
al		meter (PM2.5)	Source CDC WONDER Environmental data Year(s) 2011								12.52
He		Drinking Water Violations	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Violations	No Rank	NA	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Starke, IN	No
		Percentage of population potentially exposed to water exceeding a violation	There were no health-based drinking water violations.								No
		limit during the past year	Source	Safe 1	Drinking W	Vater Information S	System	Year(s)	FY2013-14	Prentiss, MS	No
		Severe Housing	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	nt	Problems	41	Unicoi	13.49%	11.70%	1.79%	↑Bad ↓Good	19.00%	Starke, IN	15.04%
	Physical Environmer	Percentage of households with at least 1 of 4 housing problems: overcrowding, high housing costs, or lack of kitchen or plumbing	For every 1	00 persons in th	e county o	experiencing hou assistan	0.1	, help 14 person(s)	to find housing	Itawamba, MS	9.44%
	E	<u>facilities</u>	Source	Comprehensive	e Housing	Affordability Strate	egy (CHAS) data	Year(s)	2008-2012	Prentiss, MS	12.92%
	sical	Driving Alone to	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	hys	Work	72	Grundy	86.35%	80.27%	6.08%	†Bad ↓Good	76.00%	Starke, IN	81.49%
	Ъ	Percentage of the	For ev	ery 100 persons	that curre	•		nce 8 to carpool or	take mass-	Itawamba, MS	88.28%
		workforce that drives alone to work			A	Community Surve		3 7 ()	2010-2014	ŕ	
		Long Commute -	Source Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Year(s) Shift in Value	US Average	Prentiss, MS Peer County	83.56% Peer Value
		Driving Alone	79	Hamilton	47.70%	25.20%	22.50%	†Bad ↓Good	31.00%	Starke, IN	41.10%
		Among workers who commute in their car alone, the percentage that	For every 1	00 persons that	currently	drive more than 3 or take mass-trai		ne to work, convin	ce 48 to carpool	Itawamba, MS	36.50%
		commute more than 30 minutes	Source		American	Community Surve	y	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	34.30%