
2016 DRIVE YOUR COUNTY TO THE TOP TEN

INFORMING • CONNECTING • ACCELERATING

Campbell County







Tennessee's Big 3 + 1

Why were these charts selected? The "Big 3 + 1" directly influences at least six of the top ten leading causes of death in Tennessee, and also directly influence other public health threats, such as heart disease, cancer, and diabetes. Overall, the Big Three plus One influences two-thirds of the factors that contribute to Tennessee's overall rank.

Comparative Counties:

Each graph provides the Tennessee county with its respective region and three non-Tennessee comparative counties from around the US based on county-level demographics. The vertical lines represent: the TN Average, the US Average, Top US Performers (top 10%) and the Healthy People 2020 (HP) Goal. For all measures a decrease (shift left) in percentage represents improvement.

YOA: Years of Age. MHI: Median Household Income. HP 2020: Healthy People 2020 Goal. Adult Smoking: Percentage of adults who are current smokers. Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System; 2006-2012. Adult Obesity: Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more. Source: CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas; 2011. Physical Inactivity: Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical activity. Source: CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas; 2011. Prepared by the Division of Administrative Services, Tennessee Department of Health. Nashville, TN. admin.health@tn.gov or 615-741-5001.

T		Department of lealth	201					CAMP	BELL COL	INTY, TEN	NESSEE
	Life	Premature Death	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	of]		82	Knox	11422.70	7735.80	3686.90	†Bad ↓Good	6,600.00	Starke, IN	11081.90
	Length	Years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 population (age-adjusted)	For eve	ry 100 people in	the county	v, 1 people in the	county need to	o live one year clo	ser to age 75.	Itawamba, MS	10659.20
	Le		Source	National C	Center for H	ealth Statistics - M	Iortality files	Year(s)	2011-2013	Prentiss, MS	10711.20
		Poor or Fair Health*	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Ticatti	79	Rutherford	23.90%	19.60%	4.30%	†Bad ↓Good	18.00%	Starke, IN	18.90%
S		Percentage of adults reporting fair or poor health (age-adjusted)	-			fair hea	lth.	n general, report b		Itawamba, MS	17.40%
ne			Source	Behav	ioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	19.60%
COL		Poor Physical Health Days*	Rank	5th Ranked	Value	5th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Jut		Average number of	78	Knox	5.10	4.30	0.80	†Bad ↓Good	3.8	Starke, IN	4.20
Health Outcomes	of Life	physically unhealthy days reported in past 30 days (age-adjusted)	Engage	people in the co	•	ays to reduce nu esidents by 1 day		ohysical health day	rs reported by	Itawamba, MS	3.80
cal			Source	Behav	ioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	4.00
H	Quality	Poor Mental Health Days*	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	ð	Days	87	Williamson	5.00	3.80	1.20	†Bad ↓Good	2.8	Starke, IN	4.20
		Average number of mentally unhealthy days reported in past 30 days	Engage people in the county on ways to reduce number of poor physical health days reported by residents by 2 days per month.							Itawamba, MS	3.90
		(age-adjusted)	Source	Behav	ioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	4.00
		Low Birthweight	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			72	Pickett	9.54%	7.24%	2.30%	↑Bad ↓Good	8.00%	Starke, IN	6.89%
		Percentage of live births with low birthweight (< 2500 grams)		Reduce the number of low birth weight babies by 3 for every 100 live births.						Itawamba, MS	9.19%
			Source	National (Center for H	Iealth Statistics - 1	Natality files	Year(s)	2007-2013	Prentiss, MS	10.72%
		Adult Smoking*	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			89	Humphreys	26.10%	21.10%	5.00%	†Bad ↓Good	17.00%	Starke, IN	22.50%
		Percentage of adults who are current smokers		Get 20 out of	every 100 c	urrent adult smo	okers in the cou	unty to quit smoki	ng.	Itawamba, MS	19.30%
ors	s		Source	Behav	ioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	20.10%
cto	wiors	Adult Obesity	Rank	10th Ranked		10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	
a L	Behavio		36	Hamilton	32.00%	29.90%	2.10%	†Bad ↓Good	27.00%	Starke, IN	35.70%
Health Fact	ealth Be	Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more	Ge	Get 7 out of every 100 current obese adults in the county to reduce their BMI below 30.						Itawamba, MS	32.90%
ea	Iea		Source		CDC Diabe	tes Interactive At	as	Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	31.20%
H	Η	Food Environment Index	Rank	5th Ranked	Value	5th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			78	Cannon	6.40	7.9	1.5	↑Good ↓Bad	7.20	Starke, IN	7.50
										1	
		Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best)			Increase	the county's foo	d index score l	by 1.5.		Itawamba, MS	7.00

Prepared by the Division of Administrative Services, Tennessee Department of Health. Nashville, TN. May 2016. admin.health@tn.gov or 615-741-9398. The full report can be accessed at: http://tn.gov/health/topic/specialreports. All data is from the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute and Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's, 2015 *County Health Rankings and Roadmaps* report. Difference statements represent the absolute value using the following formula: ["Value" - "10th Ranked Value"]. *The action statements are based on the assumption that no new additional cases occur. **Data should not be compared with prior years due to changes in definition/methods. All rankings and action statements presented in this report must be interpreted with caution due to various limitations of each measurement. Further information with regards to the strengths and limitations of each measurement may be found by clicking the hyperlink found in the description of each measurement.

		Physical Inactivity	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			23	Shelby	33.60%	30.20%	3.40%	↑Bad ↓Good	23.00%	Starke, IN	34.10%
		Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical	Get	11 out of every 10	0 current	y physically inac	tive adults to e	xercise during leis	sure time.	Itawamba, MS	38.10%
		activity	Source	(CDC Diabe	tes Interactive Atl	as	Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	37.60%
		Access to Exercise Opportunities Percentage of population. with adequate access to locations for physical.	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			23	Loudon	68.94%	78.48%	9.54%	↑Good ↓Bad	84.00%	Starke, IN	54.52%
			Consider	how to remove	barriers to	access exercise the county's p		ach an additional 9	9.54 percent of	Itawamba, MS	29.24%
		<u>activity</u>	Source	Business Analy	st, Delorme	, ESRI, & US Cens	us Tigerline Files	Year(s)	2010 & 2014	Prentiss, MS	43.95%
		Excessive Drinking	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	s		19	Benton	10.90%	10.60%	0.30%	↑Bad ↓Good	17.00%	Starke, IN	15.00%
	ealth Behaviors	Percentage of adults reporting binge or heavy drinking	Get 3 out o	•		•	•	ess (binge and he ks per day on aver	• /	Itawamba, MS	15.00%
	Bel		Source	Behav	ioral Risk I	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	14.10%
	alth	Percentage of driving deaths with alcohol involvement	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	He		30	Sullivan	25.00%	19.17%	5.83%	†Bad ↓Good	31.00%	Starke, IN	20.69%
				Reduce th	e number	of alcohol related	l driving death	s by 5.83 percent.		Itawamba, MS	6.25%
rs			Source	Fa	itality Anal	vsis Reporting Syst	em	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	40.00%
cto		Sexually Transmitted	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
На		Infections	14	Overton	175.66	153.22	22.43	↑Bad ↓Good	446.60	Starke, IN	168.01
Health Factors		Number of newly diagnosed chlamydia cases per 100,000 population	Consider ways to educate 2 out of every 10 persons self-reporting to be involved in any sexual contact to commit to using safe sex practices to prevent the infection of Chlamydia.							Itawamba, MS	359.90
[e3		per roo,ooo population	Sou	rce National Center for	HIV/AIDS, Vi	ral Hepatitis, STD, & TB	Prevention	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	244.19
H		Teen Births	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			64	Putnam	53.53	34.87	18.66	†Bad ↓Good	35.00	Starke, IN	44.11
		Teen birth rate per 1,000 female population, ages 15- <u>19</u>	For every 100 teenage females (ages 15 to 19 years) in the county, consider ways to prevent 35 teen(s) from becoming pregnant.						Itawamba, MS	45.25	
		<u>19</u>	Source	National (Center for I	Iealth Statistics - 1	Natality files	Year(s)	2007-2013	Prentiss, MS	64.90
		Uninsured	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			50	Cheatham	16.66%	14.54%	2.12%	†Bad ↓Good	17.00%	Starke, IN	17.08%
		Percentage of population under age 65 without	Get 13 out of every 100 currently uninsured adults ages 18-64 to obtain health insurance.								
			Get	13 out of every 1	00 curren	tly uninsured add	ults ages 18-64	to obtain health in	isurance.	Itawamba, MS	20.13%
		under age 65 without health insurance	Get Source			lth Insurance Esti	0	to obtain health in Year(s)	2013	Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS	20.13%
	are		Source Rank	Sma 10th Ranked	ll Area Hea Value	lth Insurance Esti 10th Ranked Value	mates Difference	Year(s) Shift in Value	2013 US Average	Prentiss, MS Peer County	21.46% Peer Value
	l Care	health insurance Primary Care	Source	Sma	ll Area Hea	lth Insurance Esti 10th Ranked	mates	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	21.46%
	Clinical Care	health insurance Primary Care	Source Rank 38 To reach care prov	Sma 10th Ranked Coffee a population-to- viders would nee	ll Area Hea Value 2118:1 •provider r d to be re	Ith Insurance Esti 10th Ranked Value 1368:1 ratio at or exceed cruited to the con-	mates Difference 750 ling that of the unty. More imp	Year(s) Shift in Value	2013 US Average 1320:1 nty, 32 primary unty's value is	Prentiss, MS Peer County	21.46% Peer Value 2900:1 3906:1
	Clinical Care	health insurance Primary Care Physicians Ratio of population to.	Source Rank 38 To reach care prov	Sma 10th Ranked Coffee a population-to- riders would nee ter than 3,500, tl	ll Area Hea Value 2118:1 •provider n d to be re nis county	Ith Insurance Esti 10th Ranked Value 1368:1 atio at or exceed cruited to the con- would be consid e/American Media	mates Difference 750 ling that of the anty. More imp lered a Health	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good 10th ranking cour portantly, if the cou	2013 US Average 1320:1 nty, 32 primary unty's value is	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN	21.46% Peer Value 2900:1
	Clinical Care	health insurance Primary Care Physicians Ratio of population to.	Source Rank 38 To reach care prov grea Source Rank	Sma 10th Ranked Coffee a population-to- viders would need ter than 3,500, the Area Health F 10th Ranked	ll Area Hez Value 2118:1 •provider r d to be re nis county esource Fi Value	Ith Insurance Esti 10th Ranked Value 1368:1 atio at or exceed cruited to the con- would be consid e/American Medi 10th Ranked Value	mates Difference 750 ling that of the anty. More imp lered a Health cal Association Difference	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good 10th ranking cour portantly, if the co Professional Short Year(s) Shift in Value	2013 US Average 1320:1 hty, 32 primary unty's value is iage Area. 2013 US Average	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County	21.46% Peer Value 2900:1 3906:1 1953:1 Peer Value
	Clinical Care	health insurance Primary Care Physicians Ratio of population to primary care physicians	Source Rank 38 To reach care pro- grea Source Rank 58	Sma 10th Ranked Coffee a population-to- viders would nee ter than 3,500, tl Area Health F 10th Ranked Dickson	ll Area Hea Value 2118:1 provider f d to be re his county cesource Fi Value 3992:1	Ith Insurance Esti 10th Ranked Value 1368:1 atio at or exceed cruited to the con- would be consid le/American Med- 10th Ranked Value 1744:1	mates Difference 750 ling that of the anty. More imp lered a Health cal Association Difference 2248	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good 10th ranking course portantly, if the course Professional Short Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good	2013 US Average 1320:1 hty, 32 primary unty's value is tage Area. 2013 US Average 1540:1	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS	21.46% Peer Value 2900:1 3906:1 1953:1
	Clinical Care	health insurance Primary Care Physicians Ratio of population to primary care physicians	Source Rank 38 To reach care prov grea Source Rank 58 To reach a providers	Sma 10th Ranked Coffee a population-to- viders would neet ter than 3,500, tl Area Health F 10th Ranked Dickson population-to-p would need to b	ll Area Her Value 2118:1 provider 1 d to be re nis county cesource Fi Value 3992:1 rovider ra e recruited	Ith Insurance Esti 10th Ranked Value 1368:1 atio at or exceed cruited to the co would be consid ie/American Medi 10th Ranked Value 1744:1 tio at or exceedin I to the county. M	mates Difference 750 ling that of the unty. More imp lered a Health cal Association Difference 2248 ng that of the 10 dore important	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good 10th ranking cour portantly, if the co Professional Short Year(s) Shift in Value	2013 US Average 1320:1 hty, 32 primary unty's value is tage Area. 2013 US Average 1540:1 y, 40 dental care value is greater	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County	21.46% Peer Value 2900:1 3906:1 1953:1 Peer Value

		Mental Health	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Providers	58	Henry	3327:1	644:1	2683	†Bad ↓Good	490:1	Starke, IN	7691:1
		Ratio of population to mental health providers	To reach a population-to-provider ratio at or exceeding that of the 10th ranking county, 153 mental health providers would need to be recruited to the county. More importantly, if the county's value is greater than 30,000, this county would be considered a Health Professional Shortage Area.								5882:1
			Source	CMS,	National I	Provider Identificat	ion file	Year(s)	2015	Prentiss, MS	1695:1
		Preventable	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Hospital Stays	89	Anderson	130.50	50.27	80.23	†Bad ↓Good	54.00	Starke, IN	91.32
	Care	<u>Number of hospital stays</u> <u>for ambulatory-care</u> <u>sensitive conditions per</u>	For every 100 Medicare enrollees, prevent 1 Medicare enrollees from being hospitalized for ambulatory-care sensitive conditions.								57.65
	al (1,000 Medicare enrollees	Source	Ι	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	ire	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	82.34
	Clinical Care	Diabetic Monitoring	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	Ū	Monitoring	78	Giles	84.38%	89.20%	4.83%	†Good ↓Bad	63.00%	Starke, IN	84.79%
		Percentage of diabetic Medicare enrollees ages 65- 75 that receive HbA1c	For every 100 diabetic Medicare enrollees, get 5 additional Medicare enrollees to receive HbA1c screening.							Itawamba, MS	89.27%
		monitoring	Source	I	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	ire	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	87.22%
		Mammography Screening	Rank	5th Ranked	Value	5th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		8	36	Cumberland	61.00%	71.00%	10.00%	↑Good ↓Bad	63.00%	Starke, IN	42.00%
		Percentage of female. Medicare enrollees ages 67- <u>69 that receive</u>	For ev	-		mammography	screening.	care enrollees to r	eceive their	Itawamba, MS	58.00%
rs		mammography screening	Source	I	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	ure	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	48.00%
lcto		High School Graduation	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Fa			87	Tipton	81.00%	98.00%	17.00%	↑Good ↓Bad	82.00%	Starke, IN	87.11%
Health Factors		Percentage of ninth-grade cohort that graduates in four years	For every 100 ninth graders, assist 17 additional ninth graders to graduate within four years.								82.00%
ē			Source			EDFacts		Year(s)	2012-2013	Prentiss, MS	83.83%
Ŧ		Some College Percentage of adults ages 25-44 years with some post-secondary education	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			77	Shelby	37.85%	62.28%	24.44%	↑Good ↓Bad	64.00%	Starke, IN	50.40%
	Factors		Ees er								
	act	25-44 years with some post-secondary education	Forev	ery 100 adults b		-44 years of age, a ursework at the c		onal adults to com	plete some	Itawamba, MS	52.09%
	ā		Source	ery 100 adults b	со		ollegiate level.		2010-2014	Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS	52.09% 48.01%
	nic Fa		Source Rank	10th Ranked	co American Value	ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value	ollegiate level. y Difference	Year(s) Shift in Value	2010-2014 US Average	Prentiss, MS Peer County	48.01% Peer Value
	nomic Fa	post-secondary education	Source	·	co American	ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked	ollegiate level.	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	48.01%
	Economic	Dist-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking	Source Rank 83	10th Ranked Robertson	American Value 9.36%	ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69%	Difference 3.67%	Year(s) Shift in Value	2010-2014 US Average 6.20%	Prentiss, MS Peer County	48.01% Peer Value
	& Economic	Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older	Source Rank 83	10th Ranked Robertson	CO American Value 9.36% ages 16 ye	ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69%	Difference 3.67%	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good	2010-2014 US Average 6.20%	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN	48.01% Peer Value 7.43%
	& Economic	Dist-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking	Source Rank 83 For er Source Rank	10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons 10th Ranked	American Value 9.36% ages 16 yo Bureau Value	ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value	Difference 3.67% Point 4 persons su Difference	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good uccessfully find en Year(s) Shift in Value	2010-2014 US Average 6.20% pployment. 2014 US Average	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County	48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% Peer Value
	Economic	Post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking work	Source Rank 83 For ev Source	10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons	CO American Value 9.36% ages 16 ye Bureau	ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked	Difference 3.67%	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good uccessfully find en Year(s)	2010-2014 US Average 6.20% nployment. 2014	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS	48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86%
	& Economic	Post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking work	Source Rank 83 For ev Source Rank 84	10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons 10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (u	CO American 9.36% ages 16 yr Bureau o Value 34.30% inder 18 yr	ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, h povert	Difference 3.67% Point persons su Difference 13.20% help 39 children y.	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good uccessfully find en Year(s) Shift in Value	2010-2014 US Average 6.20% pployment. 2014 US Average 22.00% e to get out of	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS	48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% Peer Value
	& Economic	post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking work Children in Poverty Percentage of children	Source Rank 83 For ev Source Rank 84	10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons 10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (u	CO American 9.36% ages 16 yr Bureau o Value 34.30% inder 18 yr	ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, h	Difference 3.67% Point persons su Difference 13.20% help 39 children y.	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good uccessfully find en Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good	2010-2014 US Average 6.20% nployment. 2014 US Average 22.00%	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN	48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% Peer Value 23.60%
	& Economic	post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking work Children in Poverty Percentage of children	Source Rank Source Source Rank Start Source Rank Rank Rank	10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons 10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (u Small 10th Ranked	American Value 9.36% ages 16 yu Bureau o Value 34.30% inder 18 yu Area Incor	ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, H povert ne and Poverty Es 10th Ranked Value	ollegiate level. y Difference 3.67% b persons su Difference 13.20% b point of the substant of	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good accessfully find en Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good in to find assistance Year(s) Shift in Value	2010-2014 US Average 6.20% pployment. 2014 US Average 22.00% e to get out of 2014 US Average	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Prentiss, MS	48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% 23.60% 23.80% 29.90% Peer Value
	& Economic	post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking. work Children in Poverty Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty	Source Rank 83 For er Source Rank 84 For ever	10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons 10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (u	CO American 9.36% ages 16 y Bureau 34.30% nder 18 y Area Incor	ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, H povert ne and Poverty Es 10th Ranked	Difference 3.67% Poifference 13.20% Difference 13.20% help 39 children y.	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good uccessfully find en Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good n to find assistance Year(s)	2010-2014 US Average 6.20% aployment. 2014 US Average 22.00% e to get out of 2014	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS	48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% Peer Value 23.60% 23.80% 29.90%
	& Economic	post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking. work Children in Poverty Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty	Source Rank 83 For ever Source Rank Source Source Rank 84	10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons 10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (u Small 10th Ranked Houston ch a household	American Value 9.36% ages 16 y Bureau Value 34.30% Area Incon Value 5.01 income ra	ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, h povert me and Poverty Es 10th Ranked Value 4.11	ollegiate level. y Difference 3.67% elp 4 persons su Difference 13.20% help 39 children y. timates Difference 0.90 at of the 10th r.	Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good uccessfully find en Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good a to find assistance Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good anking county red	2010-2014 US Average 6.20% aployment. 2014 US Average 22.00% e to get out of 2014 US Average 4.70	Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Prentiss, MS	48.01% Pcer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% 23.60% 23.80% 29.90% Pcer Value

		Children in Single-	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Parent Households	20	Lawrence	28.24%	23.36%	4.87%	†Bad ↓Good	34.00%	Starke, IN	30.76%
		Percentage of children that live in a household headed	For every 100 single parent households in the county, investigate ways to encourage 18 households to be a multi-parent household.							Itawamba, MS	25.91%
		by single parent	Source		American	Community Surve	у	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	35.96%
		Social Associations	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			42	Johnson	11.43	16.13	4.70	†Good ↓Bad	9.00	Starke, IN	11.64
	tors	Number of membership associations per 10,000 population	For every 100 people in the county, increase the number of membership associations by 1.							Itawamba, MS	10.24
	Fac	• •	Source		County	Business Patterns		Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	10.63
	Economic Factors	Violent Crime	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	noi		52	Carter	373.43	206.33	167.10	†Bad ↓Good	392.00	Starke, IN	178.27
		Number of reported violent crime offenses per 100,000 population	For	every 100 person	ns in the c	ounty, prevent 45	5 people from c	committing a viole	nt crime.	Itawamba, MS	121.61
	ıl &		Source	J	Uniform Cr	ime Reporting - F	BI	Year(s)	2010-2012	Prentiss, MS	NA
	Social	Injury Deaths	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	
			73	Washington	105.59	70.04	35.55	†Bad ↓Good	60.00	Starke, IN	105.75
		Number of deaths due to injury per 100,000 population	For ev	very 1,000 popula	ation cons	ider ways to prev unintentiona		as a result of inter	ntional and	Itawamba, MS	111.27
(0)			Source	(CDC WON	IDER mortality da	ita	Year(s)	2009-2013	Prentiss, MS	85.87
Factors		Air Pollution - Particulate Matter	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
ac			19	Greene	13.27	13.14	0.13	†Bad ↓Good	11.40	Starke, IN	13.21
		Average daily density of fine particulate matter in micrograms per cubic	Reduce the average daily measure of fine particulate matter by 0.13 micrograms per cubic meter (PM2.5).							Itawamba, MS	12.16
ealth		<u>meter (PM2.5)</u>	Source	CD	C WOND	ER Environmental	l data	Year(s)	2011	Prentiss, MS	12.52
He		Drinking Water Violations	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Percentage of population. potentially exposed to. water exceeding a violation limit during the past year Severe Housing Problems	No Rank	NA	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Starke, IN	No
				There were no health-based drinking water violations.						Itawamba, MS	No
			Source	Safe	Drinking W	ater Information	System	Year(s)	FY2013-14	Prentiss, MS	No
			Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	αt	Troblems	34	Unicoi	13.28%	11.70%	1.58%	†Bad ↓Good	19.00%	Starke, IN	15.04%
	Physical Environment	Percentage of households with at least 1 of 4 housing problems: overcrowding, high housing costs, or lack of kitchen or plumbing	For every 1	00 persons in th	e county e	experiencing hou assistan	<u> </u>	, help 12 person(s)	to find housing	Itawamba, MS	9.44%
	E	<u>facilities</u>	Source	Comprehensive	e Housing .	Affordability Strate	egy (CHAS) data	a Year(s)	2008-2012	Prentiss, MS	12.92%
	sica	Driving Alone to Work	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	Phy		83	Grundy	87.68%	80.27%	7.41%	↑Bad ↓Good	76.00%	Starke, IN	81.49%
		Percentage of the workforce that drives	For ev	ery 100 persons		transporta	ation.	nce 9 to carpool or		Itawamba, MS	88.28%
		alone to work	Source		American	Community Surve	у	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	83.56%
		Long Commute - Driving Alone	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Among workers who	43 For every 1	Hamilton	35.60%	25.20%	10.40%	↑Bad ↓Good ne to work, convin	31.00%	Starke, IN	41.10%
		commute in their car alone, the percentage that	1 or every 1	oo persons that	-	or take mass-trai		ue to work, convin	ce so to carpool	Itawamba, MS	36.50%
		<u>commute more than 30</u> <u>minutes</u>	Source		American	Community Surve	у	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	34.30%