

Date: 12/10/2014



DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Design Services for Lease Build-Outs
Agency: General Services
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 527/000-01-2014
Project Approval Date: 12/11/2014
Total Project Budget: \$ 420,000.00
MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 5,192,550.00 (Estimated for all seven leases)
Designer Fee: \$ 336,122.00 (Estimated for all seven leases)
Project Description: Design services through the construction document phase for tenant improvements at up to seven lease locations in the Memphis area. Services will include securing Fire Marshal approval. Leases range in size from 19,400 to 46,000 SF.

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Recommended Designers</u>
1	The Crump Firm, Inc.
2	Fleming Associates Architects, PC
3	brg3s, Inc.

Other Firms Submitted: A2H, Inc.; Askew Nixon Ferguson Architects; Braganza Associates, PC; Evans Taylor Foster Childress Architects, PC; Haizlip Studio, PLLC; McGehee Nicholason Burke Architects, PC; Renaissance Group; Smith Seckman Reid, Inc.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

1) Firm: The Crump Firm, Inc,

Comments: Presented a well thought out project approach, showcased an experienced project team and recent & relevant project experience. Responses were clear and concise.

2) Firm: Fleming Associates Architects, PC

Comments: Relevant project experience; Proposed a good project team, including consultants. Showcased a working knowledge of BIM.

3) Firm: brg3s, Inc.

Comments: Proposed multiple project teams, should the project require it. They have previous project experience, but experience seems to be mainly medical based.

Date: 12/11/2014

2

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Engineering Services - West TN River Basin Authority
Agency: TN River Basin Authorities
Category: Standard - Consultant
SBC Number: 128/020-01-2014
Project Approval Date: 07/10/2014
Maximum Liability: \$ 100,000.00
Project Description: The West Tennessee River Basin Authority (WTRBA) is requesting proposals for on-call civil engineering services to assist with Hydraulic Modeling, Engineering Design, Environmental Permitting, Project Construction and/or Management, and Annual Monitoring/Reporting. This is a multi-year contract with a maximum of four years of renewals. The first year's term will end on October 31, 2015, with the renewal date to start on the November 1st of each successive year.

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Recommended Designers</u>
1	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
2	Barge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon, Inc.
3	Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc.

Other Firms Submitted: A2H, Inc.; AECOM; Buchart Horn, Inc.; KCI Technologies, Inc.; Smith, Seckman, Reid, Inc.; Third Rock Consultants, LLC; TLM Associates, Inc.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

1) Firm: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Comments: Strong and diverse project team; Team has relevant project experience
Thoughtful project approach; Strong sub-consultants; Willingness to use local
contractors

2) Firm: Barge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon, Inc.

Comments: Familiarity with WTRBA; Balanced project team with relevant experience;
slightly less specific project approach

3) Firm: Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc.

Comments: Good project team with well-rounded environmental background; not local
to project area

Date: 12/10/2014

3

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Security Consulting Services
Agency General Services
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 529/000-07-2014
SBC Approval Date: 11/13/2014
Maximum Liability \$ 100,000.00
Project Description: Security consultant to provide a comprehensive security program review of selected State-owned facilities. Consultant to perform investigative site visits, provide reports of deficiencies per facility and provide recommendations for security improvements with associated cost estimates. All related work and documentation to be included.

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Recommended Designers</u>
1	Security Risk Management Consultants, LLC
2	Applied Research Associates, Inc.
3	AON Global Risk Consulting Security

Other Firms Submitted: I. C. Thomasson Associates, Inc.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

1) Firm: Security Risk Management Consultants, LLC
Comments: Very experienced project team with specific experience in projects of similar scope; focus on policy and personnel; thorough response in their project approach.
2) Firm: Applied Research Associates, Inc.
Comments: Experienced project team; project approach tended more toward a risk assessment and project reporting seemed to be software based.
3) Firm: AON Global Risk Consulting Security
Comments: Experienced project team; didn't clearly address commitment to objectivity or compliance to national standards.

4

Date: 12/11/2014

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Site Optimization Study - Mark Luttrell Correctional Center
Agency: Correction
Category: Standard - Consultant
SBC Number: 140/005-01-2014
Project Approval Date: 10/09/2014
Maximum Liability: \$ 95,000.00
Project Description: Provide a site study to ascertain the best value for investment in facilities and upgrades at the institution. The study will review present conditions, current project funding, and finalize a direction for investment in facilities within the site. The study will address operational and security requirements for present and future considerations.

Submissions should clearly outline experience in facility planning and knowledge of state prisons.

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Recommended Designers</u>
1	Centric Architecture, Inc.
T2	Evans Taylor Foster Childress Architects, PC
T2	Kline Swinney Associates

Other Firms Submitted: None

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

1) Firm: Centric Architecture, Inc.

Comments: Provided the best all-around response to the projects scope; Concise and to-the-point project approach; Well-qualified project team with experienced engineers, security consultants and a kitchen specialist.

T2) Firm: Evans Taylor Foster Childress Architects, PC

Comments: Well-qualified team; no kitchen or security consultants mentioned, somewhat generalized project approach

T2) Firm: Kline Swinney Associates

Comments: Strong experience on correction projects; Well qualified project team; project approach seemed to place emphasis only on new construction instead of considering renovations for the site.

Date: 12/9/2014

5

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Structural Repair & Renovation of Students Cottages - Tennessee School for the Deaf

Agency: Education

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 168/007-01-2014

Project Approval Date: 10/09/2014

Total Project Budget: \$ 150,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 121,000.00

Designer Fee: \$ 13,461.00

Project Description: Repair and replacement of structural floor trusses, framing, and interior finishes, plumbing & mechanical infrastructure, mold remediation as required and all related work.

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Recommended Designers</u>
1	Red Chair Architects
2	Architects Weeks, Ambrose, McDonald, Inc.

Comments: Choice 1 presented recent work experience on projects of similar size, scope, and cost to this project. In addition, they also demonstrated specific knowledge of the needs of the deaf/hearing impaired. Choice 2 is equally qualified to complete the work of this project; however they do not appear to have as recent work experience on similar projects, nor recent experience working with the deaf/hearing impaired.

Other Firms Submitting: None



6

Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: ChSCC Hospitality Management Renovations
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 165,000
MACC: 140,000
SBC Project No: 166/012-02-2014

Make interior renovations to the Center for Business, Industry and Health in the former cafeteria area to accommodate the Hospitality Management Program. Coordinate with the college to install and connect the kitchen equipment furnished by the college.

- 1. Artech Design Group**
Kitchen/renovation experience on all listed projects. Team members have similar project experience and have worked together. Same consultants to be used from referenced projects. Kitchen consultant with experience working with team members.
- 2. Neuhoff Taylor Architects, P.C.**
Kitchen/renovation experience on all listed projects. Team members have similar project experience and have worked together. Different consultants used on all referenced projects. Kitchen consultant with experience working with team members.
- 3. Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects**
All referenced projects are renovations. Firm members have experience working together on referenced projects. Firm members have worked with consultants, who have similar project experience. No kitchen renovations noted.

A total of 5 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Artech Design Group	Chattanooga
Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects	Chattanooga
Neuhoff Taylor Architects, P.C.	Chattanooga
Tim Burney Architect	Chattanooga
Wright Associates Technical Services, LLC	Tulahoma



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

7

Designer Recommendations

Project: ChSCC Lift Station Emergency Repairs
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 400,000
MACC: 330,000
SBC Project No: 166/012-03-2014

Replace a deteriorated and overloaded steel construction sewer lift station that serves the TCAT buildings at Chattanooga State with a new precast concrete wet well with dual submersible pumps, new valve box and valves, new electrical and alarm system, and piping. Insure the new lift station conforms to TDEC design guidelines.

1. CTI Engineers, Inc.

The submitted projects and qualifications show that this firm has extensive experience in the design and rehabilitation of sewage lift stations. The staff that worked on the submitted projects is mostly consistent with the staff designated for this project. One of the projects submitted includes the same team and is similar to the ChSCC project. This firm is based in Chattanooga with all design services available in house.

2. Fulghum, MacIndoe & Associates, Inc.

The submitted projects and qualifications show that this firm has extensive experience in the design and rehabilitation of sewage lift stations. The staff that worked on the submitted projects is consistent with the staff designated for this project. This firm is based in Knoxville and an electrical consultant is listed.

3. Littlejohn Engineering Associates, Inc.

The submitted projects and qualifications show that this firm has extensive experience in the design and rehabilitation of sewage lift stations. The staff that worked on the submitted projects is fairly consistent with the staff designated for this project. This firm is located in Chattanooga and an electrical consultant is listed.

A total of 9 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Adams Craft Herz Walker, Inc.	Oak Ridge
Allen & Hoshall, Inc.	Chattanooga
CEC, Inc.	Nashville
CTI Engineers, Inc.	Chattanooga
Fulghum, MacIndoe & Associates, Inc.	Knoxville
Griggs & Maloney, Inc.	Murfreesboro
Mattern & Craig, Inc.	Kingsport
Littlejohn Engineering Associates, Inc.	Chattanooga
Wright Associates Technical Services, LLC	Tullahoma



8

Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: PSCC Strawberry Plains Nursing Renovations
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 1,700,000
MACC: 1,540,000
SBC Project No: 166/032-04-2014

Renovations to the third floor to support nursing programs. An environmental consultant is required as a part of the basic design services.

1. Johnson Architecture

All five projects are renovations. Three projects are interior renovations with science/lab/classroom related elements and two are code related renovations. The most recent interior renovation is at the Strawberry Plains Campus. The proposed design team is consistent with four projects. An environmental consultant is listed.

2. Community Tectonics

Four projects are renovations and one project is a JV for a new TBR campus that included a Nursing program. Four projects have labs, classrooms and offices very similar in scope to this project. Two renovations were at the Strawberry Plains Campus. The proposed design team is consistent with four projects. Four of the projects were commenced by the proposed staff while at the previous Community Tectonics firm. Three projects were completed by the proposed staff under the current firm. An environmental consultant is listed.

3. Upland Design Group

Five projects have somewhat similar scope elements to this project. Two are new construction of nursing related facilities and three are renovations of science/lab related spaces. The proposed MEP consultant is consistent on three of the projects. An environmental and AV consultant are proposed

A total of 11 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

ACHW, Inc.	Oak Ridge
Community Tectonics, Inc.	Knoxville
Johnson Architecture, Inc.	Knoxville
Red Chair Architects	Knoxville
Reedy & Sykes Architecture and Design	Elizabethton
Sparkman & Associates Architects, Inc.	Knoxville
Studio Four Design, Inc.	Knoxville
The Lewis Group Architects, Inc.	Knoxville
Thomas Weems Architect	Johnson City
Upland Design Group	Crossville
Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.	Knoxville



9

Tennessee Board of Regents Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: TTU Student Recreation and Fitness Facility
 Category: Major
 Total Budget: 40,000,000
 MACC: 35,175,000
 SBC Project No: 166/011-13-2014

Construct a recreation, fitness, wellness and intramural sports center for the campus.

1. HFR Design

The firm of HFR has augmented their firm with consultants that together provide a complete team with extensive experience with similar type buildings. Reference checks were all very positive. This team, with their consultants, was determined to be the best match to meet the objectives of the university.

2. Upland Design Group

This firm and their consultants have several higher education buildings as well as several student recreation type buildings. The team has capabilities to complete the project.

3. Bauer Askew Architecture, PLC

Bauer Askew has completed various higher education buildings, and their consultants have completed student recreation type facilities.

A total of 18 firms responded to the Request for Qualifications for this project:

Bauer Askew Architecture	Nashville
Bullock Smith & Partners	Nashville
Cope Architecture	Knoxville
Earl Swensson Architects	Nashville
Gilbert McLaughlin Casella, Architects	Nashville
Gould Turner Group, P.C.	Nashville
Gresham, Smith & Partners	Nashville
HFR Design	Nashville
Johnson Johnson Crabtree, Architects	Nashville
Kline Swinney, Associates	Nashville
Lose & Associates, Inc.	Nashville
Lyle Cook Martin, Architects	Clarksville
Michael Brady, Inc.	Knoxville
Street Dixon Rick, Architecture	Nashville
Studio Four Design	Knoxville
The Lewis Group, Architects	Knoxville
Tuck Hinton, Architects	Nashville
Upland Design Group	Crossville

Tennessee Board of Regents Tennessee Technological University - Student Recreation Center

Qualifications & Experience

Technical

	Evaluator					Median	Evaluator					Median	Q&E+T TOTAL	Delta		
	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5					
1	Bauer Askew	45.5	39.0	44.0	45.0	44.0	44.0	44.0	44.0	44.0	44.0	46.0	46.0	90.00	3	3.50
2	Bullock Smith & Partners	43.0	32.8	30.5	23.0	37.5	32.75	37.5	32.75	32.75	32.75	38.5	38.5	71.25	16	22.25
3	Cope Associates	39.5	29.8	30.5	26.0	32.0	30.50	32.0	30.50	30.50	30.50	33.0	36.50	67.00	18	26.50
4	Earl Swenson	47.0	36.5	35.5	43.0	42.0	42.00	42.0	42.00	42.00	44.5	40.00	40.00	82.00	4	11.50
5	Gilbert McLaughlin Casella	42.0	28.0	32.0	37.0	45.0	37.00	45.0	37.00	37.00	43.0	36.50	36.50	73.50	11	20.00
6	Gould Turner Group	38.5	17.3	32.0	29.0	37.5	32.00	37.5	32.00	32.00	36.5	36.00	36.00	68.00	17	25.50
7	Gresham, Smith & Partners	43.0	31.3	41.0	39.5	41.0	41.00	41.0	41.00	41.00	40.5	40.50	40.50	81.50	5	12.00
8	HFR Design	43.0	42.5	45.0	47.7	45.0	45.00	45.0	45.00	45.00	49.0	48.50	48.50	93.50	1	-
9	Johnson Johnson Crabtree	37.0	28.8	36.5	37.0	39.0	37.00	39.0	37.00	37.00	41.0	37.00	37.00	74.00	9	19.50
10	Kline Swinney	38.0	29.8	34.5	30.5	35.0	34.50	35.0	34.50	34.50	34.5	37.00	37.00	71.50	15	22.00
11	Lose & Associates	39.5	23.5	33.0	32.0	39.0	33.00	39.0	33.00	33.00	39.5	39.50	39.50	72.50	14	21.00
12	Lyle Cook Martin	45.0	33.5	39.0	39.0	42.0	39.00	42.0	39.00	39.00	40.0	41.00	41.00	80.00	6	13.50
13	Michael Brady	37.5	30.0	38.0	38.0	32.5	37.50	38.0	37.50	37.50	32.0	36.00	36.00	73.50	11	20.00
14	Street Dixon Rick	43.5	33.0	38.0	40.0	35.0	38.00	40.0	38.00	38.00	36.0	39.50	39.50	77.50	7	16.00
15	Studio Four	41.5	33.0	37.0	41.5	33.0	37.00	41.5	37.00	37.00	35.0	40.50	40.50	77.50	7	16.00
16	The Lewis Group	38.5	32.0	36.0	34.5	37.5	36.00	37.5	36.00	36.00	38.0	38.00	38.00	74.00	9	19.50
17	Tuck Hinton	40.5	25.5	30.5	34.0	44.0	34.00	44.0	34.00	34.00	42.0	39.50	39.50	73.50	11	20.00
18	Upland Design Group	45.5	43.5	44.5	46.5	48.0	45.50	48.0	45.50	45.50	49.0	47.50	47.50	93.00	2	0.50
	Median	41.8	31.6	36.3	37.5	39.0	37.0	39.0	37.0	37.0	39.8	39.8	39.8	74.0	Median	
	Average	41.6	31.6	36.5	36.8	39.4	37.5	39.4	37.5	37.5	39.9	39.9	39.9	77.4	Average	
	High	47.0	43.5	45.0	47.7	48.0	45.5	48.0	45.5	45.5	49.0	48.5	48.5	93.5	High	
	Low	37.0	17.3	30.5	23.0	32.0	30.5	32.0	30.5	30.5	32.0	36.0	36.0	67.0	Low	
	Difference	10.0	26.3	14.5	24.7	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	15.0	17.0	12.5	12.5	26.5	Difference	



Designer Recommendation

December 11, 2014

Project: Hodges Library Improvements
UT Knoxville
SBC 540/009-08-2014

Category: Minor

Total Budget: \$300,000.00

MACC: \$247,500.00

This project will renovate space in Hodges Library on the first floor for a Special Collection Exhibition area.

1. Sparkman and Associates

All referenced projects are of similar scope to this project which was done for another University Library. They have submitted an experienced team with consultants that have worked with the University on other projects. This firm is located in Knoxville.

2. Design Innovation Architects, Inc.

Project experience given showed work in the Hodges Library as well as other library projects. Staff proposed has good experience, and the consultants proposed have worked with the campus. This firm is located in Knoxville.

3. McCarty Holsaple McCarty Architects and Interior Designers, Inc.

All projects highlighted are for UT Knoxville with two of them being in Hodges Library. Proposed staff has worked with the University on numerous other projects, and the consultants submitted are experienced. This firm is located in Knoxville.

A total of 3 firms were solicited for this work.

11



THE UNIVERSITY of TENNESSEE
KNOXVILLE • CHATTANOOGA • MARTIN • MEMPHIS • TULLAHOMA

Office of Capital Projects

Designer Recommendation

December 11, 2014

Project: Clement Building System Improvements
UT Martin
SBC 540/011-02-2014

Category: Standard

Total Budget: \$7,000,000.00

MACC: \$6,300,000.00

This project will replace the HVAC, and electrical systems and make improvements to the plumbing system. An elevator will be added to remedy accessibility deficiencies. Code deficiencies will also be addressed and hazardous material will be abated as necessary.

1. HNA Engineering, PLLC

Projects experience submitted for review not only cover higher education but some private work. Each project shows a similarity to this one in certain aspects. They show experience in what aspects will be needed for this work. Proposed staff is an experienced team that has background in working on higher education projects. The consultants proposed have worked with the UT Martin campus and is familiar with processes and procedures. This firm is located in Arlington.

2. Allen & Hoshall, Inc.

This firm has submitted projects that were done either with UT or another higher education facility, and show components that are relevant to this project. They show knowledge in working with campuses on their desired needs and project scope. A qualified staff is highlighted with consultants who are knowledgeable in the aspects required for this project. They are located in Memphis.

3. Thompson Engineers, Inc.

Highlighted projects include ones that are similar to the scope of this project which were done for other higher education schools. They submitted relevant projects that have similar components to this project. The staff is experienced and consultants are competent. This firm is located in Memphis.

A total of 10 firms submitted qualifications for this project. Other firms submitting are as follows:

Anderson Buehler Architects, PLLC
The Horrell Group Architects
Innovative Engineering Services (IES)
Pickering Firm, Inc.

Smith Seckman Reid, Inc. (SSR)
Vaughan Associates Architects, Inc.
Violette Architecture / Interior Design