O N

Agenda Item:	V.
	·

DATE: November 15, 2007

SUBJECT: Improving Teacher Quality Grant Program Awards, 2007-08

ACTION RECOMMENDED: Approval

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Operating as Title II of the No Child Left Behind Act, the Improving Teacher Quality Grant Program is a federally funded program which provides grants to public and private higher education institutions and non-profit organizations. Administered in Tennessee by the Tennessee Higher Education Commission, these grants are designed to conduct training for K-12 teachers, paraprofessionals and principals. Eligible subject areas include Mathematics, Science, English/Language Arts, Social Studies, Foreign Languages (including English as a Second Language) and related Arts. The Commission adopted a State plan and created an Advisory Committee to review grant proposals and make funding recommendations to the Commission. This year's Advisory Committee is listed on Attachment A.

The attached materials present the projects and funding levels recommended by the Advisory Committee. The recommended projects reflect those that provide maximum effectiveness in involvement of instructional effort for public and private K-12 schools in Tennessee.

As a method of soliciting proposals in direct alignment with Tennessee's K-12 needs, the Request for Proposals included special categories that could be integrated into a project's goals and objectives. This initiative highlights strategies for professional development. Another feature in this year's review process was a two-tiered competition which included the General Competition and a Capacity Building Competition. The Capacity Building Competition was included to encourage more sustained and time intensive professional development. It differed from the General Competition in three major ways: institutions could request up to \$150,000 over a 17 month period, the participants would receive at least 6 hours of graduate credit, and school administrator participation was mandatory. The General Competition was the same as in previous years with institutions being able to request up to \$75,000 over an 8-month period.

Thirty-nine proposals were submitted; of those, the Advisory Committee recommended funding for 20 projects totaling \$1,308,763.

In past years, there have been questions as to whether projects offering credit courses at public institutions will generate dollars through the funding formula. As in the past, such courses will be required to be designated as contract courses; thus, they will not generate dollars under the formula.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION INCLUDED: A list of recommended institutions and funding levels is provided in Attachment B. The General Competition projects will be funded for the period January 1, 2008 to September 30, 2008 and the Capacity Building projects will be funded January 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009. The grant review process is described on Attachment C to this agenda item.

OTHER SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE: All grant proposals are available for review at the Commission office.

ATTACHMENT A 2007-08 IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Dr. William Arnold Tennessee Higher Education Commission

Dr. Calvin Brown Tennessee State University

Dr. Linda Creek Tennessee Department of Education

Dr. Kay Clark Tennessee Board of Regents

Dr. Scott Eddins Tennessee Department of Education

Mr. Terrance Gibson Tennessee Education Association

Dr. John Graef University of Tennessee at Chattanooga

Dr. Katie High The University of Tennessee, Knoxville Dr. Mary Jo Howland State Board of Education

Ms. Linda Jordan Tennessee Department of Education

Dr. Terry Lashley SPEED - Non-Profit Organization

Dr. Patrick Meldrum Tennessee Independent Colleges and Universities Association

Dr. Jennifer Nix Tennessee Department of Education

Ms. Tarol Wells Memphis Public Schools

Dr. Celeste Williams Tennessee State University

Dr. Bradley Windley Tennessee Higher Education Commission

ATTACHMENT B RECOMMENDED PROJECTS FOR 2007-08

PUBLIC FOUR-YEAR INSTITUTIONS

East Tennessee State University Dr. Clarissa Willis	\$52,500
"Autism Inclusion in East Tennessee: Blending Research and Practice"	
Middle Tennessee State University Dr. Jeremy Winters, Dr. Dovie Kimmins "Mathematical Literacy: Reading and Writing to Learn Mathematics"	\$74,625
Middle Tennessee State University Dr. Pat Patterson "Systemic Reform in Science Education Across South-Central Tennessee"	\$125,000
Middle Tennessee State University Dr. Connie Jones-Wade "The Academy for Teachers of the Gifted 2008"	\$69,995
The University of Tennessee Chattanooga Dr. Francesco Barioli, Dr. Ronald L. Smith "Applications of Algebra and Statistics, IV"	\$74,993
The University of Tennessee Chattanooga Ms. Meg Kiessling "EXPLORE-ing Middle School Mathematics"	\$61,500
The University of Tennessee Chattanooga Ms. Tracy Hughes "PLAN of ACTion for High School Mathematics Teachers"	\$60,000
The University of Tennessee Chattanooga Dr. Lauren S. Ingraham "Reading NonFiction, Reading the World: Preparing Middle and High Sch Students for Academic Success and Informed Citizenship"	\$64,000 nool
The University of Tennessee Chattanooga Dr. Kay W. Cowan "Vocabulary Across the Content Areas"	\$65,000
The University of Tennessee Martin Dr. Suzanne Maniss "Technology Enhanced Curriculum for Hispanic Students"	\$72,500

The University of Tennessee Martin

\$71,500

Dr. Cahit Erkal, Mr. Jamie Rickman

"Activity Based Physics and Astronomy Summer Institute for Middle and Junior-High School Teachers: Year Six"

The University of Tennessee Knoxville

\$68,900

Dr. M. Lynn Woolsey

"A Visual Phonics Program for Deaf Education Professionals: Examining Teacher Knowledge of Reading and the Associated Student Outcomes"

The University of Tennessee at Knoxville

\$32,500

Dr. Terrell L. Strayhorn

"Enhancing High-Need Student Performance in Priority Areas: Using Culturally-Relevant Teaching Strategies in Classroom Instruction"

Tennessee Technological University

\$54,000

Dr. Shannon D. Collins, Dr. Anthony Baker

"Write-On! Developing Teachers of Writers and Readers"

Tennessee Technological University

\$57,500

Dr. Helen T. Dainty

"Picture This: Even More Success for our Students with Autism when Special and General Educators Collaborate"

Tennessee Technological University

\$70,000

Dr. Holly G. Anthony

"Developing Algebraic Reasoning in Grades 3-5 Classrooms"

PRIVATE FOUR-YEAR INSTITUTIONS

Lee University \$68,500

Dr. Stephen R. Lay

"Improving Numeracy and Alegbraic Thinking (INAT)"

Lee University \$59,750

Dr. Kimberly Moffett

"Teaming and Instruction for Practical Strategies: TIPS for Teachers"

Vanderbilt University Medical Center

\$57,500

Dr. Jennifer A. Ufnar

"Research Tools for Secondary Science Educators"

Vanderbilt University Medical Center

\$48,500

Dr. Jennifer A. Ufnar

"Managing yoUr Science Classroom Lab Efficiently: MUSCLE Training to Improve Student Achievement"

ATTACHMENT C

PROPOSAL REVIEW PROCESS

Upon receipt by THEC, proposals were reviewed to determine if all required materials were included and if the proposal responded to the federal program requirements. Incomplete proposals, late proposals, proposals not addressing identified grant program needs, and proposals from ineligible applicants were excluded from the grant review. Proposal writers were notified by postcard as to whether THEC received the proposal and whether it was sent to reviewers.

The grant review panel was divided into 4 teams. Each proposal was assigned a lead discussant. The lead discussant was responsible for giving a careful 2-5 minute overview of the entire project without offering any personal opinions and the lead discussant served as the moderator of the discussion concerning that proposal including helping the panel arrive at a consensus based on the criteria.

PROCESS

Team 1

Lead discussant 1 – Proposal 1

- 2 –5 minute description of project (without opinion)
- 5-10 minute discussion of all team members that read proposal (opinions allowed)
- 2-5 minute discussion including all panelists who have questions or opinions
- Vote to place proposal in one of three categories: Do not fund, Fund, or Fund based on conditions;
- The numeric ratings were considered in breaking ties later in the review process.

These steps were repeated for the remaining proposals of each lead discussant.

These steps were repeated for Teams 2, 3, and 4 until all proposals are reviewed.