

Table D-1a. All Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	99	\$ 134,828,795	0.4 %	43.4 %	\$1,801	74,849
Bedford	52	187,326,430	0.5 %	1.2 %	\$4,077	45,947
Benton	24	45,289,425	0.1 %	2.6 %	\$2,826	16,025
Bledsoe	42	89,373,482	0.2 %	74.3 %	\$6,892	12,967
Blount	126	506,041,151	1.3 %	18.1 %	\$4,121	122,784
Bradley	135	299,187,649	0.8 %	13.6 %	\$3,062	97,710
Campbell	70	148,200,955	0.4 %	4.1 %	\$3,617	40,970
Cannon	55	146,725,500	0.4 %	0.9 %	\$10,586	13,860
Carroll	62	100,834,354	0.3 %	11.6 %	\$3,536	28,517
Carter	99	267,371,999	0.7 %	47.5 %	\$4,528	59,043
Cheatham	96	201,414,742	0.5 %	1.7 %	\$5,051	39,876
Chester	33	26,104,711	0.1 %	33.9 %	\$1,600	16,312
Claiborne	43	212,753,654	0.6 %	2.2 %	\$6,810	31,243
Clay	27	98,406,500	0.3 %	5.4 %	\$12,464	7,895
Cocke	76	300,691,397	0.8 %	5.4 %	\$8,342	36,047
Coffee	67	321,321,699	0.9 %	42.4 %	\$6,118	52,521
Crockett	18	16,199,120	0.0 %	4.9 %	\$1,118	14,492
Cumberland	84	497,691,793	1.3 %	23.3 %	\$9,198	54,109
Davidson	534	4,404,752,592	11.7 %	87.7 %	\$6,929	635,710
Decatur	26	21,328,530	0.1 %	44.4 %	\$1,851	11,525
DeKalb	62	241,500,000	0.6 %	17.5 %	\$12,741	18,954
Dickson	64	249,067,598	0.7 %	9.1 %	\$5,164	48,230
Dyer	58	121,917,508	0.3 %	16.8 %	\$3,224	37,811
Fayette	109	193,772,760	0.5 %	1.5 %	\$4,996	38,785
Fentress	49	898,639,646	2.4 %	2.3 %	\$50,837	17,677
Franklin	45	51,714,424	0.1 %	46.3 %	\$1,252	41,310
Gibson	75	201,769,858	0.5 %	9.7 %	\$4,079	49,468
Giles	59	163,710,890	0.4 %	12.5 %	\$5,629	29,082
Grainger	43	119,237,666	0.3 %	1.7 %	\$5,217	22,857
Greene	149	500,852,739	1.3 %	18.5 %	\$7,556	66,282
Grundy	55	64,451,155	0.2 %	1.2 %	\$4,561	14,130
Hamblen	87	338,148,199	0.9 %	13.2 %	\$5,365	63,033
Hamilton	328	1,410,733,205	3.8 %	59.9 %	\$4,184	337,175
Hancock	24	45,779,999	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$6,949	6,588
Hardeman	66	212,721,886	0.6 %	40.0 %	\$7,704	27,613
Hardin	82	251,432,014	0.7 %	16.8 %	\$9,575	26,258
Hawkins	102	245,283,078	0.7 %	0.2 %	\$4,245	57,784
Haywood	81	145,177,008	0.4 %	11.8 %	\$7,689	18,881
Henderson	74	150,545,629	0.4 %	49.2 %	\$5,568	27,037
Henry	42	80,655,664	0.2 %	24.6 %	\$2,530	31,876
Hickman	35	162,810,406	0.4 %	21.0 %	\$6,839	23,805
Houston	43	79,062,715	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$9,696	8,154
Humphreys	78	197,376,363	0.5 %	6.7 %	\$10,801	18,274
Jackson	42	102,263,313	0.3 %	2.0 %	\$9,404	10,875
Jefferson	68	165,254,590	0.4 %	12.2 %	\$3,195	51,722
Johnson	57	61,526,843	0.2 %	17.1 %	\$3,417	18,006
Knox	445	2,677,536,647	7.1 %	66.7 %	\$6,145	435,725
Lake	30	80,782,250	0.2 %	39.0 %	\$11,062	7,303
Lauderdale	98	130,830,386	0.3 %	27.2 %	\$4,942	26,471
Lawrence	49	161,574,816	0.4 %	10.2 %	\$3,911	41,314

Table D-1a. All Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Lewis	21	18,974,646	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$1,647	11,521
Lincoln	38	95,401,500	0.3 %	1.0 %	\$2,859	33,374
Loudon	68	276,283,926	0.7 %	14.3 %	\$5,913	46,725
McMinn	89	430,223,359	1.1 %	24.0 %	\$8,158	52,739
McNairy	83	172,108,632	0.5 %	27.7 %	\$6,672	25,796
Macon	42	152,321,500	0.4 %	25.0 %	\$6,906	22,057
Madison	169	302,636,995	0.8 %	55.4 %	\$3,110	97,317
Marion	61	100,580,603	0.3 %	3.7 %	\$3,583	28,068
Marshall	46	120,001,278	0.3 %	9.5 %	\$3,963	30,279
Mauzy	57	188,547,283	0.5 %	23.7 %	\$2,237	84,302
Meigs	40	40,152,222	0.1 %	0.1 %	\$3,316	12,108
Monroe	53	139,279,189	0.4 %	4.1 %	\$3,039	45,830
Montgomery	203	1,022,062,763	2.7 %	68.0 %	\$6,349	160,978
Moore	14	23,515,326	0.1 %	20.5 %	\$3,858	6,096
Morgan	44	222,483,886	0.6 %	2.5 %	\$11,873	18,738
Obion	68	360,988,766	1.0 %	1.4 %	\$11,485	31,431
Overton	48	140,386,414	0.4 %	9.1 %	\$6,666	21,060
Perry	22	141,135,127	0.4 %	0.6 %	\$18,034	7,826
Pickett	26	43,566,500	0.1 %	22.5 %	\$9,109	4,783
Polk	62	424,490,620	1.1 %	6.6 %	\$27,127	15,648
Putnam	119	497,787,894	1.3 %	54.8 %	\$6,873	72,431
Rhea	45	98,487,616	0.3 %	1.2 %	\$3,125	31,516
Roane	108	259,614,173	0.7 %	9.5 %	\$4,852	53,508
Robertson	103	370,187,928	1.0 %	28.8 %	\$5,560	66,581
Rutherford	253	1,547,893,407	4.1 %	74.3 %	\$6,022	257,048
Scott	50	120,130,537	0.3 %	0.7 %	\$5,494	21,866
Sequatchie	32	33,192,471	0.1 %	1.0 %	\$2,385	13,915
Sevier	147	833,233,495	2.2 %	35.1 %	\$9,661	86,243
Shelby	743	4,687,812,728	12.5 %	53.1 %	\$5,094	920,232
Smith	72	98,482,241	0.3 %	11.6 %	\$5,129	19,201
Stewart	38	117,245,000	0.3 %	3.5 %	\$8,789	13,340
Sullivan	240	577,680,647	1.5 %	52.9 %	\$3,738	154,552
Sumner	235	694,007,247	1.8 %	42.9 %	\$4,371	158,759
Tipton	114	359,853,892	1.0 %	0.7 %	\$6,048	59,495
Trousdale	27	105,823,577	0.3 %	7.9 %	\$13,358	7,922
Unicoi	85	95,484,174	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$5,382	17,740
Union	27	98,845,105	0.3 %	1.4 %	\$5,158	19,164
Van Buren	31	137,426,000	0.4 %	30.2 %	\$25,078	5,480
Warren	87	254,008,085	0.7 %	20.1 %	\$6,275	40,481
Washington	205	1,085,615,480	2.9 %	80.2 %	\$9,002	120,598
Wayne	55	158,401,724	0.4 %	17.3 %	\$9,597	16,506
Weakley	67	161,598,997	0.4 %	85.7 %	\$4,830	33,459
White	28	101,991,825	0.3 %	13.3 %	\$4,008	25,444
Williamson	242	1,776,422,095	4.7 %	43.1 %	\$10,045	176,838
Wilson	128	720,175,664	1.9 %	6.6 %	#Error	0
Multi-county	75	626,673,177	1.7 %	93.2 %	\$101	6,183,877
Grand Total	8,977	\$ 37,565,189,447	100.0 %	44.3 %	\$6,075	6,183,877

Table D-1b. Public Infrastructure Needs by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	43	55.8 %	\$ 60.8	49.1 %	25	32.5 %	\$ 50.1	40.5 %	9	11.7 %	\$ 12.9	10.4 %
Bedford	27	55.1 %	120.3	65.3 %	15	30.6 %	59.8	32.4 %	7	14.3 %	4.2	2.3 %
Benton	11	68.8 %	34.0	91.8 %	3	18.8 %	2.6	7.0 %	2	12.5 %	0.5	1.3 %
Bledsoe	30	83.3 %	82.4	98.1 %	3	8.3 %	0.8	0.9 %	3	8.3 %	0.8	1.0 %
Blount	45	45.0 %	121.3	27.0 %	34	34.0 %	182.0	40.6 %	21	21.0 %	145.5	32.4 %
Bradley	73	64.0 %	161.7	59.4 %	25	21.9 %	22.6	8.3 %	16	14.0 %	88.0	32.3 %
Campbell	39	60.9 %	76.7	52.7 %	12	18.8 %	58.4	40.1 %	13	20.3 %	10.5	7.2 %
Cannon	47	87.0 %	86.9	59.3 %	7	13.0 %	59.7	40.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	21	41.2 %	30.5	30.9 %	17	33.3 %	32.5	32.9 %	13	25.5 %	35.7	36.2 %
Carter	55	67.1 %	152.7	64.9 %	10	12.2 %	9.0	3.8 %	17	20.7 %	73.7	31.3 %
Cheatham	60	72.3 %	162.5	85.8 %	10	12.0 %	9.7	5.1 %	13	15.7 %	17.2	9.1 %
Chester	24	75.0 %	20.2	77.4 %	6	18.8 %	4.0	15.5 %	2	6.3 %	1.9	7.1 %
Claiborne	18	50.0 %	40.5	19.2 %	10	27.8 %	83.1	39.4 %	8	22.2 %	87.5	41.5 %
Clay	16	66.7 %	27.9	28.6 %	2	8.3 %	5.2	5.3 %	6	25.0 %	64.6	66.1 %
Cocke	42	66.7 %	50.6	17.3 %	12	19.0 %	123.5	42.3 %	9	14.3 %	118.2	40.4 %
Coffee	30	53.6 %	210.3	71.6 %	15	26.8 %	43.4	14.8 %	11	19.6 %	40.2	13.7 %
Crockett	9	60.0 %	5.6	69.4 %	6	40.0 %	2.5	30.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	44	61.1 %	156.1	32.6 %	15	20.8 %	195.9	40.9 %	13	18.1 %	126.9	26.5 %
Davidson	211	47.6 %	1,134.9	28.1 %	126	28.4 %	1,425.5	35.3 %	106	23.9 %	1,480.6	36.6 %
Decatur	15	60.0 %	10.4	48.9 %	5	20.0 %	6.8	31.7 %	5	20.0 %	4.1	19.3 %
DeKalb	44	77.2 %	105.0	43.9 %	9	15.8 %	84.4	35.3 %	4	7.0 %	49.6	20.7 %
Dickson	26	53.1 %	155.3	70.6 %	12	24.5 %	35.2	16.0 %	11	22.4 %	29.5	13.4 %
Dyer	34	69.4 %	82.2	77.1 %	9	18.4 %	15.1	14.1 %	6	12.2 %	9.3	8.8 %
Fayette	83	83.8 %	108.6	56.9 %	14	14.1 %	81.2	42.5 %	2	2.0 %	1.1	0.6 %
Fentress	31	70.5 %	834.3	93.1 %	6	13.6 %	45.6	5.1 %	7	15.9 %	15.8	1.8 %
Franklin	11	32.4 %	33.2	68.5 %	12	35.3 %	7.9	16.2 %	11	32.4 %	7.4	15.3 %
Gibson	46	68.7 %	159.1	88.7 %	15	22.4 %	15.6	8.7 %	6	9.0 %	4.6	2.6 %
Giles	14	25.0 %	64.8	39.7 %	32	57.1 %	39.6	24.3 %	10	17.9 %	58.8	36.0 %
Grainger	20	57.1 %	63.7	54.1 %	11	31.4 %	52.4	44.5 %	4	11.4 %	1.7	1.5 %
Greene	104	82.5 %	467.3	95.1 %	14	11.1 %	15.9	3.2 %	8	6.3 %	8.0	1.6 %
Grundy	34	70.8 %	40.7	71.6 %	13	27.1 %	14.0	24.6 %	1	2.1 %	2.2	3.8 %
Hamblen	32	44.4 %	90.0	26.9 %	21	29.2 %	209.9	62.7 %	19	26.4 %	34.9	10.4 %
Hamilton	178	68.2 %	721.9	52.4 %	38	14.6 %	160.5	11.6 %	45	17.2 %	495.4	36.0 %
Hancock	12	54.5 %	36.6	81.4 %	6	27.3 %	5.7	12.6 %	4	18.2 %	2.7	6.0 %
Hardeman	34	52.3 %	31.6	14.9 %	16	24.6 %	152.0	71.5 %	15	23.1 %	29.0	13.7 %
Hardin	62	80.5 %	173.1	69.1 %	8	10.4 %	59.9	23.9 %	7	9.1 %	17.7	7.1 %

Table D-1b. Public Infrastructure Needs by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Hawkins	64	75.3 %	213.6	88.7 %	11	12.9 %	14.2	5.9 %	10	11.8 %	13.2	5.5 %
Haywood	61	81.3 %	84.1	60.3 %	14	18.7 %	55.4	39.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	51	77.3 %	32.6	22.2 %	8	12.1 %	93.4	63.5 %	7	10.6 %	21.2	14.4 %
Henry	22	53.7 %	51.8	67.2 %	10	24.4 %	18.6	24.1 %	9	22.0 %	6.7	8.7 %
Hickman	15	46.9 %	113.7	73.4 %	9	28.1 %	30.2	19.5 %	8	25.0 %	10.9	7.0 %
Houston	30	78.9 %	69.6	90.2 %	6	15.8 %	5.0	6.4 %	2	5.3 %	2.6	3.3 %
Humphreys	54	76.1 %	126.7	68.9 %	12	16.9 %	14.5	7.9 %	5	7.0 %	42.7	23.2 %
Jackson	35	89.7 %	97.2	97.7 %	4	10.3 %	2.3	2.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	28	46.7 %	72.0	43.6 %	26	43.3 %	87.3	52.9 %	6	10.0 %	5.8	3.5 %
Johnson	43	82.7 %	52.5	88.0 %	2	3.8 %	0.6	1.0 %	7	13.5 %	6.6	11.0 %
Knox	154	41.8 %	879.3	34.4 %	93	25.3 %	871.0	34.1 %	121	32.9 %	806.3	31.5 %
Lake	15	55.6 %	46.1	66.5 %	7	25.9 %	17.5	25.3 %	5	18.5 %	5.7	8.2 %
Lauderdale	80	87.9 %	94.5	77.1 %	5	5.5 %	13.6	11.1 %	6	6.6 %	14.5	11.8 %
Lawrence	10	27.0 %	16.1	10.9 %	11	29.7 %	79.1	53.5 %	16	43.2 %	52.8	35.7 %
Lewis	9	42.9 %	3.9	20.6 %	10	47.6 %	14.8	77.9 %	2	9.5 %	0.3	1.6 %
Lincoln	21	58.3 %	10.8	11.4 %	7	19.4 %	3.8	4.0 %	8	22.2 %	80.2	84.6 %
Loudon	15	25.9 %	87.4	32.5 %	26	44.8 %	149.6	55.7 %	17	29.3 %	31.6	11.8 %
McMinn	59	77.6 %	384.3	93.5 %	6	7.9 %	12.4	3.0 %	11	14.5 %	14.2	3.4 %
McNairy	56	71.8 %	48.3	28.3 %	13	16.7 %	65.4	38.3 %	9	11.5 %	57.0	33.4 %
Macon	29	74.4 %	77.6	51.8 %	7	17.9 %	67.8	45.3 %	3	7.7 %	4.5	3.0 %
Madison	112	79.4 %	153.5	54.1 %	17	12.1 %	109.3	38.5 %	12	8.5 %	21.0	7.4 %
Marion	36	65.5 %	42.8	47.9 %	15	27.3 %	43.9	49.1 %	4	7.3 %	2.7	3.0 %
Marshall	18	40.9 %	66.8	59.6 %	18	40.9 %	40.4	36.1 %	8	18.2 %	4.9	4.3 %
Maury	21	41.2 %	44.3	23.7 %	22	43.1 %	100.1	53.6 %	8	15.7 %	42.3	22.7 %
Meigs	27	75.0 %	14.6	37.3 %	3	8.3 %	20.0	51.2 %	6	16.7 %	4.5	11.6 %
Monroe	21	52.5 %	82.2	63.6 %	12	30.0 %	29.7	23.0 %	7	17.5 %	17.3	13.4 %
Montgomery	78	45.3 %	515.6	56.3 %	60	34.9 %	229.0	25.0 %	34	19.8 %	171.6	18.7 %
Moore	9	75.0 %	14.8	74.5 %	2	16.7 %	4.5	22.9 %	1	8.3 %	0.5	2.6 %
Morgan	18	50.0 %	13.8	6.4 %	14	38.9 %	155.9	71.8 %	4	11.1 %	47.5	21.9 %
Obion	38	64.4 %	327.6	94.3 %	12	20.3 %	16.0	4.6 %	9	15.3 %	4.0	1.1 %
Overton	33	75.0 %	62.3	46.4 %	7	15.9 %	60.8	45.3 %	4	9.1 %	11.2	8.3 %
Perry	10	50.0 %	131.5	93.3 %	6	30.0 %	3.4	2.4 %	4	20.0 %	6.0	4.2 %
Pickett	17	68.0 %	37.4	86.5 %	6	24.0 %	5.1	11.7 %	2	8.0 %	0.8	1.7 %
Polk	45	77.6 %	373.8	88.5 %	10	17.2 %	5.1	1.2 %	3	5.2 %	43.2	10.2 %
Putnam	46	44.2 %	179.1	39.5 %	27	26.0 %	129.3	28.5 %	31	29.8 %	145.6	32.1 %
Rhea	29	70.7 %	37.2	38.7 %	4	9.8 %	1.5	1.6 %	8	19.5 %	57.5	59.7 %

Table D-1b. Public Infrastructure Needs by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Roane	52	52.0 %	94.5	37.5 %	26	26.0 %	76.6	30.4 %	22	22.0 %	81.1	32.2 %
Robertson	43	48.9 %	227.5	64.2 %	32	36.4 %	73.8	20.8 %	13	14.8 %	53.3	15.0 %
Rutherford	122	55.2 %	581.4	38.0 %	54	24.4 %	633.3	41.4 %	45	20.4 %	314.1	20.5 %
Scott	22	55.0 %	13.4	14.0 %	11	27.5 %	68.5	71.5 %	7	17.5 %	13.9	14.5 %
Sequatchie	22	75.9 %	15.7	51.2 %	4	13.8 %	0.8	2.5 %	3	10.3 %	14.2	46.3 %
Sevier	51	41.5 %	280.2	35.5 %	45	36.6 %	394.5	50.0 %	27	22.0 %	114.3	14.5 %
Shelby	372	58.9 %	1,926.4	43.1 %	134	21.2 %	1,620.5	36.3 %	126	19.9 %	922.2	20.6 %
Smith	50	75.8 %	60.0	63.9 %	11	16.7 %	28.3	30.1 %	5	7.6 %	5.6	6.0 %
Stewart	13	37.1 %	55.9	47.9 %	13	37.1 %	22.5	19.3 %	9	25.7 %	38.2	32.8 %
Sullivan	101	52.1 %	235.5	49.9 %	47	24.2 %	83.3	17.6 %	46	23.7 %	153.3	32.5 %
Sumner	113	54.3 %	336.1	49.6 %	59	28.4 %	215.7	31.9 %	36	17.3 %	125.3	18.5 %
Tipton	79	76.7 %	169.6	48.6 %	18	17.5 %	173.5	49.7 %	6	5.8 %	6.1	1.8 %
Trousdale	11	42.3 %	33.4	31.6 %	11	42.3 %	70.4	66.5 %	4	15.4 %	2.0	1.9 %
Unicoi	53	64.6 %	59.7	62.7 %	16	19.5 %	16.5	17.3 %	13	15.9 %	19.0	19.9 %
Union	13	52.0 %	42.3	43.1 %	5	20.0 %	30.5	31.1 %	7	28.0 %	25.3	25.8 %
Van Buren	20	69.0 %	88.5	66.0 %	4	13.8 %	10.1	7.6 %	5	17.2 %	35.4	26.4 %
Warren	57	72.2 %	81.6	34.1 %	14	17.7 %	86.8	36.2 %	8	10.1 %	71.1	29.7 %
Washington	98	54.1 %	549.4	55.9 %	41	22.7 %	302.0	30.7 %	42	23.2 %	131.2	13.4 %
Wayne	34	69.4 %	60.1	38.9 %	7	14.3 %	38.2	24.7 %	8	16.3 %	56.3	36.4 %
Weakley	30	53.6 %	103.9	67.7 %	12	21.4 %	25.2	16.4 %	14	25.0 %	24.3	15.8 %
White	14	50.0 %	61.3	60.1 %	4	14.3 %	3.6	3.5 %	10	35.7 %	37.2	36.4 %
Williamson	98	48.3 %	972.7	56.1 %	68	33.5 %	522.4	30.1 %	37	18.2 %	239.7	13.8 %
Wilson	65	60.7 %	374.1	57.5 %	22	20.6 %	153.5	23.6 %	20	18.7 %	123.0	18.9 %
Multi-county	51	68.0 %	599.8	95.7 %	9	12.0 %	9.9	1.6 %	15	20.0 %	16.9	2.7 %
Grand Total	4,618	59.5 %	\$ 17,596.5	49.3 %	1,783	23.0 %	\$ 10,602.6	29.7 %	1,359	17.5 %	\$ 7,460.2	20.9 %

Table D-2a. Transportation Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	20	\$ 39,055,466	0.2 %	75.4 %	\$522	74,849
Bedford	12	102,294,540	0.5 %	0.1 %	\$2,226	45,947
Benton	9	33,194,969	0.2 %	0.5 %	\$2,071	16,025
Bledsoe	7	13,735,482	0.1 %	72.8 %	\$1,059	12,967
Blount	57	331,343,375	1.8 %	15.0 %	\$2,699	122,784
Bradley	61	207,735,063	1.1 %	8.4 %	\$2,126	97,710
Campbell	19	102,568,691	0.5 %	0.4 %	\$2,504	40,970
Cannon	45	133,718,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$9,648	13,860
Carroll	20	47,065,897	0.2 %	1.0 %	\$1,650	28,517
Carter	23	116,330,666	0.6 %	81.0 %	\$1,970	59,043
Cheatham	56	124,750,356	0.7 %	0.6 %	\$3,128	39,876
Chester	19	11,896,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$729	16,312
Claiborne	15	176,993,253	0.9 %	2.7 %	\$5,665	31,243
Clay	16	72,238,000	0.4 %	7.3 %	\$9,150	7,895
Cocke	37	252,073,527	1.3 %	0.1 %	\$6,993	36,047
Coffee	23	127,044,841	0.7 %	0.5 %	\$2,419	52,521
Crockett	4	1,140,050	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$79	14,492
Cumberland	43	322,093,000	1.7 %	14.3 %	\$5,953	54,109
Davidson	188	1,054,286,263	5.6 %	86.3 %	\$1,658	635,710
Decatur	14	14,881,530	0.1 %	26.9 %	\$1,291	11,525
DeKalb	28	169,552,000	0.9 %	14.7 %	\$8,945	18,954
Dickson	17	82,654,418	0.4 %	14.5 %	\$1,714	48,230
Dyer	15	54,532,044	0.3 %	0.3 %	\$1,442	37,811
Fayette	82	158,392,160	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$4,084	38,785
Fentress	22	845,274,000	4.5 %	0.0 %	\$47,818	17,677
Franklin	7	8,852,880	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$214	41,310
Gibson	27	143,098,766	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$2,893	49,468
Giles	17	78,140,190	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$2,687	29,082
Grainger	17	93,414,400	0.5 %	0.1 %	\$4,087	22,857
Greene	37	281,769,425	1.5 %	5.5 %	\$4,251	66,282
Grundy	22	18,279,169	0.1 %	4.4 %	\$1,294	14,130
Hamblen	22	184,113,406	1.0 %	0.8 %	\$2,921	63,033
Hamilton	131	929,613,418	4.9 %	52.4 %	\$2,757	337,175
Hancock	6	25,431,327	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$3,860	6,588
Hardeman	40	159,240,000	0.8 %	23.8 %	\$5,767	27,613
Hardin	50	205,521,914	1.1 %	0.1 %	\$7,827	26,258
Hawkins	30	166,859,481	0.9 %	0.3 %	\$2,888	57,784
Haywood	64	103,218,415	0.5 %	0.4 %	\$5,467	18,881
Henderson	47	115,299,623	0.6 %	40.7 %	\$4,265	27,037
Henry	21	56,922,328	0.3 %	7.7 %	\$1,786	31,876
Hickman	12	109,694,544	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$4,608	23,805
Houston	13	58,755,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$7,206	8,154
Humphreys	30	143,242,687	0.8 %	1.0 %	\$7,839	18,274
Jackson	28	92,498,313	0.5 %	0.3 %	\$8,506	10,875
Jefferson	27	76,772,693	0.4 %	0.8 %	\$1,484	51,722
Johnson	18	9,922,000	0.1 %	8.0 %	\$551	18,006
Knox	117	760,825,023	4.0 %	9.9 %	\$1,746	435,725
Lake	10	50,546,582	0.3 %	28.4 %	\$6,921	7,303
Lauderdale	73	85,369,386	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$3,225	26,471
Lawrence	15	108,057,816	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$2,616	41,314

Table D-2a. Transportation Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Lewis	7	5,610,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$487	11,521
Lincoln	8	80,280,910	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$2,405	33,374
Loudon	17	125,976,525	0.7 %	6.9 %	\$2,696	46,725
McMinn	37	305,710,209	1.6 %	26.1 %	\$5,797	52,739
McNairy	38	139,270,731	0.7 %	18.8 %	\$5,399	25,796
Macon	25	112,661,500	0.6 %	24.9 %	\$5,108	22,057
Madison	61	157,521,844	0.8 %	56.8 %	\$1,619	97,317
Marion	20	47,412,823	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$1,689	28,068
Marshall	12	36,544,505	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$1,207	30,279
Mauzy	15	60,782,298	0.3 %	15.5 %	\$721	84,302
Meigs	13	23,957,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$1,979	12,108
Monroe	14	57,011,564	0.3 %	0.5 %	\$1,244	45,830
Montgomery	45	335,295,384	1.8 %	71.2 %	\$2,083	160,978
Moore	1	513,326	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$84	6,096
Morgan	19	206,249,876	1.1 %	0.6 %	\$11,007	18,738
Obion	24	333,144,807	1.8 %	1.2 %	\$10,599	31,431
Overton	27	87,988,914	0.5 %	8.3 %	\$4,178	21,060
Perry	12	136,754,500	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$17,474	7,826
Pickett	7	11,269,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$2,356	4,783
Polk	31	383,774,684	2.0 %	0.0 %	\$24,525	15,648
Putnam	43	176,431,582	0.9 %	60.6 %	\$2,436	72,431
Rhea	14	62,853,941	0.3 %	0.5 %	\$1,994	31,516
Roane	23	136,298,551	0.7 %	0.3 %	\$2,547	53,508
Robertson	39	185,600,328	1.0 %	4.9 %	\$2,788	66,581
Rutherford	60	330,041,822	1.7 %	55.7 %	\$1,284	257,048
Scott	20	72,719,530	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$3,326	21,866
Sequatchie	13	21,191,471	0.1 %	1.5 %	\$1,523	13,915
Sevier	44	410,346,424	2.2 %	29.9 %	\$4,758	86,243
Shelby	382	2,769,882,235	14.7 %	30.0 %	\$3,010	920,232
Smith	44	62,913,649	0.3 %	0.6 %	\$3,277	19,201
Stewart	11	62,980,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$4,721	13,340
Sullivan	98	221,617,823	1.2 %	44.0 %	\$1,434	154,552
Sumner	76	323,424,126	1.7 %	35.3 %	\$2,037	158,759
Tipton	78	279,442,392	1.5 %	0.1 %	\$4,697	59,495
Trousdale	4	69,700,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$8,798	7,922
Unicoi	28	29,873,655	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$1,684	17,740
Union	13	85,338,132	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$4,453	19,164
Van Buren	5	42,400,000	0.2 %	27.1 %	\$7,737	5,480
Warren	51	124,159,585	0.7 %	23.0 %	\$3,067	40,481
Washington	42	491,069,046	2.6 %	87.3 %	\$4,072	120,598
Wayne	30	76,676,971	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$4,645	16,506
Weakley	8	3,410,684	0.0 %	4.1 %	\$102	33,459
White	15	63,931,485	0.3 %	14.1 %	\$2,513	25,444
Williamson	74	1,075,957,388	5.7 %	38.2 %	\$6,084	176,838
Wilson	50	364,445,588	1.9 %	9.1 %	\$3,243	112,377
Multi-county	44	39,797,593	0.2 %	42.4 %	\$6	6,296,254
Grand Total	3,475	\$ 18,890,536,778	100.0 %	25.4 %	\$3,000	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-2b. Transportation Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Transportation
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	8	40.0 %	\$ 3.1	8.0 %	10	50.0 %	\$ 34.9	89.4 %	2	10.0 %	\$ 1.0	2.6 %
Bedford	6	50.0 %	45.4	44.4 %	4	33.3 %	54.5	53.3 %	2	16.7 %	2.4	2.3 %
Benton	5	55.6 %	30.6	92.3 %	2	22.2 %	2.1	6.3 %	2	22.2 %	0.5	1.4 %
Bledsoe	6	85.7 %	13.6	99.3 %	1	14.3 %	0.1	0.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	27	47.4 %	93.1	28.1 %	20	35.1 %	138.4	41.8 %	10	17.5 %	99.9	30.1 %
Bradley	39	63.9 %	131.9	63.5 %	15	24.6 %	9.9	4.8 %	7	11.5 %	65.9	31.7 %
Campbell	11	57.9 %	48.9	47.6 %	4	21.1 %	46.1	44.9 %	4	21.1 %	7.7	7.5 %
Cannon	41	91.1 %	75.7	56.6 %	4	8.9 %	58.0	43.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	6	30.0 %	5.6	12.0 %	8	40.0 %	14.5	30.9 %	6	30.0 %	26.9	57.2 %
Carter	13	56.5 %	92.3	79.4 %	2	8.7 %	2.6	2.2 %	8	34.8 %	21.4	18.4 %
Cheatham	39	69.6 %	102.6	82.2 %	7	12.5 %	5.8	4.7 %	10	17.9 %	16.4	13.1 %
Chester	18	94.7 %	11.6	97.2 %	1	5.3 %	0.3	2.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Claiborne	5	33.3 %	10.2	5.8 %	6	40.0 %	82.2	46.4 %	4	26.7 %	84.6	47.8 %
Clay	11	68.8 %	3.8	5.3 %	1	6.3 %	5.0	6.9 %	4	25.0 %	63.4	87.8 %
Cocke	20	54.1 %	19.5	7.7 %	10	27.0 %	121.6	48.3 %	7	18.9 %	110.9	44.0 %
Coffee	12	52.2 %	84.2	66.3 %	3	13.0 %	7.1	5.6 %	8	34.8 %	35.8	28.2 %
Crockett	1	25.0 %	0.1	11.1 %	3	75.0 %	1.0	88.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	30	69.8 %	126.2	39.2 %	10	23.3 %	189.8	58.9 %	3	7.0 %	6.1	1.9 %
Davidson	88	46.8 %	308.0	29.2 %	55	29.3 %	431.1	40.9 %	45	23.9 %	315.2	29.9 %
Decatur	11	78.6 %	8.8	59.4 %	1	7.1 %	4.0	26.9 %	2	14.3 %	2.0	13.7 %
DeKalb	18	64.3 %	39.9	23.5 %	8	28.6 %	80.5	47.4 %	2	7.1 %	49.2	29.0 %
Dickson	11	64.7 %	73.4	88.8 %	2	11.8 %	3.5	4.2 %	4	23.5 %	5.8	7.0 %
Dyer	9	60.0 %	50.2	92.0 %	5	33.3 %	3.9	7.2 %	1	6.7 %	0.5	0.8 %
Fayette	71	86.6 %	80.9	51.1 %	10	12.2 %	77.1	48.7 %	1	1.2 %	0.3	0.2 %
Fentress	19	86.4 %	795.3	94.1 %	2	9.1 %	38.3	4.5 %	1	4.5 %	11.7	1.4 %
Franklin	2	28.6 %	6.3	71.3 %	4	57.1 %	1.3	14.5 %	1	14.3 %	1.3	14.1 %
Gibson	17	63.0 %	131.6	91.9 %	10	37.0 %	11.5	8.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Giles	2	11.8 %	2.2	2.8 %	9	52.9 %	20.8	26.6 %	6	35.3 %	55.2	70.7 %
Grainger	13	76.5 %	51.1	54.7 %	2	11.8 %	42.2	45.2 %	2	11.8 %	0.1	0.1 %
Greene	32	86.5 %	267.8	95.0 %	2	5.4 %	9.2	3.3 %	3	8.1 %	4.8	1.7 %
Grundy	14	63.6 %	6.7	36.7 %	8	36.4 %	11.6	63.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	12	54.5 %	4.7	2.6 %	7	31.8 %	177.8	96.6 %	3	13.6 %	1.6	0.9 %
Hamilton	94	71.8 %	433.9	46.7 %	25	19.1 %	89.9	9.7 %	12	9.2 %	405.7	43.6 %
Hancock	3	50.0 %	23.3	91.7 %	1	16.7 %	0.4	1.6 %	2	33.3 %	1.7	6.6 %
Hardeman	20	50.0 %	23.5	14.8 %	12	30.0 %	129.1	81.1 %	8	20.0 %	6.6	4.1 %
Hardin	41	82.0 %	142.9	69.5 %	5	10.0 %	55.0	26.8 %	4	8.0 %	7.6	3.7 %

Table D-2b. Transportation Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Transportation
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Hawkins	23	76.7 %	159.5	95.6 %	4	13.3 %	7.0	4.2 %	3	10.0 %	0.4	0.2 %
Haywood	56	87.5 %	68.9	66.8 %	8	12.5 %	34.3	33.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	41	87.2 %	19.2	16.6 %	3	6.4 %	77.5	67.2 %	3	6.4 %	18.6	16.1 %
Henry	13	61.9 %	41.5	72.9 %	5	23.8 %	14.5	25.4 %	3	14.3 %	1.0	1.7 %
Hickman	8	66.7 %	103.9	94.7 %	1	8.3 %	1.8	1.6 %	3	25.0 %	4.0	3.6 %
Houston	11	84.6 %	56.5	96.1 %	1	7.7 %	0.3	0.5 %	1	7.7 %	2.0	3.4 %
Humphreys	26	86.7 %	97.6	68.2 %	1	3.3 %	4.0	2.8 %	3	10.0 %	41.6	29.1 %
Jackson	26	92.9 %	91.0	98.4 %	2	7.1 %	1.5	1.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	10	37.0 %	16.8	21.9 %	14	51.9 %	57.0	74.2 %	3	11.1 %	3.0	3.9 %
Johnson	18	100.0 %	9.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	57	48.7 %	132.1	17.4 %	26	22.2 %	307.6	40.4 %	34	29.1 %	321.2	42.2 %
Lake	3	30.0 %	30.3	59.9 %	5	50.0 %	15.5	30.6 %	2	20.0 %	4.8	9.4 %
Lauderdale	70	95.9 %	82.5	96.6 %	2	2.7 %	1.8	2.1 %	1	1.4 %	1.1	1.3 %
Lawrence	3	20.0 %	0.5	0.5 %	6	40.0 %	75.5	69.8 %	6	40.0 %	32.1	29.7 %
Lewis	3	42.9 %	2.7	47.2 %	3	42.9 %	2.9	51.6 %	1	14.3 %	0.1	1.2 %
Lincoln	3	37.5 %	0.8	1.1 %	1	12.5 %	0.5	0.6 %	4	50.0 %	78.9	98.3 %
Loudon	5	29.4 %	73.4	58.2 %	8	47.1 %	47.5	37.7 %	4	23.5 %	5.1	4.1 %
McMinn	29	78.4 %	300.2	98.2 %	1	2.7 %	0.1	0.0 %	7	18.9 %	5.4	1.8 %
McNairy	22	57.9 %	21.3	15.3 %	10	26.3 %	64.2	46.1 %	6	15.8 %	53.8	38.6 %
Macon	18	72.0 %	41.8	37.1 %	5	20.0 %	66.9	59.4 %	2	8.0 %	3.9	3.5 %
Madison	45	73.8 %	34.6	21.9 %	10	16.4 %	105.1	66.7 %	6	9.8 %	17.9	11.3 %
Marion	13	65.0 %	5.9	12.4 %	6	30.0 %	40.1	84.6 %	1	5.0 %	1.4	3.0 %
Marshall	5	41.7 %	11.3	30.8 %	7	58.3 %	25.3	69.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	6	40.0 %	3.8	6.3 %	7	46.7 %	49.1	80.7 %	2	13.3 %	7.9	13.0 %
Meigs	12	92.3 %	4.4	18.2 %	1	7.7 %	19.6	81.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	6	42.9 %	51.5	90.4 %	2	14.3 %	0.6	1.1 %	6	42.9 %	4.9	8.5 %
Montgomery	19	42.2 %	119.5	35.6 %	14	31.1 %	148.5	44.3 %	12	26.7 %	67.3	20.1 %
Moore	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %
Morgan	7	36.8 %	5.3	2.6 %	10	52.6 %	154.6	74.9 %	2	10.5 %	46.4	22.5 %
Obion	13	54.2 %	318.7	95.7 %	6	25.0 %	11.9	3.6 %	5	20.8 %	2.5	0.8 %
Overton	23	85.2 %	24.3	27.6 %	3	11.1 %	56.7	64.4 %	1	3.7 %	7.0	8.0 %
Perry	8	66.7 %	130.6	95.5 %	2	16.7 %	0.5	0.4 %	2	16.7 %	5.6	4.1 %
Pickett	6	85.7 %	10.0	88.9 %	1	14.3 %	1.3	11.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Polk	22	71.0 %	337.7	88.0 %	6	19.4 %	2.9	0.7 %	3	9.7 %	43.2	11.3 %
Putnam	23	53.5 %	34.0	19.3 %	13	30.2 %	106.7	60.5 %	7	16.3 %	35.7	20.3 %
Rhea	8	57.1 %	6.2	9.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	6	42.9 %	56.6	90.1 %

Table D-2b. Transportation Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Transportation
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Roane	12	52.2 %	37.9	27.8 %	6	26.1 %	41.7	30.6 %	5	21.7 %	56.7	41.6 %
Robertson	21	53.8 %	151.3	81.5 %	12	30.8 %	32.7	17.6 %	6	15.4 %	1.7	0.9 %
Rutherford	27	45.0 %	63.7	19.3 %	22	36.7 %	219.6	66.5 %	11	18.3 %	46.7	14.2 %
Scott	14	70.0 %	9.0	12.3 %	5	25.0 %	59.8	82.2 %	1	5.0 %	4.0	5.5 %
Sequatchie	10	76.9 %	6.9	32.7 %	1	7.7 %	0.1	0.6 %	2	15.4 %	14.1	66.7 %
Sevier	19	43.2 %	196.4	47.9 %	18	40.9 %	178.0	43.4 %	7	15.9 %	36.0	8.8 %
Shelby	256	67.0 %	1,286.5	46.4 %	83	21.7 %	1,253.8	45.3 %	43	11.3 %	229.6	8.3 %
Smith	35	79.5 %	43.5	69.1 %	7	15.9 %	15.0	23.8 %	2	4.5 %	4.5	7.1 %
Stewart	3	27.3 %	26.0	41.3 %	1	9.1 %	0.1	0.1 %	7	63.6 %	36.9	58.6 %
Sullivan	66	67.3 %	161.3	72.8 %	20	20.4 %	18.8	8.5 %	12	12.2 %	41.5	18.7 %
Sumner	37	48.7 %	128.7	39.8 %	25	32.9 %	103.1	31.9 %	14	18.4 %	91.6	28.3 %
Tipton	62	79.5 %	107.3	38.4 %	16	20.5 %	172.2	61.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Trousdale	2	50.0 %	18.5	26.5 %	2	50.0 %	51.2	73.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	20	71.4 %	18.6	62.2 %	7	25.0 %	11.2	37.5 %	1	3.6 %	0.1	0.3 %
Union	8	61.5 %	40.0	46.9 %	3	23.1 %	30.1	35.3 %	2	15.4 %	15.2	17.8 %
Van Buren	2	40.0 %	13.6	32.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	60.0 %	28.8	67.9 %
Warren	40	78.4 %	37.0	29.8 %	7	13.7 %	24.2	19.5 %	4	7.8 %	63.0	50.8 %
Washington	20	47.6 %	308.5	62.8 %	12	28.6 %	162.4	33.1 %	10	23.8 %	20.2	4.1 %
Wayne	26	86.7 %	8.5	11.1 %	2	6.7 %	24.3	31.6 %	2	6.7 %	43.9	57.3 %
Weakley	3	37.5 %	0.9	26.1 %	3	37.5 %	1.7	49.5 %	2	25.0 %	0.8	24.3 %
White	8	53.3 %	29.2	45.7 %	3	20.0 %	2.6	4.0 %	4	26.7 %	32.1	50.3 %
Williamson	29	39.2 %	674.0	62.6 %	28	37.8 %	280.5	26.1 %	17	23.0 %	121.5	11.3 %
Wilson	26	52.0 %	237.4	65.1 %	10	20.0 %	42.1	11.6 %	14	28.0 %	85.0	23.3 %
Multi-county	26	59.1 %	23.8	59.9 %	7	15.9 %	3.6	9.1 %	11	25.0 %	12.3	31.0 %
Grand Total	2,208	63.5 %	\$ 9,425.8	49.9 %	773	22.2 %	\$ 6,192.6	32.8 %	494	14.2 %	\$ 3,272.2	17.3 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-3a. Other Utilities Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	5	\$ 9,310,000	1.5 %	87.6 %	\$124	74,849
Bedford	1	1,500,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$33	45,947
Bledsoe	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$39	12,967
Blount	1	1,250,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$10	122,784
Campbell	2	3,200,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$78	40,970
Clay	1	20,000,000	3.3 %	0.0 %	\$2,533	7,895
Cocke	7	14,700,000	2.4 %	100.0 %	\$408	36,047
Coffee	3	363,125	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$7	52,521
Davidson	1	405,000,000	66.9 %	100.0 %	\$637	635,710
Franklin	1	200,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$5	41,310
Greene	2	2,700,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$41	66,282
Hamblen	4	9,100,000	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$144	63,033
Hancock	1	491,846	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$75	6,588
Hawkins	2	690,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$12	57,784
Jackson	1	750,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$69	10,875
Lawrence	1	200,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$5	41,314
Loudon	3	4,878,863	0.8 %	30.7 %	\$104	46,725
McMinn	3	4,850,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$92	52,739
McNairy	2	2,900,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$112	25,796
Meigs	1	250,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$21	12,108
Monroe	1	3,500,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$76	45,830
Montgomery	3	24,000,000	4.0 %	100.0 %	\$149	160,978
Morgan	1	300,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$16	18,738
Putnam	1	600,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$8	72,431
Roane	8	17,710,000	2.9 %	77.1 %	\$331	53,508
Robertson	1	3,350,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$50	66,581
Rutherford	3	2,300,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$9	257,048
Sevier	2	59,637,500	9.9 %	100.0 %	\$692	86,243
Stewart	1	3,000,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$225	13,340
Sumner	1	420,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$3	158,759
Van Buren	1	631,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$115	5,480
White	1	638,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$25	25,444
Multi-county	2	6,060,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$1	6,296,254
Grand Total	69	\$ 604,980,334	100.0 %	89.2 %	\$96	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-3b. Other Utilities Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Other Utilities
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	1	20.0 %	\$ 0.9	9.1 %	2	40.0 %	\$ 8.0	86.0 %	2	40.0 %	\$ 0.5	4.8 %
Bedford	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bledsoe	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %
Campbell	2	100.0 %	3.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Clay	1	100.0 %	20.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cocke	6	85.7 %	11.7	79.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	14.3 %	3.0	20.4 %
Coffee	2	66.7 %	0.2	57.4 %	1	33.3 %	0.2	42.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	405.0	100.0 %
Franklin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	2	100.0 %	2.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	2	50.0 %	4.0	44.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	50.0 %	5.1	56.0 %
Hancock	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	1	50.0 %	0.5	78.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	21.7 %
Jackson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %
Loudon	1	33.3 %	1.8	36.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	3.1	63.1 %
McMinn	3	100.0 %	4.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	2	100.0 %	2.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	1	100.0 %	3.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	12.0	50.0 %	2	66.7 %	12.0	50.0 %
Morgan	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	2	25.0 %	5.2	29.5 %	3	37.5 %	10.5	59.3 %	3	37.5 %	2.0	11.2 %
Robertson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	3.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rutherford	3	100.0 %	2.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	1	50.0 %	0.2	0.4 %	1	50.0 %	59.4	99.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Stewart	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sumner	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
White	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %
Multi-county	1	50.0 %	4.8	79.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	1.3	20.8 %
Grand Total	36	52.2 %	\$ 72.1	11.9 %	15	21.7 %	\$ 98.8	16.3 %	18	26.1 %	\$ 434.1	71.8 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-4a. Telecommunications Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Blount	1	\$ 6,800,000	28.0 %	0.0 %	\$55	122,784
Dickson	1	400,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$8	48,230
Greene	1	300,000	1.2 %	0.0 %	\$5	66,282
Hamblen	1	10,000,000	41.2 %	0.0 %	\$159	63,033
McMinn	1	6,500,000	26.7 %	0.0 %	\$123	52,739
Montgomery	1	300,000	1.2 %	100.0 %	\$2	160,978
Grand Total	6	\$ 24,300,000	100.0 %	1.2 %	\$4	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-4b. Telecommunications Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Telecommunications
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Blount	1	100.0 %	\$ 6.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %
Greene	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %
Hamblen	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %
McMinn	1	100.0 %	6.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	3	50.0 %	\$ 13.6	56.0 %	3	50.0 %	\$ 10.7	44.0 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-5a. Non K-12 Education Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Bedford	5	\$ 750,000	0.0 %	60.0 %	\$16	45,947
Bledsoe	1	340,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$26	12,967
Blount	3	34,300,000	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$279	122,784
Bradley	7	6,887,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$70	97,710
Carroll	1	290,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$10	28,517
Carter	2	830,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$14	59,043
Claiborne	2	2,700,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$86	31,243
Cumberland	3	660,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$12	54,109
Davidson	56	181,443,552	4.4 %	99.3 %	\$285	635,710
DeKalb	1	370,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$20	18,954
Dickson	4	490,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$10	48,230
Dyer	10	11,018,800	0.3 %	93.2 %	\$291	37,811
Fentress	1	5,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$283	17,677
Franklin	5	16,655,198	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$403	41,310
Gibson	2	2,950,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$60	49,468
Giles	3	680,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$23	29,082
Greene	3	4,170,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$63	66,282
Grundy	1	480,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$34	14,130
Hamblen	16	27,745,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$440	63,033
Hamilton	47	337,031,485	8.2 %	84.4 %	\$1,000	337,175
Hardeman	2	542,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$20	27,613
Hardin	1	110,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$4	26,258
Henderson	2	1,300,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$48	27,037
Henry	3	1,519,420	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$48	31,876
Knox	135	1,392,925,148	34.0 %	98.2 %	\$3,197	435,725
Lauderdale	3	960,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$36	26,471
McMinn	1	240,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$5	52,739
Macon	1	3,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$136	22,057
Madison	11	38,900,000	0.9 %	12.8 %	\$400	97,317
Mauzy	6	3,311,985	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$39	84,302
Montgomery	36	183,810,000	4.5 %	99.9 %	\$1,142	160,978
Moore	6	5,817,000	0.1 %	82.8 %	\$954	6,096
Overton	3	960,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$46	21,060
Putnam	28	153,560,000	3.7 %	95.6 %	\$2,120	72,431
Roane	12	6,248,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$117	53,508
Robertson	1	6,000,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$90	66,581
Rutherford	44	533,264,042	13.0 %	98.4 %	\$2,075	257,048
Scott	1	810,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$37	21,866
Shelby	86	645,129,652	15.7 %	100.0 %	\$701	920,232
Smith	1	2,000,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$104	19,201
Sullivan	8	37,860,000	0.9 %	100.0 %	\$245	154,552
Sumner	13	41,367,055	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$261	158,759
Tipton	1	200,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$3	59,495
Trousdale	4	4,650,000	0.1 %	16.8 %	\$587	7,922
Warren	1	290,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$7	40,481
Washington	42	205,459,982	5.0 %	99.4 %	\$1,704	120,598
Weakley	26	138,525,909	3.4 %	99.3 %	\$4,140	33,459
Williamson	1	36,230,000	0.9 %	100.0 %	\$205	176,838

Table D-5a. Non K-12 Education Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Multi-county	4	17,190,000	0.4 %	88.8 %	\$3	6,296,254
Grand Total	656	\$ 4,096,971,228	100.0 %	96.3 %	\$651	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-5b. Non K-12 Education Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Non K-12 Education
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Bedford	3	60.0 %	\$ 0.4	46.7 %	1	20.0 %	\$ 0.3	40.0 %	1	20.0 %	\$ 0.1	13.3 %
Bledsoe	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	1	33.3 %	1.4	4.0 %	1	33.3 %	12.0	35.0 %	1	33.3 %	20.9	61.0 %
Bradley	4	57.1 %	4.1	59.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	42.9 %	2.8	41.0 %
Carroll	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %
Carter	2	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Claiborne	1	50.0 %	1.5	55.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	1.2	44.4 %
Cumberland	2	66.7 %	0.3	48.5 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	51.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	32	57.1 %	105.2	58.0 %	9	16.1 %	17.0	9.4 %	15	26.8 %	59.2	32.6 %
DeKalb	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	2	50.0 %	0.2	42.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	50.0 %	0.3	57.1 %
Dyer	7	70.0 %	5.9	53.9 %	1	10.0 %	0.6	5.6 %	2	20.0 %	4.5	40.5 %
Fentress	1	100.0 %	5.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Franklin	3	60.0 %	16.0	96.1 %	1	20.0 %	0.2	1.2 %	1	20.0 %	0.4	2.7 %
Gibson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.6	18.6 %	1	50.0 %	2.4	81.4 %
Giles	1	33.3 %	0.3	42.6 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	38.2 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	19.1 %
Greene	1	33.3 %	2.0	46.8 %	1	33.3 %	2.1	50.4 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	2.9 %
Grundy	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	8	50.0 %	7.4	26.6 %	1	6.3 %	0.5	1.8 %	7	43.8 %	19.9	71.6 %
Hamilton	23	48.9 %	204.5	60.7 %	3	6.4 %	52.6	15.6 %	21	44.7 %	79.9	23.7 %
Hardeman	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %
Hardin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %
Henderson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %
Henry	2	66.7 %	1.4	95.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	5.0 %
Knox	45	33.3 %	513.2	36.8 %	42	31.1 %	503.1	36.1 %	48	35.6 %	376.7	27.0 %
Lauderdale	2	66.7 %	0.5	56.3 %	1	33.3 %	0.4	43.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Macon	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	8	72.7 %	37.2	95.5 %	2	18.2 %	1.5	3.9 %	1	9.1 %	0.3	0.6 %
Maury	2	33.3 %	1.1	32.3 %	1	16.7 %	0.4	10.6 %	3	50.0 %	1.9	57.1 %
Montgomery	22	61.1 %	147.5	80.3 %	7	19.4 %	5.8	3.2 %	7	19.4 %	30.5	16.6 %
Moore	5	83.3 %	2.3	39.0 %	1	16.7 %	3.5	61.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Overton	1	33.3 %	0.4	39.6 %	2	66.7 %	0.6	60.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	14	50.0 %	115.7	75.4 %	2	7.1 %	1.8	1.2 %	12	42.9 %	36.0	23.5 %
Roane	6	50.0 %	3.4	55.2 %	1	8.3 %	0.4	5.9 %	5	41.7 %	2.4	38.9 %
Robertson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	6.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-5b. Non K-12 Education Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Non K-12 Education
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Rutherford	23	52.3 %	136.7	25.6 %	4	9.1 %	235.4	44.1 %	17	38.6 %	161.2	30.2 %
Scott	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	29	33.7 %	320.1	49.6 %	21	24.4 %	146.7	22.7 %	36	41.9 %	178.3	27.6 %
Smith	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	3	37.5 %	36.5	96.5 %	3	37.5 %	0.7	1.8 %	2	25.0 %	0.6	1.7 %
Sumner	6	46.2 %	35.7	86.2 %	3	23.1 %	4.1	9.9 %	4	30.8 %	1.6	3.8 %
Tipton	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Trousdale	2	50.0 %	4.3	93.3 %	1	25.0 %	0.1	2.6 %	1	25.0 %	0.2	4.1 %
Warren	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	14	33.3 %	86.7	42.2 %	8	19.0 %	59.9	29.2 %	20	47.6 %	58.9	28.6 %
Weakley	13	50.0 %	93.9	67.8 %	6	23.1 %	22.9	16.5 %	7	26.9 %	21.8	15.7 %
Williamson	1	100.0 %	36.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Multi-county	2	50.0 %	14.8	85.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	50.0 %	2.4	14.1 %
Grand Total	299	45.6 %	\$ 1,949.5	47.6 %	128	19.5 %	\$ 1,080.5	26.4 %	229	34.9 %	\$ 1,066.9	26.0 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-6a. Existing School Improvements Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	22	\$ 11,007,723	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$147	74,849
Bedford	3	3,047,192	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$66	45,947
Benton	8	8,235,200	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$514	16,025
Bledsoe	6	5,356,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$413	12,967
Blount	26	57,185,500	3.0 %	0.0 %	\$466	122,784
Bradley	21	26,943,200	1.4 %	0.0 %	\$276	97,710
Campbell	6	2,675,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$65	40,970
Cannon	1	182,500	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$13	13,860
Carroll	11	2,108,841	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$74	28,517
Carter	17	32,007,433	1.7 %	0.0 %	\$542	59,043
Cheatham	13	12,037,091	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$302	39,876
Chester	1	40,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	16,312
Claiborne	7	1,663,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$53	31,243
Clay	3	734,500	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$93	7,895
Cocke	13	8,395,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$233	36,047
Coffee	11	27,389,533	1.4 %	0.0 %	\$521	52,521
Crockett	3	8,149,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$562	14,492
Cumberland	12	18,780,550	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$347	54,109
Davidson	91	363,835,645	19.1 %	0.0 %	\$572	635,710
Decatur	1	50,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$4	11,525
DeKalb	5	2,598,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$137	18,954
Dickson	15	29,110,780	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$604	48,230
Dyer	9	15,310,085	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$405	37,811
Fayette	10	2,824,700	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$73	38,785
Fentress	5	2,925,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$165	17,677
Franklin	11	3,159,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$76	41,310
Gibson	8	22,481,200	1.2 %	0.0 %	\$454	49,468
Giles	3	451,800	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$16	29,082
Grainger	8	1,490,400	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$65	22,857
Greene	23	9,692,940	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$146	66,282
Grundy	7	7,602,400	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$538	14,130
Hamblen	15	3,367,556	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$53	63,033
Hamilton	67	33,023,200	1.7 %	0.0 %	\$98	337,175
Hancock	2	808,400	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$123	6,588
Hardeman	1	100,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$4	27,613
Hardin	5	721,200	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$27	26,258
Hawkins	17	4,352,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$75	57,784
Haywood	6	5,727,947	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$303	18,881
Henderson	8	3,420,700	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$127	27,037
Henry	1	3,564,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$112	31,876
Hickman	3	7,975,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$335	23,805
Houston	5	1,896,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$233	8,154
Humphreys	7	13,455,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$736	18,274
Jackson	3	2,805,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$258	10,875
Jefferson	8	158,340	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$3	51,722
Johnson	5	1,916,725	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$106	18,006
Knox	77	120,949,129	6.3 %	0.0 %	\$278	435,725
Lake	3	11,407,200	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$1,562	7,303
Lauderdale	7	8,289,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$313	26,471
Lawrence	12	13,589,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$329	41,314

Table D-6a. Existing School Improvements Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Lincoln	2	550,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$16	33,374
Loudon	10	7,613,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$163	46,725
McMinn	13	19,374,500	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$367	52,739
McNairy	5	1,414,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$55	25,796
Macon	3	2,500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$113	22,057
Madison	28	18,814,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$193	97,317
Marion	6	11,291,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$402	28,068
Marshall	2	7,938,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$262	30,279
Mauzy	6	1,800,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$21	84,302
Meigs	4	960,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$79	12,108
Monroe	13	10,155,510	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$222	45,830
Montgomery	31	105,836,867	5.6 %	0.0 %	\$657	160,978
Moore	2	3,635,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$596	6,096
Morgan	8	5,299,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$283	18,738
Obion	9	13,428,568	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$427	31,431
Overton	4	6,062,500	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$288	21,060
Perry	2	240,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$31	7,826
Pickett	1	337,500	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$71	4,783
Polk	4	2,355,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$150	15,648
Putnam	15	43,827,500	2.3 %	0.0 %	\$605	72,431
Rhea	4	2,245,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$71	31,516
Roane	8	7,414,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$139	53,508
Robertson	15	15,622,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$235	66,581
Rutherford	32	19,096,580	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$74	257,048
Scott	10	24,399,119	1.3 %	0.0 %	\$1,116	21,866
Sequatchie	3	2,486,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$179	13,915
Sevier	24	44,340,625	2.3 %	0.0 %	\$514	86,243
Shelby	111	218,671,628	11.5 %	0.0 %	\$238	920,232
Smith	6	4,599,200	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$240	19,201
Stewart	3	580,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$43	13,340
Sullivan	46	105,498,730	5.5 %	0.0 %	\$683	154,552
Sumner	27	16,951,924	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$107	158,759
Tipton	11	10,626,500	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$179	59,495
Trousdale	1	20,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$3	7,922
Unicoi	3	262,050	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$15	17,740
Union	2	715,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$37	19,164
Van Buren	2	3,385,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$618	5,480
Warren	8	14,477,500	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$358	40,481
Washington	24	102,941,338	5.4 %	0.0 %	\$854	120,598
Wayne	6	3,789,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$230	16,506
Weakley	11	8,245,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$246	33,459
Williamson	39	41,572,383	2.2 %	0.0 %	\$235	176,838
Wilson	21	69,585,248	3.7 %	0.0 %	\$619	112,377
Grand Total	1,217	\$ 1,905,950,380	100.0 %	0.0 %	\$303	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-7a. K-12 New School Construction Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	1	\$ 8,500,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$114	74,849
Bedford	1	12,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$261	45,947
Blount	2	34,000,000	2.2 %	0.0 %	\$277	122,784
Bradley	1	12,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$123	97,710
Campbell	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$24	40,970
Carter	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$17	59,043
Cheatham	1	10,000,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$251	39,876
Coffee	3	67,500,000	4.4 %	100.0 %	\$1,285	52,521
Cumberland	1	14,000,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$259	54,109
Davidson	1	12,478,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$20	635,710
DeKalb	1	42,000,000	2.7 %	0.0 %	\$2,216	18,954
Dickson	2	33,988,400	2.2 %	0.0 %	\$705	48,230
Dyer	2	18,200,000	1.2 %	0.0 %	\$481	37,811
Fayette	1	12,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$309	38,785
Fentress	1	12,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$679	17,677
Greene	1	22,800,000	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$344	66,282
Hamblen	1	25,000,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$397	63,033
Hardin	1	12,000,000	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$457	26,258
Hawkins	1	9,807,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$170	57,784
Humphreys	1	7,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$383	18,274
Jefferson	1	40,000,000	2.6 %	0.0 %	\$773	51,722
Loudon	2	40,000,000	2.6 %	0.0 %	\$856	46,725
Macon	1	10,000,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$453	22,057
Marshall	2	27,000,000	1.7 %	0.0 %	\$892	30,279
Mauzy	1	30,000,000	1.9 %	0.0 %	\$356	84,302
Monroe	1	12,400,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$271	45,830
Montgomery	5	160,000,000	10.3 %	25.0 %	\$994	160,978
Overton	1	15,000,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$712	21,060
Pickett	1	15,000,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$3,136	4,783
Putnam	3	47,400,000	3.1 %	0.0 %	\$654	72,431
Roane	1	4,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$75	53,508
Robertson	2	56,000,000	3.6 %	100.0 %	\$841	66,581
Rutherford	6	106,000,000	6.8 %	51.9 %	\$412	257,048
Scott	2	14,000,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$640	21,866
Sevier	3	50,350,000	3.3 %	20.6 %	\$584	86,243
Shelby	3	40,000,000	2.6 %	35.0 %	\$43	920,232
Sullivan	1	14,600,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$94	154,552
Sumner	2	22,239,021	1.4 %	47.1 %	\$140	158,759
Tipton	1	11,000,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$185	59,495
Unicoi	1	15,625,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$881	17,740
Union	1	5,700,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$297	19,164
Warren	1	9,961,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$246	40,481
Washington	3	30,000,000	1.9 %	60.0 %	\$249	120,598
Williamson	11	275,500,000	17.8 %	9.1 %	\$1,558	176,838
Wilson	4	129,000,000	8.3 %	0.0 %	\$1,148	112,377
Grand Total	85	\$ 1,548,048,421	100.0 %	20.6 %	\$246	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-7b. K-12 New School Construction Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for K-12 New School Construction
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	1	100.0 %	\$ 8.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bedford	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	20.0	58.8 %	1	50.0 %	14.0	41.2 %
Bradley	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %
Campbell	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Coffee	2	66.7 %	57.0	84.4 %	1	33.3 %	10.5	15.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	1	100.0 %	14.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	1	100.0 %	12.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
DeKalb	1	100.0 %	42.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	1	50.0 %	13.0	38.2 %	1	50.0 %	21.0	61.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dyer	1	50.0 %	9.3	51.1 %	1	50.0 %	8.9	48.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fentress	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	1	100.0 %	22.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	1	100.0 %	25.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	9.8	100.0 %
Humphreys	1	100.0 %	7.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	1	100.0 %	40.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Loudon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	40.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Macon	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	2	100.0 %	27.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	30.0	100.0 %
Monroe	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	12.4	100.0 %
Montgomery	5	100.0 %	160.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Overton	1	100.0 %	15.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	1	100.0 %	15.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	1	33.3 %	12.0	25.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	35.4	74.7 %
Roane	1	100.0 %	4.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	1	50.0 %	21.0	37.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	35.0	62.5 %
Rutherford	4	66.7 %	71.0	67.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	33.3 %	35.0	33.0 %
Scott	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	6.0	42.9 %	1	50.0 %	8.0	57.1 %
Sevier	1	33.3 %	40.0	79.4 %	2	66.7 %	10.4	20.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	2	66.7 %	26.0	65.0 %	1	33.3 %	14.0	35.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-7b. K-12 New School Construction Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for K-12 New School Construction
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Sullivan	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	14.6	100.0 %
Sumner	1	50.0 %	11.8	52.9 %	1	50.0 %	10.5	47.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Tipton	1	100.0 %	11.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	15.6	100.0 %
Union	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	5.7	100.0 %
Warren	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	2	66.7 %	14.0	46.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	16.0	53.3 %
Williamson	6	54.5 %	138.0	50.1 %	4	36.4 %	113.5	41.2 %	1	9.1 %	24.0	8.7 %
Wilson	2	50.0 %	44.0	34.1 %	2	50.0 %	85.0	65.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	51	60.0 %	\$ 930.8	60.1 %	18	21.2 %	\$ 349.7	22.6 %	16	18.8 %	\$ 267.5	17.3 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-8a. School System-wide Need Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	2	\$ 17,300,000	1.0 %	50.9 %	\$231	74,849
Bedford	1	12,000,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$261	45,947
Bledsoe	1	100,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$8	12,967
Blount	2	34,000,000	2.0 %	0.0 %	\$277	122,784
Bradley	1	12,000,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$123	97,710
Campbell	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$24	40,970
Carter	2	1,085,000	0.1 %	7.8 %	\$18	59,043
Cheatham	1	10,000,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$251	39,876
Coffee	3	67,500,000	4.1 %	100.0 %	\$1,285	52,521
Cumberland	1	14,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$259	54,109
Davidson	11	20,510,072	1.2 %	39.2 %	\$32	635,710
DeKalb	1	42,000,000	2.5 %	0.0 %	\$2,216	18,954
Dickson	2	33,988,400	2.0 %	0.0 %	\$705	48,230
Dyer	2	18,200,000	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$481	37,811
Fayette	1	12,000,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$309	38,785
Fentress	7	19,125,396	1.2 %	37.3 %	\$1,082	17,677
Giles	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$5	29,082
Greene	1	22,800,000	1.4 %	0.0 %	\$344	66,282
Hamblen	1	25,000,000	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$397	63,033
Hardin	1	12,000,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$457	26,258
Hawkins	1	9,807,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$170	57,784
Humphreys	1	7,000,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$383	18,274
Jefferson	1	40,000,000	2.4 %	0.0 %	\$773	51,722
Johnson	1	500,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$28	18,006
Knox	15	57,633,105	3.5 %	100.0 %	\$132	435,725
Loudon	2	40,000,000	2.4 %	0.0 %	\$856	46,725
Macon	1	10,000,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$453	22,057
Madison	2	860,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$9	97,317
Marshall	2	27,000,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$892	30,279
Mauzy	1	30,000,000	1.8 %	0.0 %	\$356	84,302
Meigs	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$12	12,108
Monroe	1	12,400,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$271	45,830
Montgomery	5	160,000,000	9.6 %	25.0 %	\$994	160,978
Obion	1	192,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$6	31,431
Overton	1	15,000,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$712	21,060
Pickett	1	15,000,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$3,136	4,783
Putnam	3	47,400,000	2.9 %	0.0 %	\$654	72,431
Roane	1	4,000,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$75	53,508
Robertson	2	56,000,000	3.4 %	100.0 %	\$841	66,581
Rutherford	7	106,180,000	6.4 %	52.0 %	\$413	257,048
Scott	2	14,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$640	21,866
Sequatchie	2	1,100,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$79	13,915
Sevier	3	50,350,000	3.0 %	20.6 %	\$584	86,243
Shelby	3	40,000,000	2.4 %	35.0 %	\$43	920,232
Sullivan	2	16,725,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$108	154,552
Sumner	2	22,239,021	1.3 %	47.1 %	\$140	158,759
Tipton	1	11,000,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$185	59,495
Unicoi	1	15,625,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$881	17,740
Union	1	5,700,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$297	19,164
Van Buren	5	12,210,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$2,228	5,480

Table D-8a. School System-wide Need Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Warren	1	9,961,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$246	40,481
Washington	3	30,000,000	1.8 %	60.0 %	\$249	120,598
Williamson	13	288,500,000	17.4 %	8.7 %	\$1,631	176,838
Wilson	4	129,000,000	7.8 %	0.0 %	\$1,148	112,377
Grand Total	136	\$ 1,660,290,994	100.0 %	24.9 %	\$264	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-8b. School System-wide Need Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for School System-wide Need
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	2	100.0 %	\$ 17.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bedford	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bledsoe	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	20.0	58.8 %	1	50.0 %	14.0	41.2 %
Bradley	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %
Campbell	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	2	100.0 %	1.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Coffee	2	66.7 %	57.0	84.4 %	1	33.3 %	10.5	15.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	1	100.0 %	14.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	3	27.3 %	13.1	64.0 %	3	27.3 %	3.1	14.9 %	5	45.5 %	4.3	21.1 %
DeKalb	1	100.0 %	42.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	1	50.0 %	13.0	38.2 %	1	50.0 %	21.0	61.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dyer	1	50.0 %	9.3	51.1 %	1	50.0 %	8.9	48.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fentress	3	42.9 %	15.7	82.1 %	1	14.3 %	1.6	8.5 %	3	42.9 %	1.8	9.3 %
Giles	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	1	100.0 %	22.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	1	100.0 %	25.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	9.8	100.0 %
Humphreys	1	100.0 %	7.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	1	100.0 %	40.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Johnson	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	7	46.7 %	39.1	67.9 %	3	20.0 %	9.1	15.8 %	5	33.3 %	9.4	16.3 %
Loudon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	40.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Macon	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %
Marshall	2	100.0 %	27.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	30.0	100.0 %
Meigs	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	12.4	100.0 %
Montgomery	5	100.0 %	160.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Overton	1	100.0 %	15.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	1	100.0 %	15.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-8b. School System-wide Need Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for School System-wide Need
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Putnam	1	33.3 %	12.0	25.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	35.4	74.7 %
Roane	1	100.0 %	4.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	1	50.0 %	21.0	37.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	35.0	62.5 %
Rutherford	4	57.1 %	71.0	66.9 %	1	14.3 %	0.2	0.2 %	2	28.6 %	35.0	33.0 %
Scott	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	6.0	42.9 %	1	50.0 %	8.0	57.1 %
Sequatchie	2	100.0 %	1.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	1	33.3 %	40.0	79.4 %	2	66.7 %	10.4	20.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	2	66.7 %	26.0	65.0 %	1	33.3 %	14.0	35.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	1	50.0 %	2.1	12.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	14.6	87.3 %
Sumner	1	50.0 %	11.8	52.9 %	1	50.0 %	10.5	47.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Tipton	1	100.0 %	11.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	15.6	100.0 %
Union	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	5.7	100.0 %
Van Buren	5	100.0 %	12.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Warren	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	2	66.7 %	14.0	46.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	16.0	53.3 %
Williamson	7	53.8 %	146.0	50.6 %	5	38.5 %	118.5	41.1 %	1	7.7 %	24.0	8.3 %
Wilson	2	50.0 %	44.0	34.1 %	2	50.0 %	85.0	65.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	76	55.9 %	\$ 1,007.5	60.7 %	29	21.3 %	\$ 368.9	22.2 %	31	22.8 %	\$ 283.9	17.1 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-9a. Water & Wastewater Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	24	\$ 34,610,000	0.9 %	33.4 %	\$462	74,849
Bedford	14	53,203,828	1.3 %	0.0 %	\$1,158	45,947
Benton	3	859,256	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$54	16,025
Bledsoe	8	10,255,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$791	12,967
Blount	15	20,047,400	0.5 %	14.5 %	\$163	122,784
Bradley	33	29,473,000	0.7 %	19.4 %	\$302	97,710
Campbell	17	22,373,500	0.6 %	12.1 %	\$546	40,970
Cannon	3	7,550,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$545	13,860
Carroll	12	8,889,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$312	28,517
Carter	24	54,843,000	1.4 %	7.6 %	\$929	59,043
Cheatham	6	9,654,000	0.2 %	7.3 %	\$242	39,876
Chester	6	10,450,000	0.3 %	49.8 %	\$641	16,312
Claiborne	11	27,775,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$889	31,243
Clay	4	3,334,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$422	7,895
Cocke	14	20,004,000	0.5 %	3.0 %	\$555	36,047
Coffee	10	30,099,000	0.8 %	15.6 %	\$573	52,521
Crockett	4	3,104,070	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$214	14,492
Cumberland	7	105,750,000	2.6 %	49.8 %	\$1,954	54,109
Davidson	38	637,679,000	15.9 %	97.3 %	\$1,003	635,710
Decatur	4	3,852,000	0.1 %	87.0 %	\$334	11,525
DeKalb	12	12,620,000	0.3 %	74.5 %	\$666	18,954
Dickson	4	34,486,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$715	48,230
Dyer	5	3,722,735	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$98	37,811
Fayette	9	15,380,900	0.4 %	5.1 %	\$397	38,785
Fentress	4	7,200,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$407	17,677
Franklin	10	10,251,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$248	41,310
Gibson	16	7,101,442	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$144	49,468
Giles	20	58,943,900	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$2,027	29,082
Grainger	12	22,289,600	0.6 %	8.1 %	\$975	22,857
Greene	32	110,008,070	2.7 %	16.7 %	\$1,660	66,282
Grundy	12	25,408,680	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$1,798	14,130
Hamblen	16	66,425,000	1.7 %	16.2 %	\$1,054	63,033
Hamilton	22	27,099,500	0.7 %	4.6 %	\$80	337,175
Hancock	9	10,390,588	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$1,577	6,588
Hardeman	6	4,567,155	0.1 %	54.7 %	\$165	27,613
Hardin	5	3,122,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$119	26,258
Hawkins	31	32,728,350	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$566	57,784
Haywood	4	7,350,000	0.2 %	13.6 %	\$389	18,881
Henderson	9	6,625,000	0.2 %	35.5 %	\$245	27,037
Henry	4	4,984,916	0.1 %	62.2 %	\$156	31,876
Hickman	5	6,160,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$259	23,805
Houston	10	8,435,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$1,034	8,154
Humphreys	18	19,345,350	0.5 %	33.1 %	\$1,059	18,274
Jackson	3	910,000	0.0 %	82.4 %	\$84	10,875
Jefferson	14	29,077,573	0.7 %	51.9 %	\$562	51,722
Johnson	19	27,483,462	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$1,526	18,006
Knox	37	53,453,251	1.3 %	8.4 %	\$123	435,725
Lake	7	1,605,468	0.0 %	31.1 %	\$220	7,303
Lawrence	6	6,990,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$169	41,314
Lewis	4	10,569,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$917	11,521

Table D-9a. Water & Wastewater Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Lincoln	18	10,899,756	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$327	33,374
Loudon	22	67,480,000	1.7 %	4.9 %	\$1,444	46,725
McMinn	16	40,976,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$777	52,739
McNairy	15	22,884,781	0.6 %	58.2 %	\$887	25,796
Macon	4	17,500,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$793	22,057
Madison	55	48,721,151	1.2 %	72.9 %	\$501	97,317
Marion	20	30,728,339	0.8 %	9.8 %	\$1,095	28,068
Marshall	12	32,379,590	0.8 %	11.2 %	\$1,069	30,279
Mauzy	7	27,400,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$325	84,302
Meigs	11	11,778,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$973	12,108
Monroe	9	27,860,800	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$608	45,830
Montgomery	38	136,771,000	3.4 %	98.3 %	\$850	160,978
Moore	1	10,500,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$1,722	6,096
Morgan	6	3,357,898	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$179	18,738
Obion	14	6,039,415	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$192	31,431
Overton	8	20,125,000	0.5 %	7.5 %	\$956	21,060
Perry	1	725,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$93	7,826
Pickett	1	175,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$37	4,783
Polk	15	9,536,250	0.2 %	24.1 %	\$609	15,648
Putnam	13	18,265,000	0.5 %	2.7 %	\$252	72,431
Rhea	10	21,873,675	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$694	31,516
Roane	28	52,257,500	1.3 %	3.8 %	\$977	53,508
Robertson	17	30,680,000	0.8 %	66.4 %	\$461	66,581
Rutherford	49	322,749,018	8.1 %	79.0 %	\$1,256	257,048
Scott	8	5,550,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$254	21,866
Sequatchie	11	8,065,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$580	13,915
Sevier	47	145,753,010	3.6 %	33.4 %	\$1,690	86,243
Shelby	35	190,991,669	4.8 %	96.2 %	\$208	920,232
Smith	13	13,369,000	0.3 %	7.5 %	\$696	19,201
Stewart	7	14,935,000	0.4 %	7.0 %	\$1,120	13,340
Sullivan	30	78,579,231	2.0 %	85.0 %	\$508	154,552
Sumner	61	202,357,672	5.1 %	41.2 %	\$1,275	158,759
Tipton	9	21,310,000	0.5 %	7.0 %	\$358	59,495
Trousdale	6	17,058,608	0.4 %	35.3 %	\$2,153	7,922
Unicoi	30	12,514,380	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$705	17,740
Union	3	3,555,973	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$186	19,164
Van Buren	4	44,450,000	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$8,111	5,480
Warren	18	76,810,000	1.9 %	0.0 %	\$1,897	40,481
Washington	55	172,243,800	4.3 %	82.4 %	\$1,428	120,598
Wayne	6	35,230,753	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$2,134	16,506
Weakley	8	3,913,404	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$117	33,459
White	5	31,065,000	0.8 %	6.4 %	\$1,221	25,444
Williamson	66	121,967,933	3.0 %	88.4 %	\$690	176,838
Wilson	30	99,655,000	2.5 %	7.6 %	\$887	112,377
Multi-county	1	5,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$1	6,296,254
Grand Total	1,465	\$ 4,004,577,600	100.0 %	48.0 %	\$636	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-9b. Water & Wastewater Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Water & Wastewater
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	15	62.5 %	\$ 22.6	65.3 %	5	20.8 %	\$ 4.6	13.2 %	4	16.7 %	\$ 7.5	21.5 %
Bedford	7	50.0 %	48.8	91.7 %	5	35.7 %	3.0	5.6 %	2	14.3 %	1.4	2.6 %
Benton	2	66.7 %	0.4	41.8 %	1	33.3 %	0.5	58.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bledsoe	7	87.5 %	9.7	94.4 %	1	12.5 %	0.6	5.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	6	40.0 %	6.3	31.6 %	5	33.3 %	8.6	42.8 %	4	26.7 %	5.1	25.6 %
Bradley	23	69.7 %	15.5	52.6 %	7	21.2 %	11.7	39.7 %	3	9.1 %	2.3	7.7 %
Campbell	11	64.7 %	9.3	41.5 %	4	23.5 %	11.6	51.6 %	2	11.8 %	1.5	6.9 %
Cannon	2	66.7 %	7.0	92.7 %	1	33.3 %	0.6	7.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	6	50.0 %	5.4	60.5 %	5	41.7 %	2.8	31.1 %	1	8.3 %	0.8	8.4 %
Carter	17	70.8 %	26.9	49.1 %	3	12.5 %	3.5	6.4 %	4	16.7 %	24.4	44.5 %
Cheatham	6	100.0 %	9.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Chester	2	33.3 %	6.4	61.2 %	3	50.0 %	2.7	25.4 %	1	16.7 %	1.4	13.4 %
Claiborne	10	90.9 %	27.5	98.9 %	1	9.1 %	0.3	1.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Clay	1	25.0 %	2.0	60.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.2	4.5 %	2	50.0 %	1.2	35.5 %
Cocke	12	85.7 %	14.6	73.0 %	1	7.1 %	1.1	5.5 %	1	7.1 %	4.3	21.5 %
Coffee	3	30.0 %	23.0	76.4 %	5	50.0 %	4.9	16.3 %	2	20.0 %	2.2	7.3 %
Crockett	3	75.0 %	2.9	92.1 %	1	25.0 %	0.2	7.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	2	28.6 %	5.3	5.0 %	2	28.6 %	2.5	2.4 %	3	42.9 %	98.0	92.7 %
Davidson	11	28.9 %	153.7	24.1 %	11	28.9 %	128.2	20.1 %	16	42.1 %	355.8	55.8 %
Decatur	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	50.0 %	1.9	48.1 %	2	50.0 %	2.0	51.9 %
DeKalb	11	91.7 %	8.7	69.1 %	1	8.3 %	3.9	30.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	3	75.0 %	33.3	96.5 %	1	25.0 %	1.2	3.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dyer	4	80.0 %	3.2	86.6 %	1	20.0 %	0.5	13.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	7	77.8 %	12.9	83.7 %	2	22.2 %	2.5	16.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fentress	1	25.0 %	5.0	69.4 %	1	25.0 %	0.5	6.9 %	2	50.0 %	1.7	23.6 %
Franklin	2	20.0 %	2.9	28.3 %	4	40.0 %	5.0	49.0 %	4	40.0 %	2.3	22.7 %
Gibson	12	75.0 %	4.6	64.5 %	3	18.8 %	2.0	28.8 %	1	6.3 %	0.5	6.7 %
Giles	7	35.0 %	42.3	71.7 %	13	65.0 %	16.7	28.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grainger	5	41.7 %	12.0	53.7 %	6	50.0 %	9.3	41.8 %	1	8.3 %	1.0	4.5 %
Greene	27	84.4 %	105.7	96.1 %	3	9.4 %	1.8	1.7 %	2	6.3 %	2.4	2.2 %
Grundy	10	83.3 %	24.2	95.0 %	2	16.7 %	1.3	5.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	8	50.0 %	48.3	72.6 %	5	31.3 %	12.9	19.4 %	3	18.8 %	5.3	8.0 %
Hamilton	21	95.5 %	26.5	97.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	4.5 %	0.6	2.2 %
Hancock	6	66.7 %	6.7	64.0 %	3	33.3 %	3.7	36.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardeman	2	33.3 %	1.0	20.8 %	1	16.7 %	0.2	4.7 %	3	50.0 %	3.4	74.5 %
Hardin	5	100.0 %	3.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-9b. Water & Wastewater Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Water & Wastewater
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Hawkins	25	80.6 %	27.2	83.0 %	5	16.1 %	4.7	14.4 %	1	3.2 %	0.9	2.6 %
Haywood	2	50.0 %	4.4	59.2 %	2	50.0 %	3.0	40.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	5	55.6 %	2.0	29.8 %	2	22.2 %	3.4	51.3 %	2	22.2 %	1.3	18.9 %
Henry	1	25.0 %	0.2	3.7 %	1	25.0 %	0.5	10.0 %	2	50.0 %	4.3	86.3 %
Hickman	2	40.0 %	2.0	32.5 %	3	60.0 %	4.2	67.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	8	80.0 %	7.3	86.2 %	1	10.0 %	0.6	7.1 %	1	10.0 %	0.6	6.6 %
Humphreys	13	72.2 %	15.0	77.5 %	5	27.8 %	4.4	22.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jackson	2	66.7 %	0.9	93.4 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	6.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	8	57.1 %	12.2	41.8 %	5	35.7 %	16.1	55.4 %	1	7.1 %	0.8	2.8 %
Johnson	13	68.4 %	24.8	90.3 %	1	5.3 %	0.1	0.2 %	5	26.3 %	2.6	9.5 %
Knox	17	45.9 %	22.1	41.3 %	6	16.2 %	9.3	17.3 %	14	37.8 %	22.1	41.4 %
Lake	5	71.4 %	1.2	75.1 %	1	14.3 %	0.3	18.7 %	1	14.3 %	0.1	6.2 %
Lawrence	1	16.7 %	1.0	14.3 %	1	16.7 %	0.1	1.4 %	4	66.7 %	5.9	84.3 %
Lewis	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	4	100.0 %	10.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lincoln	11	61.1 %	7.6	70.0 %	4	22.2 %	2.0	18.6 %	3	16.7 %	1.2	11.4 %
Loudon	5	22.7 %	6.7	9.9 %	8	36.4 %	39.0	57.8 %	9	40.9 %	21.8	32.3 %
McMinn	10	62.5 %	26.1	63.6 %	2	12.5 %	6.1	14.9 %	4	25.0 %	8.8	21.5 %
McNairy	14	93.3 %	19.9	86.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	6.7 %	3.0	13.1 %
Macon	3	75.0 %	16.8	96.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.7	4.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	51	92.7 %	45.6	93.5 %	2	3.6 %	1.6	3.4 %	2	3.6 %	1.5	3.1 %
Marion	12	60.0 %	26.5	86.2 %	6	30.0 %	3.1	10.2 %	2	10.0 %	1.1	3.6 %
Marshall	4	33.3 %	17.4	53.8 %	4	33.3 %	12.6	39.0 %	4	33.3 %	2.3	7.2 %
Maury	2	28.6 %	1.5	5.3 %	5	71.4 %	26.0	94.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	7	63.6 %	7.8	66.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	4	36.4 %	4.0	33.8 %
Monroe	3	33.3 %	5.9	21.2 %	6	66.7 %	22.0	78.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	15	39.5 %	51.8	37.9 %	16	42.1 %	29.7	21.7 %	7	18.4 %	55.3	40.4 %
Moore	1	100.0 %	10.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Morgan	4	66.7 %	1.7	51.3 %	1	16.7 %	0.6	16.4 %	1	16.7 %	1.1	32.3 %
Obion	9	64.3 %	3.3	54.5 %	3	21.4 %	2.0	33.3 %	2	14.3 %	0.7	12.2 %
Overton	5	62.5 %	17.6	87.3 %	1	12.5 %	0.6	3.0 %	2	25.0 %	2.0	9.7 %
Perry	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Polk	14	93.3 %	9.3	97.4 %	1	6.7 %	0.3	2.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	3	23.1 %	7.1	38.8 %	5	38.5 %	2.1	11.3 %	5	38.5 %	9.1	50.0 %
Rhea	8	80.0 %	20.6	94.2 %	2	20.0 %	1.3	5.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	16	57.1 %	25.0	47.9 %	6	21.4 %	11.1	21.2 %	6	21.4 %	16.2	30.9 %

Table D-9b. Water & Wastewater Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Water & Wastewater
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Robertson	6	35.3 %	10.0	32.5 %	8	47.1 %	16.8	54.6 %	3	17.6 %	4.0	12.9 %
Rutherford	33	67.3 %	185.3	57.4 %	11	22.4 %	90.4	28.0 %	5	10.2 %	47.1	14.6 %
Scott	3	37.5 %	2.8	49.5 %	3	37.5 %	1.8	31.9 %	2	25.0 %	1.0	18.6 %
Sequatchie	9	81.8 %	7.5	93.5 %	1	9.1 %	0.5	5.6 %	1	9.1 %	0.1	0.9 %
Sevier	21	44.7 %	32.6	22.3 %	10	21.3 %	72.0	49.4 %	16	34.0 %	41.2	28.3 %
Shelby	13	37.1 %	9.0	4.7 %	7	20.0 %	10.1	5.3 %	15	42.9 %	171.9	90.0 %
Smith	8	61.5 %	9.3	69.8 %	2	15.4 %	2.9	21.6 %	3	23.1 %	1.2	8.6 %
Stewart	2	28.6 %	6.5	43.5 %	5	71.4 %	8.4	56.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	9	30.0 %	9.5	12.1 %	7	23.3 %	13.2	16.8 %	14	46.7 %	55.9	71.1 %
Sumner	34	55.7 %	97.1	48.0 %	16	26.2 %	80.5	39.8 %	11	18.0 %	24.7	12.2 %
Tipton	5	55.6 %	16.8	78.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	4	44.4 %	4.5	21.2 %
Trousdale	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	6	100.0 %	17.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	16	53.3 %	7.5	60.3 %	5	16.7 %	3.0	23.8 %	9	30.0 %	2.0	15.9 %
Union	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	100.0 %	3.6	100.0 %
Van Buren	2	50.0 %	35.0	78.7 %	1	25.0 %	4.7	10.6 %	1	25.0 %	4.8	10.7 %
Warren	11	61.1 %	18.6	24.2 %	4	22.2 %	50.6	65.9 %	3	16.7 %	7.7	10.0 %
Washington	44	80.0 %	124.3	72.1 %	7	12.7 %	14.5	8.4 %	4	7.3 %	33.5	19.5 %
Wayne	1	16.7 %	30.0	85.2 %	3	50.0 %	4.6	13.0 %	2	33.3 %	0.6	1.8 %
Weakley	3	37.5 %	2.5	63.9 %	3	37.5 %	0.7	17.0 %	2	25.0 %	0.7	19.1 %
White	3	60.0 %	29.5	95.0 %	1	20.0 %	1.0	3.2 %	1	20.0 %	0.6	1.8 %
Williamson	36	54.5 %	43.9	36.0 %	19	28.8 %	27.4	22.5 %	11	16.7 %	50.6	41.5 %
Wilson	22	73.3 %	47.9	48.0 %	4	13.3 %	15.6	15.7 %	4	13.3 %	36.2	36.3 %
Multi-county	1	100.0 %	5.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	858	58.6 %	\$ 1,916.0	47.8 %	346	23.6 %	\$ 905.1	22.6 %	261	17.8 %	\$ 1,183.5	29.6 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-10a. Law Enforcement Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	1	\$ 4,000,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$53	74,849
Benton	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$62	16,025
Bledsoe	14	54,590,000	2.9 %	100.0 %	\$4,210	12,967
Blount	1	630,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$5	122,784
Bradley	1	730,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$7	97,710
Campbell	1	5,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$122	40,970
Cannon	1	525,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$38	13,860
Carroll	2	7,190,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$252	28,517
Carter	3	28,560,000	1.5 %	9.0 %	\$484	59,043
Cheatham	1	12,000,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$301	39,876
Cocke	2	3,750,000	0.2 %	20.0 %	\$104	36,047
Coffee	7	50,070,000	2.7 %	96.4 %	\$953	52,521
Crockett	1	800,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$55	14,492
Cumberland	2	14,475,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$268	54,109
Davidson	46	370,707,300	19.7 %	99.9 %	\$583	635,710
Dickson	2	48,000,000	2.6 %	0.0 %	\$995	48,230
Dyer	4	4,040,000	0.2 %	22.8 %	\$107	37,811
Fayette	4	2,175,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$56	38,785
Fentress	3	9,430,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$533	17,677
Franklin	2	524,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$13	41,310
Gibson	5	19,500,000	1.0 %	81.5 %	\$394	49,468
Giles	2	1,350,000	0.1 %	63.0 %	\$46	29,082
Greene	2	12,550,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$189	66,282
Grundy	1	8,000,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$566	14,130
Hamblen	1	340,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$5	63,033
Hamilton	8	36,018,999	1.9 %	99.4 %	\$107	337,175
Hardeman	3	19,600,000	1.0 %	94.9 %	\$710	27,613
Hardin	2	10,000,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$381	26,258
Hawkins	3	2,600,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$45	57,784
Haywood	2	15,620,000	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$827	18,881
Henderson	1	12,000,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$444	27,037
Henry	2	850,000	0.0 %	64.7 %	\$27	31,876
Hickman	9	36,120,000	1.9 %	94.5 %	\$1,517	23,805
Humphreys	2	1,460,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$80	18,274
Jackson	1	300,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$28	10,875
Jefferson	5	3,910,000	0.2 %	66.8 %	\$76	51,722
Johnson	3	9,945,000	0.5 %	98.0 %	\$552	18,006
Knox	4	6,026,390	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$14	435,725
Lake	4	14,125,000	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$1,934	7,303
Lauderdale	7	21,400,000	1.1 %	100.0 %	\$808	26,471
Lawrence	2	9,230,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$223	41,314
Lewis	1	120,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$10	11,521
McMinn	1	3,000,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$57	52,739
McNairy	1	780,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$30	25,796
Madison	5	11,870,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$122	97,317
Maury	2	19,530,000	1.0 %	7.8 %	\$232	84,302
Meigs	1	1,100,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$91	12,108
Monroe	2	12,350,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$269	45,830
Montgomery	1	114,500	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$1	160,978
Moore	1	750,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$123	6,096

Table D-10a. Law Enforcement Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Morgan	3	4,290,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$229	18,738
Obion	2	1,840,000	0.1 %	34.8 %	\$59	31,431
Pickett	2	5,050,000	0.3 %	99.0 %	\$1,056	4,783
Putnam	4	23,200,000	1.2 %	39.7 %	\$320	72,431
Rhea	3	5,500,000	0.3 %	7.6 %	\$175	31,516
Roane	3	2,235,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$42	53,508
Robertson	1	12,000,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$180	66,581
Rutherford	6	78,830,000	4.2 %	100.0 %	\$307	257,048
Scott	1	600,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$27	21,866
Sevier	1	625,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$7	86,243
Shelby	19	140,375,077	7.5 %	100.0 %	\$153	920,232
Smith	2	10,179,000	0.5 %	98.2 %	\$530	19,201
Stewart	2	7,300,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$547	13,340
Sullivan	3	36,810,000	2.0 %	100.0 %	\$238	154,552
Sumner	2	1,100,000	0.1 %	81.8 %	\$7	158,759
Tipton	2	5,650,000	0.3 %	11.5 %	\$95	59,495
Van Buren	1	7,000,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$1,277	5,480
Warren	4	22,910,000	1.2 %	97.3 %	\$566	40,481
Washington	1	299,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$2	120,598
Wayne	6	37,840,000	2.0 %	72.3 %	\$2,292	16,506
Weakley	3	1,090,000	0.1 %	72.5 %	\$33	33,459
White	2	2,130,000	0.1 %	29.6 %	\$84	25,444
Williamson	2	37,412,533	2.0 %	100.0 %	\$212	176,838
Wilson	1	4,500,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$40	112,377
Multi-county	12	522,890,000	27.8 %	99.9 %	\$83	6,296,254
Grand Total	271	\$ 1,880,411,799	100.0 %	87.3 %	\$299	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-10b. Law Enforcement Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Law Enforcement
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	1	100.0 %	\$ 4.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Benton	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bledsoe	11	78.6 %	53.8	98.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	21.4 %	0.8	1.5 %
Blount	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bradley	1	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Campbell	1	100.0 %	5.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cannon	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	1	50.0 %	6.5	90.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.7	9.6 %
Carter	2	66.7 %	2.6	9.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	26.0	91.0 %
Cheatham	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cocke	1	50.0 %	3.0	80.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.8	20.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Coffee	5	71.4 %	31.4	62.7 %	2	28.6 %	18.7	37.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Crockett	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	1	50.0 %	0.5	3.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	14.0	96.7 %
Davidson	32	69.6 %	295.7	79.8 %	10	21.7 %	64.8	17.5 %	4	8.7 %	10.3	2.8 %
Dickson	1	50.0 %	30.0	62.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	18.0	37.5 %
Dyer	3	75.0 %	2.9	72.3 %	1	25.0 %	1.1	27.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	2	50.0 %	0.8	37.9 %	1	25.0 %	0.6	27.6 %	1	25.0 %	0.8	34.5 %
Fentress	2	66.7 %	8.9	94.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.6	6.0 %
Franklin	1	50.0 %	0.5	85.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	14.1 %
Gibson	4	80.0 %	18.0	92.3 %	1	20.0 %	1.5	7.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Giles	1	50.0 %	0.9	63.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	37.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	2	100.0 %	12.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grundy	1	100.0 %	8.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %
Hamilton	4	50.0 %	23.3	64.7 %	1	12.5 %	10.6	29.4 %	3	37.5 %	2.1	5.9 %
Hardeman	2	66.7 %	1.6	8.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	18.0	91.8 %
Hardin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %
Hawkins	1	33.3 %	0.5	17.3 %	1	33.3 %	2.1	80.8 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	1.9 %
Haywood	1	50.0 %	0.6	4.0 %	1	50.0 %	15.0	96.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henry	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %
Hickman	3	33.3 %	6.2	17.2 %	3	33.3 %	23.9	66.2 %	3	33.3 %	6.0	16.7 %
Humphreys	1	50.0 %	0.7	50.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.7	50.0 %
Jackson	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	2	40.0 %	1.1	29.2 %	2	40.0 %	1.8	45.5 %	1	20.0 %	1.0	25.3 %

Table D-10b. Law Enforcement Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Law Enforcement
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Johnson	2	66.7 %	6.2	62.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	3.8	37.7 %
Knox	1	25.0 %	3.0	49.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	75.0 %	3.0	50.2 %
Lake	3	75.0 %	13.6	96.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.5	3.7 %
Lauderdale	5	71.4 %	10.4	48.4 %	1	14.3 %	10.5	49.1 %	1	14.3 %	0.6	2.6 %
Lawrence	2	100.0 %	9.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lewis	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	4	80.0 %	11.4	95.8 %	1	20.0 %	0.5	4.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	2	100.0 %	19.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	1	100.0 %	1.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	2	100.0 %	12.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Moore	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Morgan	3	100.0 %	4.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	2	100.0 %	1.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	1	50.0 %	5.0	99.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	1.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	2	50.0 %	9.2	39.4 %	2	50.0 %	14.1	60.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rhea	2	66.7 %	5.1	92.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.4	7.6 %
Roane	2	66.7 %	1.8	79.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.5	20.1 %
Robertson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %
Rutherford	2	33.3 %	9.5	12.1 %	2	33.3 %	68.0	86.3 %	2	33.3 %	1.3	1.7 %
Scott	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	14	73.7 %	111.8	79.6 %	3	15.8 %	14.6	10.4 %	2	10.5 %	14.0	10.0 %
Smith	1	50.0 %	0.2	1.8 %	1	50.0 %	10.0	98.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Stewart	1	50.0 %	0.3	4.1 %	1	50.0 %	7.0	95.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	1	33.3 %	15.6	42.3 %	2	66.7 %	21.2	57.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sumner	1	50.0 %	0.9	81.8 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	18.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Tipton	2	100.0 %	5.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	1	100.0 %	7.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Warren	3	75.0 %	22.5	98.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.4	1.7 %
Washington	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wayne	3	50.0 %	18.0	47.7 %	1	16.7 %	8.5	22.5 %	2	33.3 %	11.3	29.9 %
Weakley	1	33.3 %	0.3	27.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	0.8	72.5 %
White	1	50.0 %	1.5	70.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.6	29.6 %

Table D-10b. Law Enforcement Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Law Enforcement
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Williamson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.9	2.4 %	1	50.0 %	36.5	97.6 %
Wilson	1	100.0 %	4.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Multi-county	11	91.7 %	522.0	99.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	8.3 %	0.9	0.2 %
Grand Total	178	65.7 %	\$ 1,374.7	73.1 %	43	15.9 %	\$ 308.8	16.4 %	50	18.5 %	\$ 196.8	10.5 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-11a. Public Health Facilities Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Cannon	2	\$ 650,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$47	13,860
Cheatham	2	730,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$18	39,876
Davidson	15	106,240,000	26.8 %	100.0 %	\$167	635,710
Dyer	1	1,800,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$48	37,811
Giles	1	100,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$3	29,082
Greene	15	7,745,000	2.0 %	100.0 %	\$117	66,282
Hamilton	7	5,169,000	1.3 %	100.0 %	\$15	337,175
Hardeman	4	23,524,000	5.9 %	100.0 %	\$852	27,613
Jackson	1	1,300,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$120	10,875
Knox	14	129,497,000	32.7 %	100.0 %	\$297	435,725
Lawrence	1	200,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$5	41,314
Macon	2	600,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$27	22,057
Madison	1	22,000,000	5.6 %	100.0 %	\$226	97,317
Marshall	1	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$10	30,279
Maury	2	11,988,000	3.0 %	100.0 %	\$142	84,302
Montgomery	2	524,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$3	160,978
Obion	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$16	31,431
Perry	1	741,500	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$95	7,826
Putnam	1	8,400,000	2.1 %	100.0 %	\$116	72,431
Rutherford	1	650,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$3	257,048
Shelby	6	58,548,000	14.8 %	100.0 %	\$64	920,232
Union	2	450,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$23	19,164
Van Buren	3	10,500,000	2.7 %	23.8 %	\$1,916	5,480
Washington	5	2,822,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$23	120,598
Wilson	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$9	112,377
Grand Total	92	\$ 395,978,500	100.0 %	95.9 %	\$63	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-11b. Public Health Facilities Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Public Health Facilities
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Cannon	2	100.0 %	\$ 0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.4	47.9 %	1	50.0 %	0.4	52.1 %
Davidson	9	60.0 %	31.3	29.4 %	4	26.7 %	18.2	17.1 %	2	13.3 %	56.8	53.4 %
Dyer	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.8	100.0 %
Giles	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	14	93.3 %	7.5	96.6 %	1	6.7 %	0.3	3.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	3	42.9 %	0.8	15.3 %	1	14.3 %	0.3	4.8 %	3	42.9 %	4.1	79.9 %
Hardeman	2	50.0 %	1.2	5.2 %	2	50.0 %	22.3	94.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jackson	1	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	9	64.3 %	127.4	98.4 %	4	28.6 %	1.9	1.5 %	1	7.1 %	0.2	0.1 %
Lawrence	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Macon	2	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	1	100.0 %	22.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	1	50.0 %	11.8	98.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	2.0 %
Montgomery	1	50.0 %	0.3	55.2 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	44.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Perry	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	8.4	100.0 %
Rutherford	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %
Shelby	4	66.7 %	26.5	45.2 %	1	16.7 %	1.6	2.7 %	1	16.7 %	30.5	52.2 %
Union	2	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	2	66.7 %	8.0	76.2 %	1	33.3 %	2.5	23.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	5	100.0 %	2.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wilson	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	61	66.3 %	\$ 244.0	61.6 %	19	20.7 %	\$ 49.0	12.4 %	12	13.0 %	\$ 103.1	26.0 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-12a. Storm Water Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	2	\$ 1,543,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$21	74,849
Bradley	1	3,510,000	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$36	97,710
Campbell	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$24	40,970
Carroll	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$18	28,517
Carter	1	500,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$8	59,043
Cheatham	1	200,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$5	39,876
Cumberland	1	300,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$6	54,109
Davidson	3	9,830,000	2.8 %	95.9 %	\$15	635,710
Decatur	1	750,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$65	11,525
Gibson	2	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$6	49,468
Greene	2	20,500,000	5.8 %	97.6 %	\$309	66,282
Hamilton	3	7,418,775	2.1 %	70.1 %	\$22	337,175
Hardeman	1	300,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$11	27,613
Jefferson	1	50,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$1	51,722
Johnson	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$56	18,006
Knox	5	21,162,939	6.0 %	98.1 %	\$49	435,725
Lake	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$21	7,303
Lawrence	1	7,500,000	2.1 %	0.0 %	\$182	41,314
Lincoln	2	1,155,000	0.3 %	69.7 %	\$35	33,374
Loudon	2	1,320,000	0.4 %	94.7 %	\$28	46,725
McMinn	1	10,000,000	2.8 %	0.0 %	\$190	52,739
McNairy	1	800,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$31	25,796
Marshall	1	366,160	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$12	30,279
Mauzy	2	1,110,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$13	84,302
Monroe	1	3,500,000	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$76	45,830
Montgomery	1	3,682,000	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$23	160,978
Morgan	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$53	18,738
Obion	2	200,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$6	31,431
Rhea	2	1,600,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$51	31,516
Robertson	2	900,000	0.3 %	44.4 %	\$14	66,581
Sevier	3	13,175,000	3.7 %	99.4 %	\$153	86,243
Shelby	15	157,517,087	44.3 %	99.6 %	\$171	920,232
Sullivan	6	28,784,204	8.1 %	98.3 %	\$186	154,552
Sumner	2	3,410,000	1.0 %	88.0 %	\$21	158,759
Unicoi	2	410,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$23	17,740
Washington	1	40,000,000	11.3 %	100.0 %	\$332	120,598
Weakley	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$30	33,459
Williamson	5	8,871,000	2.5 %	100.0 %	\$50	176,838
Grand Total	82	\$ 355,315,165	100.0 %	90.8 %	\$56	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-12b. Storm Water Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Storm Water
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	1	50.0 %	\$ 1.0	64.8 %	1	50.0 %	\$ 0.5	35.2 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bradley	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	3.5	100.0 %
Campbell	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	1	33.3 %	0.4	4.1 %	1	33.3 %	0.4	4.4 %	1	33.3 %	9.0	91.6 %
Decatur	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Gibson	2	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	2	100.0 %	20.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	3	100.0 %	7.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardeman	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Johnson	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	20.0 %	1.0	4.7 %	4	80.0 %	20.2	95.3 %
Lake	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	7.5	100.0 %
Lincoln	1	50.0 %	0.4	30.3 %	1	50.0 %	0.8	69.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Loudon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	1.3	94.7 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	5.3 %
McMinn	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	1.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	1	100.0 %	3.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	3.7	100.0 %
Morgan	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	2	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rhea	2	100.0 %	1.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	1	50.0 %	0.5	55.6 %	1	50.0 %	0.4	44.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	100.0 %	13.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	5	33.3 %	4.7	3.0 %	2	13.3 %	3.1	2.0 %	8	53.3 %	149.8	95.1 %
Sullivan	3	50.0 %	1.1	3.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	50.0 %	27.7	96.2 %
Sumner	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	3.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	40.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-12b. Storm Water Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Storm Water
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design				Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]		
Weakley	1 100.0 %	1.0 100.0 %	0 0.0 %	0.0 0.0 %	0 0.0 %	0.0 0.0 %		
Williamson	1 20.0 %	1.3 14.9 %	2 40.0 %	6.1 68.6 %	2 40.0 %	1.5 16.5 %		
Grand Total	38 46.3 %	\$ 60.2 17.0 %	22 26.8 %	\$ 72.2 20.3 %	22 26.8 %	\$ 222.8 62.7 %		

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-13a. Fire Protection Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	2	\$ 1,750,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$23	74,849
Bedford	3	1,900,000	0.9 %	31.6 %	\$41	45,947
Blount	3	509,400	0.2 %	39.3 %	\$4	122,784
Campbell	2	700,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$17	40,970
Carroll	2	400,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$14	28,517
Carter	1	100,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	59,043
Cheatham	1	1,000,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$25	39,876
Chester	3	575,000	0.3 %	87.0 %	\$35	16,312
Davidson	1	13,111,600	6.0 %	100.0 %	\$21	635,710
Dickson	1	1,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$21	48,230
Dyer	4	1,150,000	0.5 %	78.3 %	\$30	37,811
Franklin	1	750,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$18	41,310
Gibson	2	1,300,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$26	49,468
Giles	1	170,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$6	29,082
Greene	3	6,000,000	2.7 %	58.3 %	\$91	66,282
Grundy	2	380,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$27	14,130
Hamilton	2	4,600,000	2.1 %	100.0 %	\$14	337,175
Hardeman	2	850,000	0.4 %	41.2 %	\$31	27,613
Hardin	1	50,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	26,258
Hawkins	2	1,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$17	57,784
Henderson	1	800,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$30	27,037
Hickman	1	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$13	23,805
Houston	2	600,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$74	8,154
Jefferson	1	800,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$15	51,722
Johnson	2	1,400,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$78	18,006
Lauderdale	1	700,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$26	26,471
Lawrence	1	850,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$21	41,314
Lincoln	1	400,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$12	33,374
McMinn	1	2,250,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$43	52,739
McNairy	4	295,000	0.1 %	83.1 %	\$11	25,796
Marshall	1	150,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$5	30,279
Mauzy	4	5,050,000	2.3 %	95.0 %	\$60	84,302
Meigs	2	160,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$13	12,108
Monroe	2	850,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$19	45,830
Montgomery	8	21,800,000	10.0 %	100.0 %	\$135	160,978
Obion	3	660,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$21	31,431
Pickett	2	2,795,000	1.3 %	0.0 %	\$584	4,783
Putnam	1	250,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$3	72,431
Rhea	1	500,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$16	31,516
Robertson	2	1,810,000	0.8 %	91.2 %	\$27	66,581
Rutherford	1	750,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$3	257,048
Sevier	4	7,399,096	3.4 %	69.6 %	\$86	86,243
Shelby	11	92,001,360	42.0 %	100.0 %	\$100	920,232
Stewart	1	500,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$37	13,340
Sullivan	6	3,271,000	1.5 %	100.0 %	\$21	154,552
Sumner	4	3,830,000	1.7 %	0.0 %	\$24	158,759
Tipton	3	3,550,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$60	59,495
Unicoi	1	250,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$14	17,740
Warren	1	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$7	40,481
Washington	8	11,350,000	5.2 %	81.5 %	\$94	120,598

Table D-13a. Fire Protection Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Weakley	2	1,300,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$39	33,459
Williamson	11	11,514,300	5.3 %	90.0 %	\$65	176,838
Wilson	3	3,250,000	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$29	112,377
Grand Total	136	\$ 218,981,756	100.0 %	80.3 %	\$35	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-13b. Fire Protection Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Fire Protection
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	2	100.0 %	\$ 1.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bedford	2	66.7 %	1.8	94.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	5.3 %
Blount	3	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Campbell	2	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	2	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Chester	2	66.7 %	0.5	87.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	13.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	1	100.0 %	13.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dyer	4	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Franklin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %
Gibson	2	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Giles	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	3	100.0 %	6.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grundy	2	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	1	50.0 %	0.4	8.7 %	1	50.0 %	4.2	91.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardeman	1	50.0 %	0.4	41.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	58.8 %
Hardin	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	2	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hickman	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	1	50.0 %	0.1	16.7 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	83.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Johnson	2	100.0 %	1.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lauderdale	1	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lawrence	1	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lincoln	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	1	100.0 %	2.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	4	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	2	50.0 %	0.8	14.9 %	1	25.0 %	2.0	39.6 %	1	25.0 %	2.3	45.5 %
Meigs	2	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	2	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	7	87.5 %	20.8	95.4 %	1	12.5 %	1.0	4.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	2	66.7 %	0.2	31.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.5	68.2 %

Table D-13b. Fire Protection Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Fire Protection
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Pickett	1	50.0 %	0.1	3.4 %	1	50.0 %	2.7	96.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rhea	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	1	50.0 %	1.7	91.2 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	8.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rutherford	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	2	50.0 %	3.9	52.3 %	2	50.0 %	3.5	47.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	8	72.7 %	53.1	57.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	27.3 %	38.9	42.3 %
Stewart	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	1	16.7 %	1.1	33.2 %	3	50.0 %	0.6	17.9 %	2	33.3 %	1.6	48.9 %
Sumner	4	100.0 %	3.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Tipton	3	100.0 %	3.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Warren	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	4	50.0 %	8.6	75.8 %	3	37.5 %	2.7	23.3 %	1	12.5 %	0.1	0.9 %
Weakley	2	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	7	63.6 %	7.1	61.9 %	1	9.1 %	0.4	3.0 %	3	27.3 %	4.0	35.0 %
Wilson	2	66.7 %	2.3	69.2 %	1	33.3 %	1.0	30.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	98	72.1 %	\$ 147.8	67.5 %	24	17.6 %	\$ 22.4	10.2 %	14	10.3 %	\$ 48.8	22.3 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-14a. Solid Waste Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	3	\$ 4,500,000	11.2 %	0.0 %	\$60	74,849
Cannon	1	250,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$18	13,860
Carter	3	950,000	2.4 %	63.2 %	\$16	59,043
Clay	1	400,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$51	7,895
Davidson	2	4,100,000	10.2 %	100.0 %	\$6	635,710
DeKalb	2	370,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$20	18,954
Dickson	1	3,000,000	7.5 %	0.0 %	\$62	48,230
Dyer	1	50,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$1	37,811
Greene	5	1,470,000	3.7 %	4.1 %	\$22	66,282
Houston	1	100,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$12	8,154
Knox	1	1,100,000	2.7 %	100.0 %	\$3	435,725
Lawrence	2	2,712,000	6.8 %	0.0 %	\$66	41,314
McMinn	2	5,150,000	12.8 %	0.0 %	\$98	52,739
McNairy	1	120,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$5	25,796
Meigs	1	250,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$21	12,108
Overton	1	2,000,000	5.0 %	0.0 %	\$95	21,060
Pickett	1	100,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$21	4,783
Rhea	1	60,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$2	31,516
Roane	1	250,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$5	53,508
Robertson	1	75,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$1	66,581
Scott	1	500,000	1.2 %	0.0 %	\$23	21,866
Shelby	1	3,200,000	8.0 %	100.0 %	\$3	920,232
Smith	2	2,263,000	5.6 %	0.0 %	\$118	19,201
Sumner	1	800,000	2.0 %	100.0 %	\$5	158,759
Unicoi	1	200,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$11	17,740
Washington	1	500,000	1.2 %	0.0 %	\$4	120,598
Williamson	5	4,382,000	10.9 %	65.5 %	\$25	176,838
Wilson	2	1,300,000	3.2 %	0.0 %	\$12	112,377
Grand Total	46	\$ 40,152,000	100.0 %	32.6 %	\$6	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-14b. Solid Waste Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Solid Waste
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	2	66.7 %	\$ 0.5	11.1 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	\$ 4.0	88.9 %
Cannon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	2	66.7 %	0.4	36.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.6	63.2 %
Clay	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	3.0	73.2 %	1	50.0 %	1.1	26.8 %
DeKalb	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %
Dickson	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dyer	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	5	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	1	100.0 %	1.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	2.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	2	100.0 %	5.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Overton	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rhea	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Scott	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	1	100.0 %	3.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Smith	1	50.0 %	1.8	79.5 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	20.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sumner	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	3	60.0 %	1.7	39.5 %	2	40.0 %	2.7	60.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wilson	2	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	32	69.6 %	\$ 24.8	61.8 %	9	19.6 %	\$ 9.3	23.0 %	5	10.9 %	\$ 6.1	15.1 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-15a. Housing Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Grundy	1	\$ 300,000	2.0 %	0.0 %	\$21	14,130
Marshall	1	338,023	2.3 %	0.0 %	\$11	30,279
Shelby	1	14,000,000	95.6 %	100.0 %	\$15	920,232
Grand Total	3	\$ 14,638,023	100.0 %	95.6 %	\$2	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-15b. Housing Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Housing
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Grundy	1	100.0 %	\$ 0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %
Shelby	1	100.0 %	14.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	2	66.7 %	\$ 14.3	97.7 %	1	33.3 %	\$ 0.3	2.3 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-16a. Recreation Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	16	\$ 10,402,606	1.0 %	5.5 %	\$139	74,849
Bedford	8	2,761,156	0.3 %	38.6 %	\$60	45,947
Benton	1	560,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$35	16,025
Bledsoe	1	500,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$39	12,967
Blount	11	14,923,530	1.4 %	4.9 %	\$122	122,784
Bradley	4	2,480,856	0.2 %	8.9 %	\$25	97,710
Campbell	16	5,503,764	0.5 %	41.2 %	\$134	40,970
Carroll	7	16,422,500	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$576	28,517
Carter	15	26,690,900	2.5 %	85.0 %	\$452	59,043
Cheatham	10	13,223,295	1.2 %	0.0 %	\$332	39,876
Chester	4	3,143,711	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$193	16,312
Claiborne	3	1,889,606	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$60	31,243
Cocke	3	1,768,870	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$49	36,047
Coffee	5	3,175,200	0.3 %	70.2 %	\$60	52,521
Crockett	3	1,206,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$83	14,492
Cumberland	10	6,033,243	0.6 %	67.1 %	\$112	54,109
Davidson	22	112,101,503	10.3 %	97.8 %	\$176	635,710
Decatur	2	295,000	0.0 %	23.7 %	\$26	11,525
DeKalb	4	4,790,000	0.4 %	54.1 %	\$253	18,954
Dickson	10	10,118,000	0.9 %	75.8 %	\$210	48,230
Dyer	3	7,693,844	0.7 %	97.5 %	\$203	37,811
Fayette	1	500,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$13	38,785
Fentress	3	3,785,250	0.3 %	79.3 %	\$214	17,677
Franklin	6	10,222,346	0.9 %	71.4 %	\$247	41,310
Gibson	9	3,388,450	0.3 %	1.5 %	\$68	49,468
Giles	2	22,000,000	2.0 %	86.4 %	\$756	29,082
Grainger	2	700,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$31	22,857
Greene	15	9,947,304	0.9 %	82.1 %	\$150	66,282
Grundy	6	3,267,306	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$231	14,130
Hamblen	8	6,950,587	0.6 %	61.1 %	\$110	63,033
Hamilton	26	19,675,771	1.8 %	79.5 %	\$58	337,175
Hancock	3	2,793,438	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$424	6,588
Hardeman	3	1,748,731	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$63	27,613
Hardin	12	16,101,900	1.5 %	100.0 %	\$613	26,258
Hawkins	6	3,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$52	57,784
Haywood	1	175,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$9	18,881
Henderson	2	3,147,607	0.3 %	95.3 %	\$116	27,037
Henry	7	9,840,000	0.9 %	100.0 %	\$309	31,876
Hickman	2	285,862	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$12	23,805
Houston	3	1,874,715	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$230	8,154
Humphreys	15	8,173,326	0.8 %	48.8 %	\$447	18,274
Jefferson	7	3,339,744	0.3 %	29.9 %	\$65	51,722
Johnson	5	7,059,656	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$392	18,006
Knox	29	77,187,111	7.1 %	84.7 %	\$177	435,725
Lake	5	2,948,000	0.3 %	85.8 %	\$404	7,303
Lauderdale	2	1,572,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$59	26,471
Lawrence	6	11,260,000	1.0 %	63.9 %	\$273	41,314
Lewis	2	536,500	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$47	11,521
Lincoln	6	1,915,834	0.2 %	6.0 %	\$57	33,374
Loudon	4	10,870,112	1.0 %	92.0 %	\$233	46,725

Table D-16a. Recreation Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
McMinn	7	14,472,650	1.3 %	85.5 %	\$274	52,739
McNairy	7	1,730,000	0.2 %	92.5 %	\$67	25,796
Macon	2	1,560,000	0.1 %	3.8 %	\$71	22,057
Madison	2	1,135,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$12	97,317
Marion	5	1,396,441	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$50	28,068
Marshall	10	8,385,000	0.8 %	92.6 %	\$277	30,279
Mauzy	7	21,125,000	1.9 %	47.8 %	\$251	84,302
Meigs	1	50,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$4	12,108
Monroe	4	2,140,000	0.2 %	20.6 %	\$47	45,830
Montgomery	24	30,870,892	2.8 %	92.2 %	\$192	160,978
Moore	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$164	6,096
Morgan	4	1,410,862	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$75	18,738
Obion	8	3,733,976	0.3 %	7.5 %	\$119	31,431
Overton	1	50,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	21,060
Perry	2	875,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$112	7,826
Pickett	6	2,140,000	0.2 %	78.0 %	\$447	4,783
Polk	3	24,415,000	2.3 %	99.7 %	\$1,560	15,648
Putnam	4	2,303,120	0.2 %	17.4 %	\$32	72,431
Rhea	4	1,030,000	0.1 %	41.7 %	\$33	31,516
Roane	11	11,940,589	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$223	53,508
Robertson	12	10,195,600	0.9 %	85.9 %	\$153	66,581
Rutherford	32	72,158,056	6.7 %	28.0 %	\$281	257,048
Scott	5	1,051,888	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$48	21,866
Sequatchie	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$11	13,915
Sevier	12	48,737,350	4.5 %	48.5 %	\$565	86,243
Shelby	43	88,351,816	8.1 %	95.4 %	\$96	920,232
Smith	2	2,408,392	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$125	19,201
Stewart	6	2,625,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$197	13,340
Sullivan	26	32,006,656	3.0 %	89.6 %	\$207	154,552
Sumner	28	50,799,129	4.7 %	65.5 %	\$320	158,759
Tipton	2	1,350,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$23	59,495
Trousdale	1	300,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$38	7,922
Unicoi	10	5,649,089	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$318	17,740
Union	5	1,886,000	0.2 %	75.6 %	\$98	19,164
Van Buren	6	8,100,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$1,478	5,480
Washington	18	12,117,754	1.1 %	83.1 %	\$100	120,598
Wayne	2	465,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$28	16,506
Weakley	3	964,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$29	33,459
White	4	2,227,340	0.2 %	86.2 %	\$88	25,444
Williamson	18	90,031,107	8.3 %	75.2 %	\$509	176,838
Wilson	9	18,127,216	1.7 %	37.4 %	\$161	112,377
Multi-county	4	13,500,000	1.2 %	97.8 %	\$2	6,296,254
Grand Total	718	\$ 1,084,915,057	100.0 %	68.2 %	\$172	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-16b. Recreation Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Recreation
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	9	56.3 %	\$ 8.3	80.1 %	7	43.8 %	\$ 2.1	19.9 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bedford	5	62.5 %	1.5	53.1 %	3	37.5 %	1.3	46.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Benton	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bledsoe	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	3	27.3 %	9.8	65.5 %	6	54.5 %	2.7	18.2 %	2	18.2 %	2.4	16.3 %
Bradley	1	25.0 %	0.2	8.9 %	2	50.0 %	0.9	34.7 %	1	25.0 %	1.4	56.4 %
Campbell	5	31.3 %	3.5	62.8 %	4	25.0 %	0.8	14.1 %	7	43.8 %	1.3	23.1 %
Carroll	2	28.6 %	0.6	3.3 %	3	42.9 %	15.1	91.9 %	2	28.6 %	0.8	4.8 %
Carter	9	60.0 %	23.6	88.6 %	3	20.0 %	1.8	6.7 %	3	20.0 %	1.3	4.7 %
Cheatham	8	80.0 %	10.4	78.6 %	1	10.0 %	2.5	18.9 %	1	10.0 %	0.3	2.5 %
Chester	2	50.0 %	1.7	54.6 %	1	25.0 %	1.0	31.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.5	14.4 %
Claiborne	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.4	23.5 %	2	66.7 %	1.4	76.5 %
Cocke	3	100.0 %	1.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Coffee	3	60.0 %	0.4	11.0 %	1	20.0 %	0.6	18.7 %	1	20.0 %	2.2	70.2 %
Crockett	2	66.7 %	1.1	91.2 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	8.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	6	60.0 %	3.5	58.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	4	40.0 %	2.5	41.2 %
Davidson	9	40.9 %	6.0	5.3 %	6	27.3 %	5.3	4.7 %	7	31.8 %	100.8	90.0 %
Decatur	1	50.0 %	0.2	76.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	23.7 %
DeKalb	4	100.0 %	4.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	4	40.0 %	1.1	10.7 %	4	40.0 %	5.6	55.4 %	2	20.0 %	3.4	33.9 %
Dyer	2	66.7 %	7.6	98.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	1.5 %
Fayette	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fentress	3	100.0 %	3.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Franklin	3	50.0 %	7.6	74.1 %	2	33.3 %	1.2	11.4 %	1	16.7 %	1.5	14.5 %
Gibson	6	66.7 %	2.0	58.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	33.3 %	1.4	41.5 %
Giles	1	50.0 %	19.0	86.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	3.0	13.6 %
Grainger	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	14.3 %	1	50.0 %	0.6	85.7 %
Greene	7	46.7 %	7.2	71.9 %	6	40.0 %	2.2	22.1 %	2	13.3 %	0.6	6.0 %
Grundy	3	50.0 %	0.3	7.7 %	2	33.3 %	0.8	26.0 %	1	16.7 %	2.2	66.3 %
Hamblen	1	12.5 %	0.6	8.6 %	5	62.5 %	3.8	54.7 %	2	25.0 %	2.6	36.7 %
Hamilton	21	80.8 %	15.5	78.5 %	2	7.7 %	1.5	7.6 %	3	11.5 %	2.7	13.8 %
Hancock	2	66.7 %	2.3	84.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.4	15.9 %
Hardeman	2	66.7 %	1.4	82.8 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	17.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	10	83.3 %	12.0	74.3 %	2	16.7 %	4.1	25.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	4	66.7 %	2.5	83.3 %	1	16.7 %	0.4	11.7 %	1	16.7 %	0.2	5.0 %
Haywood	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-16b. Recreation Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Recreation
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design				Construction					
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]				
Henderson	2	100.0 %	3.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henry	4	57.1 %	7.0	70.7 %	3	42.9 %	2.9	29.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hickman	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	30.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	70.0 %
Houston	2	66.7 %	1.2	64.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.7	36.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Humphreys	10	66.7 %	3.7	45.3 %	4	26.7 %	4.2	51.0 %	1	6.7 %	0.3	3.7 %
Jefferson	4	57.1 %	0.7	22.1 %	2	28.6 %	1.6	47.9 %	1	14.3 %	1.0	29.9 %
Johnson	3	60.0 %	6.3	89.6 %	1	20.0 %	0.5	7.4 %	1	20.0 %	0.2	3.0 %
Knox	11	37.9 %	8.1	10.5 %	9	31.0 %	36.2	46.9 %	9	31.0 %	32.9	42.6 %
Lake	3	60.0 %	0.9	30.0 %	1	20.0 %	1.7	59.2 %	1	20.0 %	0.3	10.8 %
Lauderdale	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	1.6	100.0 %
Lawrence	2	33.3 %	4.3	37.9 %	1	16.7 %	0.1	0.5 %	3	50.0 %	6.9	61.5 %
Lewis	1	50.0 %	0.3	55.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	44.1 %
Lincoln	4	66.7 %	1.4	71.2 %	1	16.7 %	0.5	26.1 %	1	16.7 %	0.1	2.7 %
Loudon	1	25.0 %	0.8	6.9 %	3	75.0 %	10.1	93.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	7	100.0 %	14.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	5	71.4 %	1.5	88.4 %	1	14.3 %	0.2	8.7 %	1	14.3 %	0.1	2.9 %
Macon	2	100.0 %	1.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	1	50.0 %	0.6	51.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.6	48.5 %
Marion	3	60.0 %	0.9	64.4 %	1	20.0 %	0.3	24.1 %	1	20.0 %	0.2	11.5 %
Marshall	4	40.0 %	5.4	64.6 %	3	30.0 %	0.8	9.1 %	3	30.0 %	2.2	26.3 %
Maury	3	42.9 %	1.6	7.5 %	4	57.1 %	19.6	92.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %
Monroe	2	50.0 %	0.9	43.9 %	2	50.0 %	1.2	56.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	6	25.0 %	12.9	41.8 %	15	62.5 %	16.0	52.0 %	3	12.5 %	1.9	6.2 %
Moore	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Morgan	2	50.0 %	1.3	90.4 %	1	25.0 %	0.1	6.1 %	1	25.0 %	0.1	3.5 %
Obion	7	87.5 %	2.5	66.7 %	1	12.5 %	1.2	33.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Overton	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Perry	2	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	3	50.0 %	0.6	27.6 %	1	16.7 %	0.8	37.4 %	2	33.3 %	0.8	35.0 %
Polk	3	100.0 %	24.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.4	17.4 %	3	75.0 %	1.9	82.6 %
Rhea	3	75.0 %	0.6	58.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.4	41.7 %
Roane	5	45.5 %	3.4	28.1 %	5	45.5 %	5.6	46.8 %	1	9.1 %	3.0	25.1 %
Robertson	6	50.0 %	3.6	35.3 %	4	33.3 %	6.0	58.9 %	2	16.7 %	0.6	5.8 %
Rutherford	21	65.6 %	47.5	65.9 %	10	31.3 %	16.6	23.0 %	1	3.1 %	8.0	11.1 %

Table D-16b. Recreation Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Recreation
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Scott	2	40.0 %	0.5	49.4 %	1	20.0 %	0.1	10.5 %	2	40.0 %	0.4	40.2 %
Sequatchie	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	3	25.0 %	4.0	8.1 %	7	58.3 %	21.7	44.5 %	2	16.7 %	23.1	47.4 %
Shelby	27	62.8 %	46.3	52.4 %	9	20.9 %	25.6	29.0 %	7	16.3 %	16.5	18.7 %
Smith	2	100.0 %	2.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Stewart	3	50.0 %	1.2	46.7 %	2	33.3 %	0.3	9.5 %	1	16.7 %	1.2	43.8 %
Sullivan	12	46.2 %	5.4	16.8 %	4	15.4 %	17.9	55.9 %	10	38.5 %	8.7	27.3 %
Sumner	17	60.7 %	36.7	72.3 %	6	21.4 %	10.2	20.1 %	5	17.9 %	3.9	7.6 %
Tipton	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	1.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Trousdale	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	8	80.0 %	4.4	77.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	20.0 %	1.3	22.5 %
Union	2	40.0 %	0.6	31.3 %	2	40.0 %	0.4	21.8 %	1	20.0 %	0.9	46.9 %
Van Buren	4	66.7 %	4.0	49.0 %	1	16.7 %	2.3	28.4 %	1	16.7 %	1.8	22.6 %
Washington	5	27.8 %	2.7	22.4 %	7	38.9 %	6.9	56.6 %	6	33.3 %	2.5	21.0 %
Wayne	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %
Weakley	2	66.7 %	0.9	88.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	11.8 %
White	2	50.0 %	1.0	46.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	50.0 %	1.2	53.5 %
Williamson	11	61.1 %	50.9	56.5 %	6	33.3 %	37.7	41.9 %	1	5.6 %	1.4	1.6 %
Wilson	4	44.4 %	8.6	47.7 %	3	33.3 %	7.6	41.9 %	2	22.2 %	1.9	10.4 %
Multi-county	3	75.0 %	13.2	97.8 %	1	25.0 %	0.3	2.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	387	53.9 %	\$ 507.4	46.8 %	193	26.9 %	\$ 315.1	29.0 %	138	19.2 %	\$ 262.4	24.2 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-17a. Libraries, Museums, & Historic Sites Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Bedford	1	\$ 3,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$65	45,947
Benton	1	440,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$27	16,025
Blount	1	1,591,246	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$13	122,784
Bradley	1	660,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$7	97,710
Carter	1	575,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$10	59,043
Cheatham	1	2,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$50	39,876
Claiborne	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$5	31,243
Cumberland	2	6,350,000	1.6 %	100.0 %	\$117	54,109
Davidson	17	244,991,398	62.8 %	99.7 %	\$385	635,710
Dickson	3	2,220,000	0.6 %	66.4 %	\$46	48,230
Fentress	1	400,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$23	17,677
Grainger	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$22	22,857
Greene	3	2,950,000	0.8 %	76.3 %	\$45	66,282
Grundy	1	400,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$28	14,130
Hamblen	1	123,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	63,033
Hamilton	2	2,100,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$6	337,175
Hardin	1	1,250,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$48	26,258
Hawkins	1	400,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$7	57,784
Henderson	2	452,699	0.1 %	33.1 %	\$17	27,037
Henry	1	1,225,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$38	31,876
Houston	1	1,500,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$184	8,154
Jackson	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$92	10,875
Knox	3	2,832,619	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$7	435,725
Lauderdale	3	2,490,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$94	26,471
Lawrence	1	186,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$5	41,314
Lewis	1	80,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$7	11,521
McMinn	1	100,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	52,739
McNairy	1	200,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$8	25,796
Macon	1	750,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$34	22,057
Madison	1	180,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	97,317
Marion	3	1,252,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$45	28,068
Maury	2	2,350,000	0.6 %	14.9 %	\$28	84,302
Meigs	1	347,222	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$29	12,108
Monroe	2	2,500,000	0.6 %	60.0 %	\$55	45,830
Pickett	1	3,150,000	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$659	4,783
Polk	2	1,628,686	0.4 %	75.4 %	\$104	15,648
Putnam	2	870,692	0.2 %	57.4 %	\$12	72,431
Rhea	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$5	31,516
Roane	3	6,100,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$114	53,508
Robertson	5	24,055,000	6.2 %	2.7 %	\$361	66,581
Sevier	2	14,000,000	3.6 %	0.0 %	\$162	86,243
Shelby	6	34,531,390	8.9 %	85.5 %	\$38	920,232
Stewart	1	1,250,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$94	13,340
Sullivan	4	2,197,003	0.6 %	17.9 %	\$14	154,552
Sumner	5	6,878,320	1.8 %	18.2 %	\$43	158,759
Warren	1	100,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	40,481
Washington	2	747,560	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$6	120,598
Williamson	2	5,291,950	1.4 %	100.0 %	\$30	176,838
Wilson	1	662,612	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$6	112,377

Table D-17a. Libraries, Museums, & Historic Sites Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Multi-county	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$0	6,296,254
Grand Total	104	\$ 390,159,397	100.0 %	79.5 %	\$62	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-17b. Libraries, Museums, & Historic Sites Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Libraries, Museums, & Historic Sites
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Bedford	1	100.0 %	\$ 3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Benton	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	1	100.0 %	1.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bradley	1	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Claiborne	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	6.4	100.0 %
Davidson	5	29.4 %	140.0	57.1 %	10	58.8 %	98.9	40.4 %	2	11.8 %	6.1	2.5 %
Dickson	1	33.3 %	0.2	9.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	2.0	90.1 %
Fentress	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grainger	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	3	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grundy	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %
Hamilton	2	100.0 %	2.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	1	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henry	1	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jackson	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	2	66.7 %	2.5	89.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	10.6 %
Lauderdale	1	33.3 %	0.4	16.1 %	1	33.3 %	0.9	34.5 %	1	33.3 %	1.2	49.4 %
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %
Lewis	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Macon	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marion	3	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	2	100.0 %	2.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	2	100.0 %	2.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	1	100.0 %	3.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Polk	2	100.0 %	1.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-17b. Libraries, Museums, & Historic Sites Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Libraries, Museums, & Historic Sites
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Putnam	1	50.0 %	0.4	42.6 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	57.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rhea	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	3	100.0 %	6.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	2	40.0 %	15.7	65.1 %	3	60.0 %	8.4	34.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	14.0	100.0 %
Shelby	4	66.7 %	28.3	82.0 %	1	16.7 %	0.3	0.8 %	1	16.7 %	6.0	17.2 %
Stewart	1	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	2	50.0 %	0.7	29.6 %	1	25.0 %	0.4	17.9 %	1	25.0 %	1.2	52.5 %
Sumner	2	40.0 %	1.4	20.0 %	1	20.0 %	2.0	29.1 %	2	40.0 %	3.5	50.9 %
Warren	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	1	50.0 %	1.3	23.6 %	1	50.0 %	4.0	76.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wilson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Multi-county	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	57	54.8 %	\$ 229.1	58.7 %	31	29.8 %	\$ 120.2	30.8 %	16	15.4 %	\$ 40.9	10.5 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-18a. Community Development Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Bedford	1	\$ 519,714	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$11	45,947
Benton	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$62	16,025
Bradley	1	2,500,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$26	97,710
Carroll	1	10,000,000	2.7 %	0.0 %	\$351	28,517
Carter	2	550,000	0.1 %	45.5 %	\$9	59,043
Cheatham	1	1,600,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$40	39,876
Claiborne	2	332,795	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$11	31,243
Coffee	1	10,000,000	2.7 %	100.0 %	\$190	52,521
Crockett	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$35	14,492
Cumberland	1	250,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$5	54,109
Davidson	7	45,967,250	12.3 %	100.0 %	\$72	635,710
DeKalb	4	5,100,000	1.4 %	68.6 %	\$269	18,954
Dickson	1	600,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$12	48,230
Fentress	1	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$17	17,677
Giles	4	425,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$15	29,082
Grainger	1	108,504	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$5	22,857
Greene	2	750,000	0.2 %	66.7 %	\$11	66,282
Grundy	2	333,600	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$24	14,130
Hamblen	1	483,650	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$8	63,033
Hamilton	3	1,572,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$5	337,175
Hancock	2	4,855,400	1.3 %	0.0 %	\$737	6,588
Hawkins	3	6,000,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$104	57,784
Haywood	2	1,155,646	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$61	18,881
Houston	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$123	8,154
Jackson	3	1,450,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$133	10,875
Jefferson	1	883,740	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$17	51,722
Knox	1	300,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$1	435,725
Lewis	3	1,359,146	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$118	11,521
Loudon	1	485,426	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$10	46,725
McMinn	1	4,250,000	1.1 %	100.0 %	\$81	52,739
McNairy	5	1,364,120	0.4 %	83.1 %	\$53	25,796
Macon	1	540,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$24	22,057
Montgomery	1	10,000,000	2.7 %	100.0 %	\$62	160,978
Morgan	2	576,250	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$31	18,738
Perry	2	928,347	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$119	7,826
Pickett	1	1,200,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$251	4,783
Roane	5	6,878,300	1.8 %	0.0 %	\$129	53,508
Robertson	2	3,300,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$50	66,581
Rutherford	2	1,218,400	0.3 %	57.5 %	\$5	257,048
Sevier	1	6,350,000	1.7 %	100.0 %	\$74	86,243
Shelby	2	145,325,769	38.8 %	100.0 %	\$158	920,232
Smith	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$26	19,201
Stewart	3	2,925,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$219	13,340
Sullivan	2	1,450,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$9	154,552
Sumner	5	13,700,000	3.7 %	43.8 %	\$86	158,759
Tipton	4	13,025,000	3.5 %	0.0 %	\$219	59,495
Unicoi	2	20,050,000	5.4 %	0.0 %	\$1,130	17,740
Van Buren	2	1,750,000	0.5 %	14.3 %	\$319	5,480
Washington	2	15,065,000	4.0 %	100.0 %	\$125	120,598
Wayne	2	2,300,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$139	16,506

Table D-18a. Community Development Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Williamson	2	449,000	0.1 %	36.7 %	\$3	176,838
Wilson	1	15,000,000	4.0 %	0.0 %	\$133	112,377
Multi-county	1	6,000,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$1	6,296,254
Grand Total	107	\$ 374,527,057	100.0 %	67.5 %	\$59	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-18b. Community Development Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Community Development
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Bedford	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	\$ 0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Benton	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bradley	1	100.0 %	2.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	2	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	1	100.0 %	1.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Claiborne	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	15.6 %	1	50.0 %	0.3	84.4 %
Coffee	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Crockett	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	3	42.9 %	34.6	75.3 %	2	28.6 %	6.9	14.9 %	2	28.6 %	4.5	9.8 %
DeKalb	4	100.0 %	5.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fentress	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Giles	1	25.0 %	0.1	11.8 %	2	50.0 %	0.2	52.9 %	1	25.0 %	0.2	35.3 %
Grainger	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	2	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grundy	2	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	1	33.3 %	1.2	76.3 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	19.1 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	4.6 %
Hancock	1	50.0 %	4.3	88.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.6	11.7 %
Hawkins	3	100.0 %	6.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Haywood	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jackson	3	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	1	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %
Lewis	2	66.7 %	0.5	36.8 %	1	33.3 %	0.9	63.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Loudon	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	1	100.0 %	4.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	2	40.0 %	0.2	14.7 %	2	40.0 %	1.1	79.5 %	1	20.0 %	0.1	5.9 %
Macon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %
Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Morgan	1	50.0 %	0.2	38.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.4	62.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Perry	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.7	73.1 %	1	50.0 %	0.3	26.9 %
Pickett	1	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-18b. Community Development Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Community Development
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Roane	3	60.0 %	5.4	78.5 %	1	20.0 %	1.1	16.4 %	1	20.0 %	0.4	5.1 %
Robertson	2	100.0 %	3.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rutherford	1	50.0 %	0.5	42.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.7	57.5 %
Sevier	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	6.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	110.3	75.9 %	1	50.0 %	35.0	24.1 %
Smith	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Stewart	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	2.7	93.2 %	1	33.3 %	0.2	6.8 %
Sullivan	1	50.0 %	1.0	69.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	31.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sumner	5	100.0 %	13.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Tipton	3	75.0 %	12.6	96.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.4	3.3 %
Unicoi	2	100.0 %	20.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	2	100.0 %	1.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	1	50.0 %	0.1	0.4 %	1	50.0 %	15.0	99.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wayne	2	100.0 %	2.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	1	50.0 %	0.3	63.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	36.7 %
Wilson	1	100.0 %	15.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Multi-county	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	6.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	66	61.7 %	\$ 166.1	44.4 %	25	23.4 %	\$ 164.8	44.0 %	16	15.0 %	\$ 43.6	11.6 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-19a. Business District Development Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Carter	1	\$ 400,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$7	59,043
Cheatham	1	120,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$3	39,876
Coffee	1	1,200,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$23	52,521
Cumberland	2	9,000,000	0.9 %	66.7 %	\$166	54,109
Davidson	4	795,100,000	83.3 %	100.0 %	\$1,251	635,710
Dickson	1	1,500,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$31	48,230
Greene	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$15	66,282
Hardin	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$19	26,258
Houston	1	300,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$37	8,154
Jefferson	1	112,500	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	51,722
Knox	2	39,850,000	4.2 %	100.0 %	\$91	435,725
Lauderdale	1	10,000,000	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$378	26,471
McMinn	2	7,250,000	0.8 %	91.0 %	\$137	52,739
McNairy	1	100,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$4	25,796
Marion	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$18	28,068
Marshall	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$33	30,279
Mauzy	2	2,100,000	0.2 %	4.8 %	\$25	84,302
Montgomery	1	2,738,120	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$17	160,978
Putnam	1	19,000,000	2.0 %	0.0 %	\$262	72,431
Rutherford	1	3,850,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$15	257,048
Sevier	1	30,000,000	3.1 %	0.0 %	\$348	86,243
Shelby	1	6,500,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$7	920,232
Sullivan	2	4,850,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$31	154,552
Sumner	2	3,000,000	0.3 %	33.3 %	\$19	158,759
Tipton	2	3,700,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$62	59,495
Unicoi	2	1,200,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$68	17,740
Williamson	1	10,000,000	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$57	176,838
Grand Total	38	\$ 954,870,620	100.0 %	92.9 %	\$152	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-19b. Business District Development Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Business District Development
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Carter	1	100.0 %	\$ 0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %
Coffee	1	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	1	50.0 %	6.0	66.7 %	1	50.0 %	3.0	33.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	1	25.0 %	12.0	1.5 %	2	50.0 %	633.1	79.6 %	1	25.0 %	150.0	18.9 %
Dickson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	1	50.0 %	19.9	49.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	20.0	50.2 %
Lauderdale	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %
McMinn	2	100.0 %	7.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marion	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	2.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	2.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	19.0	100.0 %
Rutherford	1	100.0 %	3.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	30.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	1	100.0 %	6.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	4.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sumner	1	50.0 %	2.0	66.7 %	1	50.0 %	1.0	33.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Tipton	1	50.0 %	2.5	67.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	1.2	32.4 %
Unicoi	1	50.0 %	1.0	83.3 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	16.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	19	50.0 %	\$ 75.1	7.9 %	13	34.2 %	\$ 679.5	71.2 %	6	15.8 %	\$ 200.3	21.0 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-20a. Industrial Sites & Parks Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Bedford	1	\$ 6,000,000	3.1 %	0.0 %	\$131	45,947
Bledsoe	2	2,497,000	1.3 %	0.0 %	\$193	12,967
Blount	1	1,610,700	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$13	122,784
Bradley	1	3,031,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$31	97,710
Campbell	5	4,180,000	2.1 %	14.4 %	\$102	40,970
Cannon	1	3,000,000	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$216	13,860
Carter	3	3,650,000	1.9 %	17.8 %	\$62	59,043
Cheatham	1	2,100,000	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$53	39,876
Clay	2	1,700,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$215	7,895
Coffee	2	1,480,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$28	52,521
Decatur	3	1,400,000	0.7 %	85.7 %	\$121	11,525
DeKalb	4	3,700,000	1.9 %	40.5 %	\$195	18,954
Dyer	1	2,500,000	1.3 %	0.0 %	\$66	37,811
Fayette	1	1,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$26	38,785
Fentress	2	5,200,000	2.7 %	0.0 %	\$294	17,677
Franklin	1	850,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$21	41,310
Gibson	1	750,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$15	49,468
Giles	1	300,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$10	29,082
Grainger	2	734,762	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$32	22,857
Greene	1	6,000,000	3.1 %	0.0 %	\$91	66,282
Hamilton	1	3,100,000	1.6 %	100.0 %	\$9	337,175
Hancock	1	1,009,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$153	6,588
Hardeman	2	1,450,000	0.7 %	44.8 %	\$53	27,613
Hardin	2	1,300,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$50	26,258
Hawkins	3	6,792,940	3.5 %	0.0 %	\$118	57,784
Haywood	2	11,930,000	6.1 %	0.0 %	\$632	18,881
Henry	1	500,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$16	31,876
Hickman	1	1,500,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$63	23,805
Houston	1	1,400,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$172	8,154
Humphreys	5	4,700,000	2.4 %	0.0 %	\$257	18,274
Jackson	1	1,250,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$115	10,875
Johnson	2	2,000,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$111	18,006
Lawrence	1	800,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$19	41,314
Lewis	2	550,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$48	11,521
Loudon	1	1,760,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$38	46,725
McNairy	1	150,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$6	25,796
Macon	2	3,210,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$146	22,057
Madison	1	750,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$8	97,317
Marion	3	6,650,000	3.4 %	0.0 %	\$237	28,068
Marshall	1	5,000,000	2.6 %	0.0 %	\$165	30,279
Maury	1	2,000,000	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$24	84,302
Meigs	1	500,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$41	12,108
Monroe	2	6,411,315	3.3 %	0.0 %	\$140	45,830
Montgomery	1	2,345,000	1.2 %	100.0 %	\$15	160,978
Moore	1	1,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$164	6,096
Obion	1	500,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$16	31,431
Overton	1	2,200,000	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$104	21,060
Pickett	2	1,850,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$387	4,783
Polk	4	2,181,000	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$139	15,648
Rhea	1	1,500,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$48	31,516

Table D-20a. Industrial Sites & Parks Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Roane	4	6,282,233	3.2 %	0.0 %	\$117	53,508
Robertson	1	500,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$8	66,581
Scott	1	400,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$18	21,866
Sevier	1	354,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$4	86,243
Sullivan	3	6,600,000	3.4 %	0.0 %	\$43	154,552
Sumner	2	1,000,000	0.5 %	50.0 %	\$6	158,759
Tipton	1	10,000,000	5.1 %	0.0 %	\$168	59,495
Trousdale	8	11,250,000	5.8 %	11.1 %	\$1,420	7,922
Unicoi	2	3,450,000	1.8 %	0.0 %	\$194	17,740
Union	1	1,200,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$63	19,164
Van Buren	1	5,000,000	2.6 %	0.0 %	\$912	5,480
Warren	2	5,000,000	2.6 %	0.0 %	\$124	40,481
Washington	1	1,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$8	120,598
Wayne	2	900,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$55	16,506
Weakley	3	1,900,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$57	33,459
White	1	2,000,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$79	25,444
Wilson	1	10,000,000	5.1 %	0.0 %	\$89	112,377
Grand Total	120	\$ 194,808,950	100.0 %	7.5 %	\$31	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-20b. Industrial Sites & Parks Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Industrial Sites & Parks
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Bedford	1	100.0 %	\$ 6.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bledsoe	2	100.0 %	2.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.6	100.0 %
Bradley	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Campbell	5	100.0 %	4.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cannon	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	3	100.0 %	3.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	1	100.0 %	2.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Clay	2	100.0 %	1.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Coffee	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Decatur	1	33.3 %	0.5	35.7 %	2	66.7 %	0.9	64.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
DeKalb	4	100.0 %	3.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dyer	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	2.5	100.0 %
Fayette	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fentress	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	5.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Franklin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %
Gibson	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Giles	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grainger	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	1	100.0 %	6.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	1	100.0 %	3.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hancock	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardeman	2	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	2	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	1	33.3 %	5.0	73.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	1.8	26.4 %
Haywood	1	50.0 %	10.0	83.8 %	1	50.0 %	1.9	16.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henry	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hickman	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Humphreys	3	60.0 %	2.7	57.4 %	2	40.0 %	2.0	42.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jackson	1	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Johnson	2	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lewis	1	50.0 %	0.3	45.5 %	1	50.0 %	0.3	54.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Loudon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-20b. Industrial Sites & Parks Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Industrial Sites & Parks
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Macon	1	50.0 %	3.0	93.5 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	6.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marion	2	66.7 %	6.5	97.7 %	1	33.3 %	0.2	2.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	1	100.0 %	5.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %
Monroe	1	50.0 %	1.0	15.6 %	1	50.0 %	5.4	84.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	1	100.0 %	2.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Moore	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Overton	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	2.2	100.0 %
Pickett	2	100.0 %	1.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Polk	2	50.0 %	0.4	19.5 %	2	50.0 %	1.8	80.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rhea	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	4	100.0 %	6.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Scott	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %
Sevier	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	5.1	77.3 %	1	33.3 %	1.5	22.7 %
Sumner	1	50.0 %	0.5	50.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	50.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Tipton	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Trousdale	5	62.5 %	8.8	77.8 %	2	25.0 %	2.0	17.8 %	1	12.5 %	0.5	4.4 %
Unicoi	2	100.0 %	3.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Union	1	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	1	100.0 %	5.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Warren	1	50.0 %	3.0	60.0 %	1	50.0 %	2.0	40.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wayne	1	50.0 %	0.1	11.1 %	1	50.0 %	0.8	88.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Weakley	3	100.0 %	1.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
White	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %
Wilson	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	75	62.5 %	\$ 137.9	70.8 %	34	28.3 %	\$ 43.0	22.1 %	11	9.2 %	\$ 13.9	7.1 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-21a. Public Buildings Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Anderson	2	\$ 1,350,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$18	74,849
Bedford	2	350,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$8	45,947
Bledsoe	1	1,500,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$116	12,967
Blount	3	1,350,000	0.3 %	18.5 %	\$11	122,784
Bradley	3	3,237,530	0.7 %	92.7 %	\$33	97,710
Cannon	1	850,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$61	13,860
Carroll	5	7,968,116	1.8 %	47.0 %	\$279	28,517
Carter	1	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$5	59,043
Cheatham	1	12,000,000	2.7 %	0.0 %	\$301	39,876
Claiborne	2	1,250,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$40	31,243
Coffee	1	3,000,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$57	52,521
Crockett	2	1,300,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$90	14,492
Davidson	28	31,224,004	7.1 %	100.0 %	\$49	635,710
Decatur	1	100,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$9	11,525
DeKalb	1	400,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$21	18,954
Dickson	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$10	48,230
Dyer	3	1,900,000	0.4 %	39.5 %	\$50	37,811
Fayette	1	1,500,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$39	38,785
Franklin	1	250,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$6	41,310
Gibson	3	900,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$18	49,468
Giles	4	1,000,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$34	29,082
Greene	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$8	66,282
Hamblen	1	4,500,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$71	63,033
Hamilton	9	4,311,057	1.0 %	68.9 %	\$13	337,175
Hardeman	2	800,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$29	27,613
Hardin	1	755,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$29	26,258
Hawkins	3	11,053,307	2.5 %	0.0 %	\$191	57,784
Henderson	2	7,500,000	1.7 %	100.0 %	\$277	27,037
Henry	2	1,250,000	0.3 %	40.0 %	\$39	31,876
Hickman	2	775,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$33	23,805
Houston	3	3,100,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$380	8,154
Jefferson	1	10,000,000	2.3 %	0.0 %	\$193	51,722
Johnson	1	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$17	18,006
Knox	3	1,089,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$2	435,725
Lauderdale	1	50,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	26,471
Lewis	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$13	11,521
Loudon	5	14,600,000	3.3 %	89.0 %	\$312	46,725
McMinn	2	6,100,000	1.4 %	0.0 %	\$116	52,739
McNairy	1	100,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$4	25,796
Madison	2	1,885,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$19	97,317
Marion	3	1,350,000	0.3 %	55.6 %	\$48	28,068
Marshall	2	600,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$20	30,279
Monroe	2	600,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$13	45,830
Montgomery	2	2,600,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$16	160,978
Moore	1	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$49	6,096
Obion	3	750,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$24	31,431
Overton	2	6,000,000	1.4 %	50.0 %	\$285	21,060
Perry	2	870,780	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$111	7,826
Pickett	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$105	4,783
Polk	3	600,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$38	15,648

Table D-21a. Public Buildings Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Putnam	3	3,680,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$51	72,431
Rhea	4	1,175,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$37	31,516
Roane	1	2,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$37	53,508
Robertson	2	20,100,000	4.6 %	0.5 %	\$302	66,581
Rutherford	15	76,805,489	17.4 %	33.6 %	\$299	257,048
Scott	1	100,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$5	21,866
Sequatchie	2	200,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$14	13,915
Sevier	1	1,865,490	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$22	86,243
Shelby	15	81,656,645	18.5 %	100.0 %	\$89	920,232
Smith	1	250,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$13	19,201
Stewart	2	21,000,000	4.8 %	0.0 %	\$1,574	13,340
Sullivan	3	1,332,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$9	154,552
Sumner	4	2,730,000	0.6 %	73.3 %	\$17	158,759
Trousdale	3	2,844,969	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$359	7,922
Unicoi	1	4,000,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$225	17,740
Van Buren	1	2,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$365	5,480
Wayne	1	1,200,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$73	16,506
Weakley	2	1,250,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$37	33,459
Williamson	2	43,392,501	9.8 %	97.2 %	\$245	176,838
Wilson	4	3,650,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$32	112,377
Multi-county	6	15,235,584	3.4 %	100.0 %	\$2	6,296,254
Grand Total	205	\$ 441,686,472	100.0 %	56.5 %	\$70	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-21b. Public Buildings Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Public Buildings
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design				Construction					
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]				
Anderson	2	100.0 %	\$ 1.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bedford	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	42.9 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	57.1 %
Bledsoe	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	1	33.3 %	0.8	55.6 %	1	33.3 %	0.4	25.9 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	18.5 %
Bradley	2	66.7 %	3.2	97.3 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	2.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cannon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	2	40.0 %	1.6	19.8 %	1	20.0 %	0.1	1.3 %	2	40.0 %	6.3	79.0 %
Carter	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Claiborne	2	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Coffee	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Crockett	1	50.0 %	0.2	15.4 %	1	50.0 %	1.1	84.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	13	46.4 %	13.3	42.6 %	12	42.9 %	15.6	50.0 %	3	10.7 %	2.3	7.5 %
Decatur	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
DeKalb	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dyer	3	100.0 %	1.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Franklin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %
Gibson	2	66.7 %	0.6	66.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	33.3 %
Giles	1	25.0 %	0.3	25.0 %	2	50.0 %	0.5	45.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.3	30.0 %
Greene	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	4.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	4	44.4 %	3.1	72.8 %	4	44.4 %	1.1	25.0 %	1	11.1 %	0.1	2.2 %
Hardeman	2	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	3	100.0 %	11.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	2	100.0 %	7.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henry	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.8	60.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	40.0 %
Hickman	1	50.0 %	0.1	9.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.7	90.3 %
Houston	3	100.0 %	3.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Johnson	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	2	66.7 %	0.6	54.1 %	1	33.3 %	0.5	45.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lauderdale	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lewis	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-21b. Public Buildings Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Public Buildings
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Loudon	1	20.0 %	3.0	20.5 %	3	60.0 %	10.0	68.5 %	1	20.0 %	1.6	11.0 %
McMinn	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	6.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	1	50.0 %	1.5	79.6 %	1	50.0 %	0.4	20.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marion	2	66.7 %	1.3	92.6 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	7.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	1	50.0 %	0.1	16.7 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	83.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	2.0	75.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.7	25.0 %
Moore	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	1	33.3 %	0.2	26.7 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	40.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	33.3 %
Overton	1	50.0 %	3.0	50.0 %	1	50.0 %	3.0	50.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Perry	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.8	86.1 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	13.9 %
Pickett	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Polk	2	66.7 %	0.4	58.3 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	41.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	1	33.3 %	0.2	4.9 %	2	66.7 %	3.5	95.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rhea	2	50.0 %	0.9	76.6 %	2	50.0 %	0.3	23.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	2	100.0 %	20.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rutherford	6	40.0 %	60.3	78.5 %	4	26.7 %	3.2	4.1 %	5	33.3 %	13.3	17.4 %
Scott	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sequatchie	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	1	100.0 %	1.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	3	20.0 %	3.6	4.4 %	4	26.7 %	26.5	32.5 %	8	53.3 %	51.5	63.1 %
Smith	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Stewart	1	50.0 %	20.0	95.2 %	1	50.0 %	1.0	4.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	2	66.7 %	1.3	95.3 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	4.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sumner	2	50.0 %	2.5	91.6 %	2	50.0 %	0.2	8.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Trousdale	1	33.3 %	1.5	52.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	1.3	47.2 %
Unicoi	1	100.0 %	4.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wayne	1	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Weakley	2	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	43.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wilson	3	75.0 %	2.2	58.9 %	1	25.0 %	1.5	41.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Multi-county	6	100.0 %	15.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	107	52.2 %	\$ 221.4	50.1 %	66	32.2 %	\$ 140.2	31.7 %	32	15.6 %	\$ 80.0	18.1 %

Table D-21b. Public Buildings Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Public Buildings
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-22a. Other Facilities Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2009 through 2014

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent of Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita	2009 Population
Blount	1	\$ 500,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$4	122,784
Davidson	4	8,625,005	27.7 %	100.0 %	\$14	635,710
Dickson	1	1,000,000	3.2 %	100.0 %	\$21	48,230
Houston	2	102,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$13	8,154
Jefferson	1	150,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$3	51,722
Knox	2	12,705,932	40.8 %	100.0 %	\$29	435,725
Lincoln	1	200,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$6	33,374
Loudon	1	1,300,000	4.2 %	100.0 %	\$28	46,725
Meigs	3	650,000	2.1 %	0.0 %	\$54	12,108
Montgomery	3	1,375,000	4.4 %	100.0 %	\$9	160,978
Sevier	1	300,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$3	86,243
Shelby	6	1,130,400	3.6 %	100.0 %	\$1	920,232
Stewart	1	150,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$11	13,340
Sullivan	1	99,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$1	154,552
Unicoi	2	2,000,000	6.4 %	0.0 %	\$113	17,740
Williamson	1	850,000	2.7 %	100.0 %	\$5	176,838
Grand Total	31	\$ 31,137,337	100.0 %	87.0 %	\$5	6,296,254

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-22b. Other Facilities Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Other Facilities
Five-year Period July 2009 through June 2014

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Blount	1	100.0 %	\$ 0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	3	75.0 %	8.5	98.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.2	1.7 %
Dickson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	2	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	1	50.0 %	10.3	81.1 %	1	50.0 %	2.4	18.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lincoln	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Loudon	1	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	2	66.7 %	0.6	84.6 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	15.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	1.1	78.2 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	21.8 %
Sevier	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	5	83.3 %	1.0	86.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	16.7 %	0.2	13.3 %
Stewart	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	1	50.0 %	0.3	15.0 %	1	50.0 %	1.7	85.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	20	64.5 %	\$ 23.3	74.9 %	8	25.8 %	\$ 7.2	23.2 %	3	9.7 %	\$ 0.6	1.9 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.