

care programs, or entering the grounds of a school or child care center when children are present. Thus, this rule change adds these offenses to the current list of offenses for which the State Board may automatically revoke or deny a license.

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved acceptance on first reading. **Ms. Chancey** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

B. *Uniform Grading Policy*

Dr. David Sevier, State Board of Education, presented this item which implements Public Chapter 723 requiring the Board to adopt a permissive grading scale in grades K-8 and clarifies that LEAs may adopt an additional grading scale for high school to serve local purposes. He went on to state that LEAs must utilize the Uniform Grading Scale for the purposes of calculating the Hope Scholarship eligibility.

ACTION: **Mr. Roberts** moved acceptance on first reading. **Ms. Chancey** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

C. *Tennessee Community Schools Act Support*

Dr. Scott Eddins, State Board of Education, presented this item which supports Public Chapter 968 regarding the Community Schools Act. This item specifically focuses on providing licensure renewal credit for teachers who teach community service classes for parents.

Vice Chair Pearre asked if additional training was needed for the teachers and to clarify that these teachers will receive professional development points for teaching these classes.

Dr. Eddins responded that in-service credit for parenting class instruction would be received.

ACTION: **Mr. Roberts** moved acceptance on first reading. **Mr. Johnson** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

D. *Charter School Authorizer Policies – 6.800 and 6.900*

Ms. Tess Stovall, State Board of Education, presented two policies that involve the role of the State Board of Education as an appellate authorizer of charter schools. The two policies, 6.800 on charter renewal and 6.900 on LEA as a sponsor of a charter school, were developed with the National Association of Charter School Authorizers and are in line with national authorizing best practices.

Ms. Tucker asked if the review committee required in Policy 6.800, Section 3 to review a renewal application would be the same group that reviewed the initial applications. **Ms. Stovall** responded that the State

Board would recruit similar types of people with similar areas of expertise, but it would not necessarily be the same group of individuals.

Ms. Tucker asked what the selection process was for the committee, how long the individuals serve, and whether the individuals were compensated. **Ms. Stovall** responded that the State Board recruited individuals with expertise in a wide variety of areas to serve on the review committee and ensured that the review committee had a diverse group of individuals with varied backgrounds. The review committee individuals did receive a stipend, and the State Board plans to continue the stipend in the future.

Ms. Tucker asked how the training for the renewal committee will differ from the training for the initial application committee. **Ms. Stovall** responded that the renewal committee would receive more training on reviewing the performance reports and other annual reports about the charter school that would be a part of the renewal application.

Ms. Tucker asked what training the review committee convened this year received. **Ms. Stovall** responded that the review committee participated in training on the scoring rubric provided by the Tennessee Department of Education so that they understood what they should be looking for in an application and discussed what would be considered meeting standards or not meeting standards based on the rubric.

Ms. Tucker asked if the cumulative performance report discussed in Section 2(D) in Policy 6.800 would be produced by the State Board of Education staff. **Ms. Stovall** responded that under statute the Board is required to produce an annual report on performance of the charter schools it authorizes. The annual performance report would include any site visits that are conducted within the year, the school's performance on the performance framework, and any additional details about the school for the year.

Ms. Tucker asked if the State Board would consult with the National Association of Charter School Authorizers on this report, and **Ms. Stovall** confirmed that it would.

Mr. Cato Johnson asked if there was a plan to help the LEAs understand the reasons for denial. **Ms. Stovall** stated that she was presenting the State Board's new process to the Tennessee Association of Charter School Authorizers group which includes representatives from LEAs to ensure they were educated about the appeal process and what to expect should an applicant appeal to the State Board.

ACTION: **Mr. Johnson** moved acceptance on first reading. **Ms. Tucker** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

E. Basic Training High School Course Credit, Policy and Rule

Ms. Emily Barton, Department of Education, presented the updated Board rule and policy to reflect Public Chapter 487 of the 108th General Assembly regarding awarding credit towards graduation for basic military training.

Vice Chair Pearre asked how many students would be affected by this and what kind of documentation would be presented to show completion of the basic military training.

Ms. Barton said that documentation showing completion would be submitted to the local districts. She stated that she did not know how many students would be affected by this updated rule and policy.

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved acceptance on first reading. **Ms. Chancey** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

Chairman Rolston stated that **Mr. Mark Cate** from Governor Haslam's office was here to announce a new initiative pertaining to standards. **Mr. Cate** first stated that he and the Governor wanted to add their congratulations and thanks to **Dr. Nixon** for his hard work and dedication to the students of Tennessee.

He then stated that the Governor announced last month a public review process in partnership with the State Board of Education designed to recruit input from educators and citizens from across the state. The standards review website is part of that process.

Standards are typically reviewed in Tennessee every six years. The current standards are now in their fourth year. The Governor believes that with all of the conversation about standards happening in the state and country it's an appropriate time to look at them again.

The Southern Regional Education Board, as a third party and independent resource, will collect the data from the website in the Spring and then turn that information over to be reviewed and analyzed by two committees and six advisory teams comprised of professional Tennessee educators.

The advisory teams will review Tennessee's current standards and gather input to make recommendations to the two committees, which will then propose changes to the State Board of Education.

Chairman Rolston then stated that Items II.F. – II.O would be considered as a block:

- F. Career Exploration Course Standards**
- G. Finance Course Standards**
- H. Transportation, Distribution, & Logistics Course Standards**
- I. Arts, Audio/Visual Technology, & Communications Course Standards**
- J. Architecture and Construction Course Standards**

- K. *Hospitality & Tourism Course Standards***
- L. *Health Science Course Standards***
- M. *Information Technology Course Standards***
- N. *Advanced Manufacturing Course Standards***
- O. *Work-Based Learning Course Standards***

Dr. Danielle Mezera, Department of Education, presented the revised courses noting that much critical feedback has been solicited and received during the development of these course standards.

Ms. Hartgrove commended the Department for the high quality of work and asked for clarification on teacher certification issues.

Commissioner Huffman also commented on the quality of the revised CTE standards noting that all students have access to elevated academic standards.

ACTION: **Mr. Roberts** moved acceptance on first reading. **Mr. Johnson** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

P. *Planning Time, Rule*

Ms. Collins presented this item. She stated that this rule change aligns State Board rules on planning periods with Public Chapter 931 of the 108th General Assembly. This legislation added the word “individual” when defining duty-free planning periods to ensure that teachers have time to concentrate on their individual classroom and students, and not on other assigned duties (for example, work groups with other teachers).

ACTION: **Ms. Tucker** moved acceptance on first reading. **Mr. Johnson** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

III. Action Items (Final Reading)

A. *State Board of Education Instructional Materials Review Template*

Dr. Nixon presented this item for final reading which provides an optional tool LEAs may elect to use or modify to highlight the content of non-textbook reading assigned to students.

ACTION: **Mr. Johnson** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

B. *Algebra I Employment Standards, Policy & Rule*

Ms. Barton presented this item explaining the purpose of the Algebra I employment standard rule and noting that the revision includes two additional options: one being a content knowledge test approved by the Department, and the other achieved by the teacher demonstrating content knowledge via a TVAAS score of level 5 in Algebra I.

Mr. Roberts commented that this will help us provide qualified teachers in these classrooms.

ACTION: **Ms. Chancey** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

C. *List of State Approved Textbooks: Mathematics, Section I*

Ms. Barton explained the textbook approval process and presented the recommended list prepared by the state textbook commission.

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Johnson** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

D. *Cursive Writing Standards*

Ms. Barton presented this item and stated that cursive writing standards in other states were reviewed and teachers were consulted on content and subject matter. These standards will take effect next school year.

ACTION: **Mr. Roberts** moved approval. **Ms. Hartgrove** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

E. *Work-Based Learning, Policy & Rule*

Ms. Chelsea Parker, Department of Education, presented this policy and rule update which ensures that student experiences meet rigorous learning expectations as well as the safety and legal requirements for minors in the workplace.

Ms. Parker noted minor changes in formatting and punctuation only since first reading.

ACTION: **Ms. Hartgrove** moved approval. **Vice Chair Pearre** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

F. Educator Preparation Policy

Dr. Sara Heyburn, Department of Education, presented this item and noted revisions and changes as presented in the workshop.

ACTION: **Mr. Johnson** moved approval. **Ms. Tucker** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

G. Extended Use of School Buses, Rule

Dr. Eddins presented this item and noted that no changes were made since first reading. This rule will provide compliance with Public Chapter 743 of the 108th General Assembly.

ACTION: **Mr. Roberts** moved approval. **Vice Chair Pearre** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

H. Unsafe School Choice Policy

Ms. Collins presented this item. She stated that this policy amendment is essentially a clean-up item. It replaces the word “battery”, which is a term no longer recognized in Tennessee, with “aggravated assault”.

In response to **Vice Chair Pearre’s** question at the July meeting on the number of unsafe schools, **Ms. Collins** noted that, per the Office of Safe and Supportive Schools, Tennessee has no schools that fall under the

“persistently dangerous” designation; however they do publish an annual list of the incidents of violence in Tennessee schools.

Chairman Rolston noted that it was good to hear that Tennessee does not have any schools on the “unsafe schools” list.

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Ms. Chancey** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

I. School Facilities, Rule

Ms. Collins presented this item. She stated that this rule change is also a clean-up item. The regulations mention that the State Architect maintains regulations for school facilities when in fact the State Architect does not maintain these regulations. The State Architect refers these calls to the State Board, so the rule change is simply taking out the reference to the State Architect.

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Johnson** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

Chairman Rolston announced that the Board would take Items **III.J** and **III.K** together.

J. Charter School Authorizer Policies
K. Charter School Performance Framework

Ms. Stovall presented for final reading **Item III.J** which are seven policies related to the State Board’s role as an appellate authorizer of charter schools. These policies were developed in conjunction with the National Association of Charter School Authorizers and are in line with national authorizing best practices.

Ms. Stovall also presented for final reading **Item III.K** which is the charter school performance framework for any schools authorized by the State Board.

Ms. Stovall noted that the performance framework is in line with national authorizing best practices and based on sample frameworks from Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools, the Achievement School District, and the National Association of Charter School Authorizers. She also pointed out one change in this item since first reading -- in Section II, Financial Performance beginning on page 9, a bullet point has been added to the “Falls Far Below Standard” rating for any indicator that has a certain financial threshold that schools in their first or second year of operation must meet. Failure to meet those financial thresholds would result in the school being rated as “Falls Far Below Standard” for those financial indicators.

Ms. Wendy Tucker applauded the growth shown by the State Board’s appeal process between the presentation in September and now and was very pleased with how the State Board’s staff responded to the concerns raised.

ACTION: **Ms. Tucker** moved approval. **Mr. Johnson** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Tucker proposed an amendment to **Item III.K** to change the first sentence on Page 4 to say “one of the most important”, to change the wording of the second column of the last row of the table on page 6 and page 8 to say “Does not meet AMO in any subgroup category” and to change the first sentence on Page 9 to say “another important indicator.”

Ms. Tucker asked how schools are going to be assessed or what the specific metrics are to ensure they are in compliance with the indicators around students with disabilities and English Language Learners.

Ms. Stovall stated that the financial and organizational frameworks are from the National Association of Charter School Authorizers core performance frameworks, and NACSA has suggestions of how to ensure compliance of these items such as annual assurances and investigating any complaints about schools in these areas. **Ms. Stovall** also noted that the Board will need to look for outside support from the Tennessee Department of Education to ensure that the schools are in compliance with these areas.

ACTION: **Ms. Tucker** moved approval. **Ms. Chancey** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

L. *LEA Alternative Salary Schedules*

Dr. Heyburn presented the alternative salary schedules for Putnam County and Shelby County.

ACTION: **Mr. Johnson** moved approval. **Ms. Tucker** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

M. 2014-15 Annual Measurable Objectives

Mr. Tony Pratt, Department of Education, presented this item. It is the final reading of 2014-15 Annual Measurable Objectives for Shelby County, new municipal districts and the ASD.

ACTION: **Mr. Roberts** moved approval. **Mr. Johnson** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

N. Educator Licensure Policy

Dr. Heyburn presented this final policy revision to extend the use of current middle grades 4-8 and special education modified program K-12 endorsements through 2018 instead of 2017.

ACTION: **Ms. Tucker** moved approval. **Ms. Chancey** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

IV. Charter School Appeals

A. Exalt Academy of Springfield

Ms. Stovall presented **Dr. Nixon's** findings and recommendation report for Exalt Academy of Springfield.

Ms. Stovall explained that Exalt Academy of Springfield submitted an initial charter school application to Robertson County Schools, and the initial charter school application was rated as Partially Meets in the Academic Plan and the Operations Plan and Does Not Meet in the Financial Plan by the Robertson County Schools Charter Review Committee. The Robertson County Board of Education unanimously denied the initial application. Exalt Academy of Springfield then submitted an amended application to Robertson County Schools, and the sections were rated the same as the initial application by the Robertson County Charter Review Committee. The Robertson County Board of Education voted to deny the amended application. Exalt Academy appealed the decision to the State Board of Education. A public hearing was held on September 24 at the Robertson County Board of Education to hear the appeal. The State Board of Education's charter school review committee reviewed and scored the application using the Department of Education's scoring rubric. The application was rated as partially meets in all sections. **Dr. Nixon's** recommendation to the State Board of Education is to affirm the decision of the local district to deny Exalt Academy of Springfield's application.

Ms. Tucker stated that she appreciated the more detailed committee reports, and she was able to follow along easily in the application with the committee’s feedback. She stated that she supported the conclusion of the review committee and **Dr. Nixon’s** recommendation, but she also saw a clear need for quality choice in the community from the documentation. The special education plan was concerning to **Ms. Tucker** because the application only listed disabilities as recognized by the federal government but not those also recognized by the State of Tennessee. There was not a Tennessee focus in the application.

Commissioner Huffman noted that the State Board’s role is critical for counties without charter schools. Shelby County has experience reviewing applications, but other counties do not have this experience. However, they would still benefit from quality choice in their community. The feedback provided by the State Board’s review process should be high quality so as to allow for approval if the application were to be submitted again. The goal is to help provide additional comments to the districts and the applicants.

Chairman Rolston noted that the expectations of the review committee are high, and he hopes that they do not get unrealistic.

ACTION: **Ms. Tucker** moved approval. **Ms. Hartgrove** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

B. *Piperton Charter Academy*

Ms. Stovall presented **Dr. Nixon’s** findings and recommendation report for Piperton Charter Academy.

Ms. Stovall explained that Piperton Charter Academy submitted an initial charter school application to Fayette County Schools, and the initial charter school application was reviewed by the Director and Assistant Director of Schools, the Board Attorney, and members of the Fayette County Board of Education. Based on the reasons outlined on

pages 2 through 4 of the findings and recommendation, the Fayette County Board of Education voted to deny the initial application. Piperton Charter Academy submitted an amended application, and Dr. Lonnie Harris, Assistant Director of Schools, rated the amended application as Does Not Meet in the academic, operational, and financial plan. The Fayette County Board of Education voted to deny the amended application of Piperton Charter Academy. Piperton Charter Academy appealed the decision to the State Board of Education. A public hearing was held on October 1 in Fayette County to hear the appeal. The State Board of Education’s charter school review committee reviewed and scored the application using the Department of Education’s scoring rubric. The application was rated as partially meets in all sections. **Dr. Nixon’s** recommendation to the State Board of Education is to affirm the decision of the local district to deny Piperton Charter Academy’s application.

Ms. Tucker stated that she agreed with the recommendation, but she wanted to make it clear that her vote is based on the de novo review of the application and not the district’s reasons for denying the application. There is a clear need for the school in the district, and the proposed school does not need to prove the lack of fiscal impact to the district in order to gain approval. **Ms. Tucker** stated it makes her wonder if the district understands the state law governing charter schools. She also asked the State Board of Education or the Tennessee Department of Education to look into the question about the desegregation orders and the impact on charter school applications. This is not an issue for now, but the State Board will need a legally based response in the future.

ACTION: **Ms. Tucker** moved approval. **Mr. Johnson** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

V. Tennessee Student Assistance Corporation (TSAC) Default Actions

A. TSAC Defaults

Ms. Collins presented this item. She explained that these educators have defaulted on student loans and have been notified by the Tennessee Student Assistance Corporation (TSAC). TSAC provides 90 days' notice for educators to come into compliance, and the State Board of Education provides another 30 days' notice to make arrangements with TSAC. Thus, educators have at least 120 days' notice before their licenses are suspended. **Ms. Collins** also noted that, upon notification of compliance, the State Board of Education requests reinstatement of the educator's license.

Chairman Rolston noted that there was an amended list of individuals handed out at the workshop so the State Board would be voting on that amended list.

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

VI. Teacher License Actions

Ms. Collins presented these items.

Ms. Tucker asked whether the educator in item VI (I) has been teaching since the incident occurred in 2012. She stated concern about the length of time between the incident and the time it was presented to the State Board. **Ms. Collins** stated that the basis of our action was an incident that occurred in Florida and was reported to us through NASDTEC, and that she, therefore, has no knowledge of whether the individual has been working as a teacher in Tennessee.

Ms. Tucker then asked whether there is a rubric used by the staff to determine recommended actions. **Ms. Collins** replied that the Board's Case Review Committee meets to review each case, and uses precedent set by actions in previous cases with similar circumstances, as well as the individual facts of the case, to guide our recommendations to the Board.

Ms. Tucker asked whether future students and parents are notified of teacher licensure actions. **Ms. Collins** replied that they are part of the public record

and will be part of the teacher’s file. The Board does not actively send out information to parents, but they would have access to this information.

Chairman Rolston mentioned that he had a few questions at the workshop regarding these actions, and that the State Board staff appropriately answered them. He recognized the State Board Review Committee’s work in maintaining consistency across licensure actions.

A. *Jeremy Allen – Formal Reprimand*

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

B. *Kendall Bell -- Revocation*

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

C. *Brian Berkowitz – Revocation*

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

D. LaTorrence Bivens -- Revocation

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Teresa Sloyan	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

E. Laketa Bowles -- Denial

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

F. Marcus Bragg -- Revocation

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

G. *Bernadette Buckhalter – Formal Reprimand*

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

H. *Ryan Burke -- Revocation*

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

I. Dixie Cortez – Suspension, concurrent

ACTION: Vice Chair Pearre moved approval. Mr. Roberts seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

J. April Dodd – Suspension, one (1) year, retroactive

ACTION: Vice Chair Pearre moved approval. Mr. Roberts seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

K. Bethany Dyer -- Revocation

ACTION: Vice Chair Pearre moved approval. Mr. Roberts seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

L. Alex Evans – Revocation, concurrent

ACTION: Vice Chair Pearre moved approval. Mr. Roberts seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

M. Sandra Farris – Formal Reprimand

ACTION: Vice Chair Pearre moved approval. Mr. Roberts seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

N. Daryl Finley – Revocation, concurrent

ACTION: Vice Chair Pearre moved approval. Mr. Roberts seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		

	Yes	No	Absent
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

O. Erica Griffin -- Denial

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

P. Gary Hawkins -- Revocation

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

Q. Darren Henrie -- Revocation

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X

	Yes	No	Absent
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

R. *Edward Hopkins – Formal Reprimand*

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

S. *Natalie Hunt – Suspension, one (1) year with contingency*

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

T. *Sandra Ledford -- Revocation*

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

U. Gregory Matthews – Formal Reprimand, with contingency

ACTION: Vice Chair Pearre moved approval. Mr. Roberts seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

V. Kerrie Miles – Suspension, three (3) years

ACTION: Vice Chair Pearre moved approval. Mr. Roberts seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

W. Richard Brandon Rohr – Suspension, two (2) years, with contingency

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

X. *Hilary Williams – Suspension, concurrent*

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

Y. *Mary Ann Williams -- Revocation*

ACTION: **Vice Chair Pearre** moved approval. **Mr. Roberts** seconded. A roll call vote was taken as follows:

	Yes	No	Absent
Allison Chancey	X		
Mike Edwards			X
Lillian Hartgrove	X		
Cato Johnson	X		
Carolyn Pearre	X		
Lonnie Roberts	X		
Fielding Rolston	X		
Wendy Tucker	X		

The motion passed unanimously.

Chairman Rolston then handed the meeting over to **Mr. Roberts** who served as Chair of the Selection Committee. **Mr. Roberts** explained the process and then announced that, by unanimous vote, the Committee recommended that **Dr. Sara Heyburn** be hired as the next Executive Director of the State Board of Education. A vote was taken and the motion to hire **Dr. Heyburn** was unanimous.

VI. Adjournment

Chairman Rolston then thanked the Board members for their thoughtful deliberations and announced that the Board will meet next on January 29-30, 2015.

Approved by: _____ Date: _____