Town Hall Toolkit

The Town Hall toolkit has been designed to help support community coalitions plan, implement, and debrief town hall meetings in their communities. It provides a roadmap for implementation, as well as way to provide tailored feedback and/or technical assistance to coalitions. In addition, it can be used as a rubric for recognizing coalition work in the successful implementation of town halls. The toolkit consists of a topic brief, a fidelity matrix, and a planning checklist.

The topic brief provides key information on town halls, including: a definition of town halls, elements of effective town halls, and suggestions for planning and implementation. It is meant as a resource for coalitions to share with their members, as well as other key stakeholders interested in planning or participating in town hall events.

In conjunction with the topic brief, both the fidelity matrix and the checklist are meant to help coalitions think more deeply through the process of planning an effective town hall and the activities that may increase the impact that town halls have in communities.

Fidelity is the degree to which a program or activity is delivered as intended. Lower levels of fidelity may be why some town halls may not have the same outcomes as those with higher levels of fidelity. The matrix helps coalitions think through how different activities may have low, moderate, or high levels of fidelity. In this way, coalitions can think through how their own processes might impact the effectiveness of town halls.

Similarly, the checklist helps coalition think through different decisions that can affect the success of a town hall. It provides options for consideration and is meant to serve as a tool in the planning process.

It is important to note that some aspects of the toolkit may not directly apply to all town hall meetings. Community coalitions must decide what works best for their own communities and for their own particular situations. For instance, some town halls might be intended to provide expert information on a single topic; in other cases, the town hall might be more effective if engaging a wide range of voices from the community. Depending on the goal and format of the town hall, some aspects of the fidelity matrix or checklist may not fit the context.

It is also important to think of these toolkits as living documents, subject to improvement and change. Any feedback on these documents are most welcome. Please send comments and suggestions to the Evidence-Based Practice Workgroup at TNEBPW@gmail.com.





This project is funded under a grant contract with the State of Tennessee Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services.

Town Hall Checklist

Activity	Notes
Various community stakeholders involved in the planning process:	
\square Coalition developed a planning committee for the town hall of 5 -10	
people	
Planning consisted of key community stakeholders, which may include:	
County commissioners	
Healthcare providers (Doctors, nurses, pharmacists, etc.)	
Health department representatives	
□ Teachers	
Counselors/Psychologists/Substance Abuse Counselors	
People in recovery, recovery professionals (Lifeliners)	
Regional Overdose Prevention Specialists (ROPS)	
Social workers	
□ Youth	
Faith-based leaders	
Law enforcement or corrections personnel	
Subject matter experts	
□ Other:	
Planning committee met on a regular basis and collectively made	
decisions on the town hall event	
Data used in planning current event:	
Data was used to decide on specific community issue to address	
Data was used to determine format of town hall	
Data was used to identify speakers/panel	
Data was used to determine appropriate venue	
Data was used to identify best time/date	
Data was used to identify best marketing avenues	
Key informant/stakeholder data was used	
Controlled Substance Monitoring Database (CSMD) data was used	
Survey data from previous events was used	
Feedback from previous town hall debriefing sessions was used	
🗆 Other data:	
\square If no data available, coalition reached out to mentoring coalition or	
DSAS for support	
A specific issue in the community is addressed:	
Data was used to decide on specific community issue to address	
Issue has significant impact on county	
Target audience is determined:	
Planning committee determined target audience for town hall, which	
may include:	
Teachers/School Administrators/Parents	

Healthcare providers	
Local government leaders	
Faith-based organizations	
General county population	
Specific underserved populations:	
Ethnic or racial minorities	
LGBTQI individuals	
□ Youth	
□ Military	
 Individuals with disabilities 	
Service providers (Police, Firefighters, etc.)	
Other:	
Target audience was decided based on previous data about county	
need, feedback from coalition members, or a national program like	
SAMHSA	
Clear and measurable goals for the town hall are determined:	
□ Goal is specific	
□ Goal is measurable	
□ Goal is attainable	
□ Goal is relevant	
Goal is timely	
An effective format for the town hall meeting is determined:	
□ Planning committee chose a central theme for the town hall	
 Planning committee determined a format for event before contacting 	
speakers/panelists	
The central theme matches and/or relates to the format of the event	
Event format includes time for introductions, key speakers, and available a participation	
audience participation	
Moderator and panelists/speakers are identified and invited:	
Planning committee identified number of speakers for town hall	
□ If a panel was chosen, panelists have an appropriate relationship to	
central theme and each offer unique information on topic	
□ If a panel was chosen, committee identified an appropriate moderator	
□ Speakers/panelists are invited in a timely manner	
□ Speakers are provided with description of event and expectation of their	
participation	
Accommodations to meet target audience's needs were considered and planned	
for:	
An easily accessible venue was chosen for the event:	
□ Venue is located centrally within target population	
□ Venue is located near public transit stops	

 Venue is located near highways Venue has ample amounts of parking Venue has accessible parking/ramps/entryways Venue is in a location familiar to constituents 	
Other logistic considerations:	
Specific outreach and advertising plan created: Town hall was advertised via e-mail(s) Town hall was advertised via web site(s) Town hall was advertised via social media Town hall was advertised via print flyers/postings Town hall was advertised in local newspapers Town hall was advertised on local radio/TV Town hall was advertised using DSAS resources Town hall was advertised in other formats	

Implementation

Activity	Notes
Town Hall meeting followed the pre-determined central theme Town hall closely followed the central theme and format throughout the	
event Any deviance from theme or format was purposeful and reflected needs/questions/participation of audience 	
Town Hall meeting included speakers addressing information relevant to the county's substance concerns/ Appropriate presenters/speakers addressed information relevant to the central theme	
 One or more speakers are addressing town hall central theme Speakers understand and follow their delivery format (roundtable, panel) 	
□ A back up plan is used in the event that one or more speakers is unable to make the event at the last minute	
Town Hall meeting included an opportunity for audience participation Town hall included a Q & A session Town hall provided sufficient time for audience members to participate 	
in discussion Town hall included relevant and achievable action items for participants	
 Town Hall meeting offered information on local resources Planning committee created a handout with information on central theme/topic, contact information for speakers (as they want to provide), and coalition's position on theme or steps for prevention Planning committee partnered with health care providers, DSAS, etc. to ensure information was accurate and current Town hall provided "resource tables" with literature from appropriate organizations Town hall invited representatives from county resource organizations to 	
attend event and provide resources Coalition followed up with information on resources in an email to town hall attendees	

Debrief

Activity	Notes
 Surveys were circulated at the end of the event to collect data on current town hall event and planning for the next A short survey was created, no longer than 10 questions Survey was distributed through either paper or electronic format Attendees are able to anonymously provide feedback on the survey Every attendee was given the opportunity to complete the survey Survey included questions on current town hall event, ideas for future town hall events, and prevention needs of the county Survey allowed attendees to provide open-ended feedback 	
 Meeting information was recorded and tracked in a database for future reference: Individual sign in data for attendees is recorded Meeting demographics (date/time, location, attendees, theme, etc.) were recorded into a spreadsheet or database Survey data is recorded into a spreadsheet or database Tracking system (database, etc.) is accessible for planning future town hall events 	
This information should include topic(s) covered, approximate attendance (broken out by demographic and other relevant factors, when possible).	
 Coalition held a debriefing session with various stakeholders following the town hall meeting Planning committee met at least once within two weeks of the town hall event for a debriefing session Planning committee invited outside stakeholders to provide feedback during the debriefing sessions Survey data was discussed in debriefing sessions 	
 Coalition held a debriefing session to develop an action plan relevant to county's needs Information from town hall, survey feedback, and debriefing sessions are compiled Data is used to inform current year's plan or to create new action plan as needed Coalition elicits feedback on new or updated action plan from county stakeholders, board members, mentor coalitions, and/or DSAS 	

Town Hall Fidelity Matrix

Dlanning				
Planning Activity	Low Fidelity	Moderate Fidelity	Strong Fidelity	Notes
Various community stakeholders involved in the planning process	Coalition did not involve community stakeholders in the planning process for the town hall meeting	Coalition involved community stakeholders from one sector of the community	Coalition involved a variety of community stakeholders (from 3 or more sectors) throughout the planning process	
Local data considered/used in the planning process (e.g., from previous town hall meetings, CSMD data, survey data, key informants)	Coalition did not use data for planning town hall meeting	Coalition used data in planning 1-2 aspects of the current town hall meeting	Coalition used data in planning all aspects of the current town hall meeting	
Specific issue in the community identified for the town hall meeting	Town hall meeting was not related to a specific community issue	Town hall meeting was related to a specific community issue	Town hall meeting was related to a specific community issue based on data or key informants	
Target audience was identified	Coalition did not predetermine a target audience	Coalition predetermined a target audience	Coalition predetermined a target audience based on data or key informants	
Coalition had clear and measurable goal(s) for town hall meeting (e.g., SMART goals)	Coalition did not determine a clear objective or goal for the town hall meeting	Coalition determined a clear objective or goal for the town hall meeting, but is hard to measure	Coalition determined a clear, measurable goal or objective for the town hall meeting	
Moderator and panelists/speakers were identified and invited	Coalition did not invite moderator and/or panelists able to address chosen issue	Coalition invited a moderator and panelists or speakers able to address a single aspect of the chosen issue	Coalition invited a moderator and panelists or speakers representing multiple viewpoints on the chosen issue	
Specific outreach and advertising plan created	Coalition did not advertise the town hall meeting	Coalition advertised town hall meeting through a few available channels	Coalition advertised town hall meeting through all appropriate, available channels	
Event Implementation				
Activity	Low Fidelity	Moderate Fidelity	Strong Fidelity	Notes
Town Hall meeting followed the pre-determined central theme to address specific community issue	Town hall meeting did not follow the predetermined central theme	Town hall meeting followed a theme throughout the meeting, but did not address specific community issues	Town hall meeting followed a theme throughout the entire meeting, addressing specific community issues	
Appropriate presenters/speakers addressed information relevant	Town hall meeting included presenters/speakers who did not	Town hall meeting included presenters/speakers that	Town hall meeting included presenters/speakers that represented	

to the central theme	address issues relevant to the central theme	represented a single viewpoint relevant to the central theme	multiple viewpoints relevant to the central theme	
Town Hall meeting included a Q & A session of appropriate length (e.g., most people who wanted to ask a question had time to)	Town hall meeting did not include a Q&A session	Town hall meeting included a limited Q&A session	Town hall meeting included an extended Q&A session that allowed attendees to fully participate in the meeting	
Town Hall meeting included action steps that the audience could take away from the meeting.	Town hall did not include action steps for the audience.	Town hall included action steps that would be difficult to accomplish.	Town hall included relevant and attainable action steps for participants.	
Meeting had information on local ATOD (alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs) prevention resources available for participants	Town hall meeting did not offer information on local resources	Town hall meeting offered only handouts on local resources	Town hall meeting hosted representatives from local organizations to directly provide information and resources	
Debrief				
Debrief Activity	Low Fidelity	Moderate Fidelity	Strong Fidelity	Notes
	Low Fidelity Brief surveys were not circulated at the town hall meeting	Moderate Fidelity Brief surveys were circulated to some attendees at the town hall meeting	Strong Fidelity Brief surveys were circulated to most or all attendees at the end of the town hall meeting	Notes
Activity Brief exit surveys were circulated	Brief surveys were not circulated	Brief surveys were circulated to some attendees at the town hall	Brief surveys were circulated to most or all attendees at the end of the town	Notes
Activity Brief exit surveys were circulated at the end of the event Meeting information was recorded and tracked in a	Brief surveys were not circulated at the town hall meeting Meeting information was not	Brief surveys were circulated to some attendees at the town hall meeting Meeting information was partially	Brief surveys were circulated to most or all attendees at the end of the town hall meeting All meeting information, including survey data, was recorded in a	Notes